1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO		
3			
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
5	vs. No. 1:CV-18-03495-JCH		
6	DOUGLAS D. SMITH		
7			
8			
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
10	TRIAL ON THE MERITS		
11	June 15, 2021		
12	Volume 2		
13	Pages 185 - 399		
14			
15	BEFORE: HONORABLE JUDGE JUDITH HERRERA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
16	ONTIED STATES DISTRICT GODGE		
17			
18			
19	Proceedings reported by stenotype.		
20	Transcript produced by computer-aided		
21	transcription.		
22			
23			
24			
25			

```
APPEARANCES:
 1
 2
    FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
 3
               OFFICE OF THE U.S. ATTORNEY
               P.O. Box 607
 4
               Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103
               505-346-7274
               BY: NOVALENE D. WILSON
 5
               novalene.wilson@usdoj.gov
 6
                    KYLE T. NAYBACK
               kyle.nayback@usdoj.gov
 7
    FOR THE DEFENDANT:
 8
               OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
 9
               111 Lomas, Northwest, Suite 501
               Albuquerque, New Mexico
10
               505-346-2489
               BY:
                    ARIC ELSENHEIMER
               aric elsenheimer@fd.org
11
                    AMANDA LAVIN
12
               amand lavin@fd.org
13
14
                           INDEX
15
                                                   PAGE:
16
    Opening Statement on Behalf of the
                                                   281
         Government
17
    Opening Statement on Behalf of the
                                                   2.87
         Defendant
18
19
    WITNESS:
20
    MATTHEW CAIN, MD
21
         Direct Examination by Ms. Wilson
                                                   295
         Cross-Examination by Mr. Elsenheimer
                                                   304
2.2
    DANIEL SMITH
23
         Direct Examination by Mr. Nayback
                                                   309
24
25
```

1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	WITNESS:	PAGE:
3	GERALDINE GUTIERREZ	
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Wilson	312
5	LYNNE GUDES	
6 7	Direct Examination by Mr. Nayback Cross-Examination by Ms. Lavin Redirect Examination by Mr. Nayback	317 331 351
8	TAMMY PETER	
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Nayback Cross-Examination by Ms. Lavin	357 373
11	NATHAN SCHWABEDISSEN	2.7.7
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Nayback Cross-Examination by Ms. Wilson	3 / / 3 8 7
13		
14	Certificate of Reporter	399
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
(Whereupon the following proceedings were
 1
 2
    held in Open Court.)
 3
               (Whereupon the jury panel enters the
 4
    courtroom.)
 5
              THE COURT: Please be seated.
 6
              Good morning to everyone. All right.
 7
    are on the record in the case of United States of
 8
    America versus Douglas D. Smith. The case number is
    18-CR-18-4395.
 9
10
              And for the record could I please have
11
    counsel's appearances.
12
              MS. WILSON: Good morning, Your Honor.
13
    Novalene Wilson on behalf of the United States with
    cocounsel Kyle Nayback. And also at the table is
14
15
    FBI Special Agent Travis Taylor.
16
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Good morning, Your
17
    Honor. Aric Elsenheimer on behalf of Mr. Smith.
                                                        Ι
18
    am joined by Amanda Lavin and Daniel Berg.
19
              THE COURT: Good morning.
                                          Thank you.
20
              Good morning, ladies and gentlemen of our
21
    jury panel. I would like to welcome you to our
2.2
    courthouse today for jury selection in this criminal
23
    case. I will tell you a little bit more about the
24
    case in just a moment, but I do want to thank you
```

for coming. It is an important part of our jury

trial process to have people like you who are willing to come in and participate in this process.

2.2

As you all know, the Constitution does guarantee the right to a jury trial in matters such as this criminal matter, and our justice system does depend on the willingness of our citizens to participate, serve as jurors, and so all of us appreciate that.

I realize that many of you will not have an opportunity to serve in some of our branches of Government, such as a Governor or on a City Council or even on the bench as a Judge. But if you are selected as a judge in this case, as a juror in this case, you will be serving as a judge of the facts. So this will be an opportunity for you to serve our judicial system. So my hope is that if you are selected you will find it to be an interesting experience, an educational experience, and also a very important experience.

So you, the jury, would be the judges of the facts. I serve as the judge on the law and the Rules of Evidence. I have heard other Judges compare our role as a Judge in a trial to that of a traffic officer who is directing traffic and trying to keep traffic moving smoothly. That is what I try

2.2

to do as a Trial Judge, keep the case moving along, moving along smoothly and make sure that the rules of the road, so to speak, our Rules of Evidence, are all followed.

So I am going to begin by introducing my court staff to you and I will want to know if anybody knows anyone on our court staff. So I will begin by again introducing myself, Judith Herrera.

I have been here on the Court for 17 years and before that I practiced law in Santa Fe.

My court reporter is in front of me here to my right. His name is Paul Baca. He has been a court reporter for many, many years and has been a court reporter in Federal Court for much longer than I have been here. So he knows what he is doing and he is Mr. Personality. So if you are selected for the jury, you will be well entertained, I will tell you that, very well entertained. And he does his job very well, too.

In front of me to your right, my left, is my law clerk. Her name is Virginia Loman, and she's been with me for a number of years now as well. She is a lawyer. She is a brilliant lawyer, graduated at the top of her law school class. And I know she is probably blushing right now, but she is very

```
1
    good.
 2
              To my far left is my courtroom deputy.
 3
    Her name is Yvonne Romero. She is, her job is to
    keep the criminal cases moving. So she is the one
 5
    who makes, sets my schedule and makes sure I don't
 6
    forget to address cases. And so I have a wonderful
 7
    team here.
 8
              Yvonne will be the one that the jury will
    interact with most often. She is the one who will
 9
10
    make sure everybody knows where they need to be and
11
    she is the one who will make sure everybody is
12
    comfortable. She is the one who makes sure the
13
    drinks in the jury room refrigerator are cold.
14
    don't know if it is a jury room situation with this
15
    whole COVID protocol. In any event, she will make
16
    sure you are well taken care of.
17
              So having introduced our staff, do any of
18
    you, members of the panel, know any of our court
19
           And I don't see any hands.
    staff?
20
                             There is a hand.
              MR. NAYBACK:
21
              THE COURT: Sorry. So let me tell you how
2.2
    I will do this. Anytime I ask a question and
23
    somebody has an answer, I will ask you to stand,
24
    give us your name and I will see if I can hear you
25
    without you having to go to the microphone.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
But if I have trouble hearing you or if
Mr. Baca has trouble hearing you, then I will ask
that you either try to speak louder or go to the
microphone. I think it goes a little quicker if we
don't have to go to the microphone, but we will just
see how it goes.
          All right. Let me call on the panel
member who raised his hand. Is that Mr. Chavez?
          POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. Paul is my cousin.
          THE COURT: All right. I hope he has been
good to you and you won't be mad about being on a
jury if you are selected here.
                     Anybody else know anyone else
          All right.
on our court staff?
          All right. Thank you. So as we go
through this process, I will be asking you a few, I
will ask you more questions. I want to make it
clear that I don't want to pry. My purpose is not
to pry.
        The attorneys will have an opportunity to
ask you questions. Their purpose is not to pry but
the whole purpose of this jury selection process,
the purpose is to match jurors to the case that we
are hearing.
          As I said before, I will tell you a little
bit more in just a moment, but I just want to
```

2.2

emphasize that we all go through life, we all have different experiences. We all develop different things that we like or dislike, things that we are comfortable with or not comfortable with. And along the way we all develop preferences, we develop biases, whatever the case may be, it is all part of just the development of our personalities and our life experiences.

So some cases may be more difficult for a person to serve on than another case might be. For example, there are some people who in their personal lives or family experience or in the experience of close friends may have been the victim of, say, a sexual assault. So if the trial involved an issue of sexual assault it may be very uncomfortable for that person to serve as a juror and be able to put aside whatever the personal experience and the personal feelings may have been and decide a case fairly.

So this does not involve sexual assault, though, I will tell you that. But if there are issues that are involved in this case that would be difficult for someone to sit through and serve as a juror, then we need to know these things. So I will ask some questions about your personal experiences

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

as they may relate to some of the issues that are involved in this trial, so I do need everybody to feel free to speak about these things. If I ask a question that would require you to give an answer that makes you uncomfortable to share in front of the whole group, what I can do is have you hold on to whatever your answer will be and at the conclusion of all of the questioning, the conclusion of my questioning, the lawyers' questions, what I typically do is excuse the panel and then bring in the person who has something that they want to say in a smaller setting. It won't be completely private. It won't be just me, it will be everybody at these tables, my court staff, and Paul will be making the record of whatever is said. So understand that it would not be secret, a secret disclosure, it would be public, it just would be in a smaller setting. But if anybody needs to do that, just let

me know.

My expectation is that someone might need a break and that is usually what happens, so let me just ask you if somebody decides that they need to go to the bathroom or something, raise your hand and

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

let me know but don't leave the room until I say you can leave the room. And it is not that I will keep you trapped in here for too long it is just that it is very important that all of you hear everything that goes on here during this jury selection So if somebody wanted to just slip out for a couple of minutes, that person would miss things that were said here in the courtroom, so I can't let you do that. But believe me, if you need a break I will give you a break. I will just ask you to raise your hand and we will do that. So I told you this is a criminal case and so I am going to read the Indictment. Indictment says that on or about May 5, 2018 in Indian Country in Rio Arriba County in the District of New Mexico, the defendant, Douglas D. Smith, a non-Indian unlawfully killed Jane Doe, an Indian with malice aforethought, in violation of Federal statutes. So that is the Indictment. That is what this trial will be about. I want to make sure that everybody understands and agrees that the Indictment does not mean the defendant is guilty. It is the charge, the Government has charged the defendant with that crime. But do all of you understand that

that does not mean the defendant is guilty? 1 2 anybody disagree? 3 All right. Thank you. 4 The next thing I want to tell you is this 5 case is expected to last four or five days, so I 6 would just say Thursday or Friday is what I am 7 We did start our jury selection thinking. 8 vesterday, so I am thinking that this case will end 9 Thursday or Friday. 10 So my question to you, does that timetable 11 cause any conflicts in your schedule or is there any 12 problem with anybody's schedules? 13 All right. I see a few hands. Let me 14 start on this side of the courtroom, which is your 15 right. I saw a hand go up. Can you raise your 16 hands again. 17 Okay. So I see, I believe it is 18 Mr. Villa. Could you stand? I know you are wearing 19 a mask, so I probably need you to go to the 20 microphone. 21 POTENTIAL JUROR: My name is Robert Villa. 2.2 I am self-employed. I pushed things that I normally 23 would be doing this early in the week to later in 24 the week. I teach a class on Thursday afternoon. 25 THE COURT: And when you say you teach a

```
class, is that at a particular institution?
 1
 2
              POTENTIAL JUROR: At Los Alamos National
 3
    Laboratory.
 4
              THE COURT: Now, Mr. Villa, if you were
 5
    selected in this case is there any way that your
 6
    schedule could be changed or someone could cover for
 7
    you?
 8
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No one could cover for
 9
    me.
10
              THE COURT: Could your schedule be
11
    changed?
12
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Perhaps.
13
              THE COURT: All right. So I will write
    perhaps on my list. Now, let me address one other
14
15
            I see that you are wearing a mask and I saw
16
    that you are wearing latex gloves or something like
17
    that.
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I am not vaccinated.
18
19
              THE COURT: You are not vaccinated, okay.
20
    Well, that is what I was going to say. If you are
21
    not vaccinated, then it is your choice. If you are
2.2
    vaccinated, you are free to remove your mask, but
23
    obviously your choice is to keep the mask on.
24
              Let me ask you one other question as long
25
    as we are on this subject. You are not vaccinated.
```

```
How do you feel about being in a room full of people
 1
 2
    who are not wearing masks because I don't require
 3
    masks if people are vaccinated.
 4
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I am okay with it.
 5
    me it is just extra precaution because I am not out,
 6
    you know, in a building, a lot people. I haven't
 7
    done a lot of that this year or last year.
 8
    just extra precaution.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. And then how do
10
    you feel about us not wearing masks? Is that going
11
    to affect your view of participating in this
12
    process?
13
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                Not at all.
14
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Villa.
15
              I saw another hand in that side of the
16
    courtroom, is that Ms. Canon?
17
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
18
              THE COURT: Can you stand?
19
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I think you can hear me.
20
    I have been excused for next week. This week is
21
           I just needed you to know that if the trial
2.2
    goes next week, I have scheduled, you know, visits
23
    that are in other parts of the State. They are
24
    compliance reviews at hospitals, so that is all I
25
    wanted to state.
```

```
THE COURT: Thanks for letting us know
 1
           I feel very confident that this case will not
 2
 3
    spill over into next week.
 4
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Okay. Thank you.
 5
              THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Canon.
 6
              Is there anyone else on that side of the
 7
    courtroom who has a scheduling issue?
 8
              Okay. Let's move over across the aisle.
 9
    I do see one hand.
                        Is that Mr. Conway?
10
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                Yes, ma'am.
11
             I drive for Northern New Mexico Gas
    Conway.
12
    Company. We have got seven drivers, three of them
13
    are out right now. We deliver to Eagle Nest,
14
    Questa, anywhere north of Angel Fire. If I am gone
15
    for too long we will have people run out of gas. It
16
    is residential delivery of propane.
17
              THE COURT: And when you say too long,
18
    would this week be available for you to serve as a
19
    juror?
20
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Not, no, it would be
21
    bad. It would be put back on my route.
2.2
              In other words, four of us are trying to
23
    deliver gas to seven different routes and we are
24
    behind as it is, pretty much.
25
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
```

```
1
    Mr. Conway.
              Is there anyone else in that back section
 2
 3
    in the back row?
                      I quess you are the
    second-to-the-last row. Ms. Vidal.
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. My son and I were
 5
 6
    going on vacation. We are leaving, we are going to
 7
    be out of town from June 21st to mid-July.
                                                I have a
 8
    number of work responsibilities that I need to
 9
    conclude before I travel so, and I also have a small
10
    child at home.
11
              THE COURT: I know you said you are
12
    leaving on June 21st and have things to do before
13
    that, but is there any way that this trial, you
14
    could serve in this trial and have someone else help
15
    you with either your childcare or making whatever
16
    accommodations need to be made for your travel?
17
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I suppose I can kind of
18
    move things around, if necessary. My husband also
19
    works full-time and my child is home because of the
20
    pandemic so she is not on summer camp.
21
    necessary I can be here, but it is a challenge for
2.2
    my family.
23
              THE COURT: Okay. Well, thank you for
24
    letting me know. Thank you, Ms. Vidal.
25
              Is there anybody else in that section of
```

```
the courtroom that has a scheduling issue?
 1
 2
              Okay, I don't see any other hands.
 3
              Is there anybody in the jury box that has
 4
    any scheduling issues? Ms. Nixon.
 5
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, that's right. Not
 6
    until next Tuesday.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. So next Tuesday I
 8
    feel certain we will not interfere with whatever
 9
    your schedule is.
10
              POTENTIAL JUROR: My name is Ms. Enriquez.
11
              THE COURT: Ms. Enriquez.
12
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I actually do not have
13
    enough PTO hours to cover this week. I actually do
14
    have a child in daycare that has to be picked up
15
    before 5:00. I don't really have anybody else to
16
    pick up my son.
17
              THE COURT: That was going to be my next
18
    question.
19
               I don't know how the PTO works in your
20
    employment situation, so you would have to, you
21
    would have to take time, take some sort of time off
2.2
    of work?
23
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I would, yeah, but I do
24
    not have enough hours to cover the week. I am not
25
    sure if it would run into next week as well.
```

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, 1 2 Ms. Enriquez. 3 Did I get everybody? 4 I will tell you my little secret is that I 5 wear my reading glasses and as I have aged I need 6 distance glasses. And so I try not to shuffle too 7 I actually have progressive lenses, but they 8 don't work for me, they are worthless for me to 9 So bear with me if I don't see a hand. 10 have good people here that will let me know that I 11 missed somebody, but that is my secret. 12 Let me ask you if you have heard anything 13 about the case and then I will introduce everyone at 14 the tables to you. 15 I told you this incident occurred on 16 May 5, 2018, and it occurred in the City of Espanola 17 at the Western Winds Motel on Riverside Drive. 18 The defendant, I told you is Douglas 19 Smith, and Mr. Smith is seated at counsel table. 20 Could you just stand for a moment. Thank you, 21 Mr. Smith. 2.2 And so I am wondering if any, if this 23 rings a bell for any of you. So I want to know if 24 any of you heard about the case and that is all, I 25 quess, I can say at the moment. But let me call on

```
the person who raised his or her hand. I can't see
 1
 2
    well enough, so Ms. Dishta.
 3
               POTENTIAL JUROR: I am from Rio Arriba and
 4
    the Espanola area, and also the person in question
 5
    was my best friend's sister.
 6
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
 7
    Ms. Dishta.
 8
               Is there anyone else who knows anything
 9
    about this case? I don't see any other hands.
10
               I had the defendant stand. Does anybody
    know the defendant Mr. Smith? I don't see any
11
12
    hands.
13
              Now the Government is represented by, the
    prosecutors work at the United States Attorney's
14
15
             There are two Assistant United States
16
    Attorneys here and the acting United States
17
    Attorney, the individual who is in charge over
    there, his name is Fred Federici. He is not here in
18
19
    the courtroom, but does anybody know Fred Federici?
20
               The Assistant U.S. Attorneys who are here
    prosecuting this case are Ms. Novalene Wilson and
21
2.2
    Mr. Kyle Nayback.
23
               Does anybody know Ms. Wilson or
24
    Mr. Nayback?
25
               They introduced Special Agent Taylor to us
```

```
a moment ago. Does anyone know FBI Agent Travis
 1
 2
    Taylor?
 3
              Mr. Smith is represented by the lawyers
    seated at that table. First is Aric Elsenheimer.
 4
 5
    Does anybody know Aric Elsenheimer?
              I see no hands.
 6
 7
              Also representing Mr. Smith is Amanda
 8
    Lavin. Does anyone know Amanda Lavin?
 9
              And the third individual is Dan Berg, also
10
    on the defense team. Does anybody know Dan Berg?
11
              And I see no hands, thank you.
12
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Your Honor, may we
13
    approach very briefly?
14
              THE COURT: Yes.
15
               (Whereupon a Bench discussion was held
16
    outside the hearing of the jury.)
17
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: I am concerned that
    Ms. Dishta identified that she knew the best friend
18
19
    of the victim, and that was announced to the jury.
20
    I am concerned about that. I think it taints the
21
    jury against us, potentially.
2.2
              THE COURT: Tell me how.
23
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: I just think that
24
    introducing that to the jury panel, I just wanted to
25
    point that out. I think it is a problem for this
```

```
particular panel. I think just identifying that she
 1
    is familiar with a family member of the alleged
 2
 3
    victim.
              THE COURT: Tell me how that taints your,
 5
    taints the whole panel.
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Introducing that into
 6
 7
    the panel, it brings that into the -- it colors any
 8
    discussion that we have from this point on about the
 9
    facts of the case.
10
              THE COURT: Any comment?
              MR. NAYBACK: The jury already knows that
12
    this is a homicide case. This is not a relative of
13
    the decedent. It sounds like she might know or is
    friends with a family member. I don't think, she
15
    might have said sister, but I don't see how that
16
    would --
17
              THE COURT: Her best friend's sister.
18
              MR. NAYBACK: She knows someone who knows.
19
    I don't see how this would taint this panel in any
20
    way. The only other thought would be we know that
21
    she probably is not going to make it on the jury.
2.2
    We could probably excuse her early so she doesn't
23
    come in.
24
              In United States versus Kevin Vigil
25
    Mr. Elsenheimer was counsel in front of Judge
```

11

```
Vasquez, someone stood up and said that she was
 1
 2
    related.
              It turns out she was metaphorically
 3
    related because she was Native American, and there
 4
    were gasps in the courtroom. I don't think just the
 5
    fact that there is somebody here that might know a
 6
    family member of the victim taints the jury panel at
 7
    all.
 8
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: I want to bring it to
 9
    the Court's attention I think it does. I understand
10
    the Court's direction and how you are going to rule
    if we could avoid other discussion to that effect.
11
12
              THE COURT: I don't think there is any
13
    taint at this point, but I sure wouldn't call on
14
          She is not going to make it on the jury.
15
              MS. LAVIN: If she raises her hand and
16
    wants to comment?
17
              THE COURT: I will definitely excuse her
18
    early, I don't know how early.
19
              MR. NAYBACK: I don't think we should
20
    excuse her now, maybe at the first break if Yvonne
21
    could let her go. It is just a suggestion.
2.2
    very difficult for her and there are probably a lot
23
    of people that might be curious about what she has
24
    to say.
25
              THE COURT: I could see it could lead to a
```

```
problem, but I don't think we are there yet.
 1
 2
    guess this is a good opportunity to just let
 3
    everybody know that I don't think she is going to
 4
    make it on to this jury. I expect that nobody
 5
    should call on her and I will, if she raises her
 6
    hand, I will have to try to come up with a way to
    not call on her.
 7
 8
              MS. LAVIN: I think we don't know what
 9
    kind of discussion she has had with other jurors
10
    outside. She knows she was the best friend of the
11
    victim's sister. We just don't know. I will make
12
    that point. I think the sooner we can let her go,
13
    the better.
                          I agree, I just always worry
14
              THE COURT:
15
    about letting people go.
16
              MS. WILSON: Letting her go at this time
17
    excusing her right away I think would have an
18
    appearance just as well.
19
              THE COURT:
                          Why don't I just take a break
    right now and during the break we will let her know
20
21
    that she is excused.
2.2
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Even if we go a little
23
    more questioning and really take a break, that
24
    really doesn't look like it is that close in time.
25
              THE COURT: One of the issues that you
```

```
raised, I don't know that she knew which case she
 1
 2
    was.
 3
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: She works for dispatch
 4
    in Espanola, so it is likely that she did.
 5
              MR. NAYBACK: She wouldn't have known that
    she was called for U.S. versus Smith this morning.
 6
 7
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                 Sure, that is true.
 8
              THE COURT: I don't know that she would
 9
    have said anything to the panel members before, but
10
    I don't want her to say anything after.
              So when I excuse her, I am going to have
11
12
    to make sure somebody is --
13
              MS. WILSON: Just for the record, the
    victim's name was never mentioned.
14
15
              THE COURT: Correct. I won't take a break
16
    just yet, we will ask a few more questions.
17
               (Whereupon the following proceedings were
18
    held in Open Court.)
19
              THE COURT: All right. Now every now and
20
    then in any kind of legal proceeding there are
21
    issues that come up that we need to address, and I
2.2
    do my best to try to anticipate things and have
23
    these kinds of discussions before the jury comes in
24
    or in this case the jury panel comes into the
25
    courtroom, but sometimes there are just things that
```

```
come up, so I hope you bear with us.
 1
                                          It is
 2
    important that we make sure that everything is done
 3
    correctly, so thank you for your patience.
 4
               Have any of you or any member of your
 5
    family or anyone close to you ever been employed by
 6
    a law enforcement agency? And when I say law
 7
    enforcement agency, I mean, of course, the obvious
 8
    things like police departments, sheriff's
 9
    departments, but I also mean things like FBI, DEA,
10
    anything that involves the investigation of crimes
    or traffic offenses, anything.
11
12
               I see one hand, two hands in the jury box.
13
               POTENTIAL JUROR: My name is Hind Joseph.
14
               Did you say employed or work with?
15
               THE COURT: Any of the above.
16
               POTENTIAL JUROR: I am a City of
17
    Albuquerque Tort Adjustor, so I work with APD,
18
    investigate claims on a daily basis.
19
               THE COURT: Okay. Can everybody hear
20
    Ms. Joseph?
                  It sounds like everybody, it seems like
21
    everybody can hear you.
2.2
               You are close enough to me that I can hear
23
    you, but I want to make sure everybody can hear you.
24
               So you are a tort investigator?
25
               POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 Tort adjustor, yes, for
```

```
risk management.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Okay. And in that job you
 3
    work with APD?
 4
              POTENTIAL JUROR: APD on a daily basis,
 5
    yes, ma'am.
 6
              THE COURT: All right. Is there anything
 7
    about your knowledge of APD officers or friendship
 8
    with them or anything about what you know they do
 9
    that might make you favor police testimony or the
10
    prosecution side of the case over the defense?
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Well, if they are under
11
12
    oath, I would definitely believe their testimony.
13
              THE COURT: So you would believe if they
    are under oath.
14
15
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
16
              THE COURT: Really what I want to know is
17
    whether you think you could be fair to both sides of
    the case.
18
19
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Absolutely.
20
              THE COURT: And so if a law enforcement
21
    officer is testifying on one side of the case, do
2.2
    you automatically give that testimony more weight
23
    than you would a defense witness, for example?
24
              POTENTIAL JUROR: If he is under oath,
25
    yes, I would.
```

```
1
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. Thank you, Ms. Joseph.
 2
              All right. Then I did see Ms. Nixon's
 3
    hand.
 4
              POTENTIAL JUROR: My brother-in-law worked
 5
    for the Farmington Police Department for a few
    months in 2007.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: All right. And is there
 8
    anything about his employment there that would cause
 9
    you to look more favorably on law enforcement or the
10
    prosecution side over the defense?
11
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No.
12
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Nixon.
13
              And one more in the jury box.
14
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Your Honor, I have two
15
    friends that are officers with APD Southeast, Lee
16
    Mudworth and Dane Sims, and my son-in-law is Border
17
    Patrol.
              THE COURT: I'm sorry, I can't hear you.
18
19
              POTENTIAL JUROR: My son-in-law is Border
20
    Patrol.
21
              THE COURT: Your name is Ms. Crandall,
2.2
    right?
23
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
24
              THE COURT: I think I am going to need you
25
    to speak into the microphone. She will hand you the
```

```
microphone. I just have another question or two for
 1
 2
    you.
 3
               So with your friends and your son-in-law
 4
    working in law enforcement do you think that would
 5
    cause you to give the law enforcement side of the
 6
    case more weight or could you be fair to both sides?
 7
               POTENTIAL JUROR: I think I could be fair,
 8
    yes.
 9
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
10
    Ms. Crandall.
11
               Now moving to the person in the middle,
12
    Ms. Howells.
13
               POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                Yes, ma'am.
               My stepfather was employed by Farmington
14
15
    Police Department, but has retired about six years
16
    ago, and an uncle San Juan County Sheriff's Office,
17
    Roy Truby (phonetic), a deputy and he also retired
18
    over ten years ago.
19
               THE COURT: Okay. So because of your
20
    relationship with these retired law enforcement
21
    officers do you feel that that would cause you to
2.2
    weigh the prosecution side more favorably than the
23
    defense?
24
               POTENTIAL JUROR: No, ma'am.
25
               THE COURT: You could listen fairly to the
```

```
defense side of the case?
 1
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, ma'am.
 2
 3
              THE COURT: And make a decision fairly and
 4
    impartially based on the evidence?
 5
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, ma'am.
 6
              THE COURT: Okay.
                                  Thank you.
 7
              I did see another hand on that side of the
 8
    courtroom, I believe, maybe not.
 9
              So going across the aisle. And so,
10
    Ms. Dishta, you have friends or relatives who worked
    in law enforcement?
11
12
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I myself work in law
13
    enforcement.
14
              THE COURT: Okay. Tell me where you work.
15
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I work for the
16
    Santa Clara Tribal Police.
17
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Dishta.
              All right. I see no other hands.
18
19
              Have any of you or anybody in your family
20
    or anybody close to you ever worked for an attorney
21
    or in a legal office? And when I say legal office,
2.2
    it would be, for example, the legal department of a
23
    business or an agency.
24
              I see no hands.
25
              Let me ask you do any of you have any kind
```

of a medical issue that might prevent you from 1 2 serving as a member of the jury, for example, do any 3 of you have any hearing problems or do you have any vision problems that would prevent you from hearing 5 or seeing all the evidence, all the testimony. 6 Do you have any physical ailments 7 sometimes people have back problems makes it hard 8 for them to sit for a long time. So anything that 9 you can think of that might prevent you from being 10 able to serve as a juror in this case. And Ms. Crandall? 11 12 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, I am hard of 13 I have hearing aids but I still have a hearing. 14 hard time hearing. The back is to me or soft 15 voices, I can't hear you. 16 THE COURT: Let me ask you, have you had 17 difficulty hearing anything that has gone on in the 18 courtroom this morning? 19 POTENTIAL JUROR: No, I heard you great. 20 THE COURT: Anybody else? 21 Is there anybody who has any kind of a 2.2 personal issue that might be distracting for you 23 during this trial if you were selected as a juror? 24 And I know sometimes people have someone at home who 25 is ill or things like that, that might prevent you

```
from focusing on the issues involved in this
 1
 2
    proceeding.
 3
               I don't see any hands.
 4
               All right. I have a matter that I need to
 5
    address and I am going to have to take a short
 6
    break.
            So what I, so I will ask you I will pay
 7
    attention to where you are sitting so that if you
 8
    decide you want to get out of the courtroom for a
 9
    couple of minutes, stretch your legs or whatever,
10
    you can return to your seat.
11
               Yvonne will make sure that everybody is
12
    back in their seats before we reconvene.
13
               I am thinking it won't be more than about
14
    ten minutes, okay. So, thank you. We'll be in
15
    recess for about ten minutes.
16
               (Whereupon the jury panel exits the
17
    courtroom.)
18
               (A recess was taken.)
19
               THE COURT: All right. We are back on the
20
    record.
21
               Let me ask, I am going to ask a number of
2.2
    questions now that go to a slightly more substantive
23
    issues. So the background or context, I guess, for
24
    my questions is that there may be evidence that is
25
    presented to you that the defendant was awakened at
```

1:00 in the morning and believed there was a 1 2 trespasser on his property where he lived at the 3 Western Winds Motel in Espanola. And there may be 4 testimony that he fired his gun to scare the 5 trespasser away. 6 So with that background, that leads me to 7 my questions. And let me ask for a show of hands, 8 do any of you own any guns? 9 All right. So I do see, let's see, three, 10 four, eight, nine, ten, ten of you, okay. 11 right. So is there anything, now you have not heard 12 any evidence in this case. Let me tell you 13 everything I say, everything the lawyers say in this 14 process is not evidence. 15 So we are asking you questions to kind of 16 gauge your feelings about certain issues, but this 17 is not evidence. And if you are selected to be a 18 juror and you hear the witnesses testify from the 19 witness stand, that is the testimony. 20 If we, if there are exhibits that are 21 introduced, that is the evidence that you rely on, 2.2 not what we say here in jury selection. 23 So is there anything about the fact that a 24 firearm was involved in this case that would make 25 any of you feel like you could not serve as a juror

in this case fairly? 1 2 Have any of you or anybody close to you 3 ever been involved in a criminal matter, either as a 4 defendant, as a witness in a case or as a victim of 5 any kind of a crime? 6 When I say any kind of a crime, it could 7 be a property crime like a burglary, it could be a 8 financial crime, anything. And I do see a hand. 9 Ms. Howells. 10 POTENTIAL JUROR: What do you mean by Would like child abuse and neglect be 11 crime? 12 considered that? 13 THE COURT: Anything. 14 POTENTIAL JUROR: So my son, a few years 15 ago our babysitter was caught using meth, like when 16 he was being watched by her. We had to go through a 17 DA process and it was settled outside of court, but we were involved in that. 18 19 THE COURT: So I see that you did get a 20 little emotional talking about that. This case does 21 not involve anything like that, but do you think 2.2 that there is anything about your situation that 23 might cause you to be uncomfortable if you were 24 sitting as a juror in this case and deciding a 25 criminal matter?

```
POTENTIAL JUROR: No, ma'am, no.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
                                              Anybody
 3
           Again, been involved in a criminal matter
 4
    either as a defendant or as a witness or as a
    victim.
 5
               I see no other hands.
 6
 7
               Have any of you or any family member or
 8
    anybody close to you ever fired a weapon to scare
 9
    off an intruder or scare off an animal or anything
10
    like that?
11
               I see no hands.
12
               Have any of you or, again, anybody close
13
    to you or family member had a situation that relates
14
    to an intruder or a trespasser on your property?
15
               THE COURT: Let me call on, is that
16
    Ms. Chavez?
17
               POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, ma'am. My uncle,
18
    he caught someone intruding with a gun and pistol
19
    whipped him.
20
               THE COURT: I am trying to hear you but I
21
    am having trouble, so thank you for going to the
2.2
    microphone.
23
               POTENTIAL JUROR: I am Melanie. My uncle
24
    was pistol whipped as some intruder knocked on the
25
    door, wanted a glass of water and then they hit him
```

```
over the head with a pistol.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: And was the intruder charged
 3
    with any crime?
 4
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't know. I didn't
 5
    go that far. I don't think they found him. It was
 6
    two individuals and I don't think they ever found
 7
    them.
 8
              THE COURT: All right. So did your uncle
 9
    require any kind of medical treatment?
10
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, ma'am.
11
              THE COURT: Okay. Was there anything, was
12
    there a reason for this? Was anything stolen
13
    from --
              POTENTIAL JUROR: They were trying to
14
15
    steal, they were trying to steal from him. He was
16
    just watering his garden. He was trying to be nice.
17
    They wanted water, so he went in to get some water
18
    and that is when they went ahead and did what they
19
    did.
20
              THE COURT: So understanding that you have
21
    this family situation, do you think that there is
2.2
    anything about your uncle's situation that you might
23
    carry with you and would influence you if you were
24
    listening to the evidence in this case?
25
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 No, ma'am.
                                             I think it
```

```
is heartbreaking, you know, something like that
 1
 2
    happens, it is just heartbreaking. But I don't
 3
    think it would influence me in any way.
 4
              THE COURT: Do you think it would cause
 5
    you to look more favorably at the defense side of
    this case?
 6
 7
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, I don't believe so.
 8
              THE COURT: Is there anyone else who has,
 9
    either yourselves or family members or close friends
10
    who had an experience with an intruder or
11
    trespassers on their property?
12
                     I don't see any other hands.
              Okay.
13
              Let me talk for a moment with you about
14
    the Government's burden of proof. Does everybody
15
    understand that it is the Government that bears the
16
    burden of proof in a criminal trial? So it is up to
17
    the Government to prove that the defendant is quilty
18
    beyond a reasonable doubt. Does everybody agree
19
    with that. Does anybody disagree with that?
20
               Is there anybody here that would require
21
    the defendant to prove his innocence?
2.2
              And nobody is raising their hand.
23
              So essentially if the Government does not,
24
    if you believe after you hear all the evidence in
25
    the case that the Government has not proven its case
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

beyond a reasonable doubt, do any of you think that even if the Government didn't prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt that you would be unable to find the defendant not guilty? So even if you were convinced that the Government had not proven its case, would anybody have trouble finding the defendant not guilty? Okay. I see no hands. Now, let me just talk a moment about the concept of reasonable doubt. I know we all watch TV shows, I am sure we watch court shows, crime shows where they sometimes talk about guilt beyond a shadow of a doubt or beyond all doubt. Concepts like that. Does everybody understand that that is for TV, it is not real life? So that in this courtroom the Government's burden is to prove beyond a reasonable doubt. It doesn't mean beyond all doubt, it just means beyond a reasonable doubt, which means a doubt that is based on reason and common sense. Would anybody hold the Government to the standard of beyond all doubt or beyond a shadow of a doubt, anybody? And I see no hands. Another issue in criminal cases is the

```
defendant's Fifth Amendment right not to testify.
 1
    So basically what that means is that an individual
 2
 3
    is not required to prove his innocence, is not
 4
    required to testify. And if an individual chooses
 5
    to exercise their right and not testify, that person
 6
    should not be thought to be quilty.
 7
               So can everybody, is there anybody who has
 8
    any problem with the idea that a defendant has the
 9
    right not to testify?
10
               Does anybody disagree with that concept?
               I see no hands.
11
12
               So is there anybody here that would hold
13
    it against the defendant if he did not testify?
               Now I told you already that this case
14
15
    involves a charge of second-degree murder, so some
16
    of the evidence in this case may be graphic. Do any
17
    of you feel that you could not sit as a juror in
18
    this case and view graphic visual evidence and
19
    listen to graphic testimony?
               Does anybody feel they would have a
20
21
    problem with that?
2.2
               Okay. I see no hands.
23
              Now, again you haven't heard any evidence
24
    yet at all, but based on what you have heard so far
25
    from me, do any of you feel like you would have
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

feelings or biases against the Government's side of the case based solely on what you have heard so far? Anybody feel like you would be biased against the Government on this case, or have any negative feelings about the Government based on what you have heard so far? And I see no hands. I am going to ask the flip side of that question. Do any of you have any kind of negative feelings or biases towards the defendant based on what I have said, the questions I have asked you so far here today? I see no hands. So what that tells me is all of you feel that you can listen to the evidence and decide fairly, based on the facts that you hear in this courtroom and not be influenced by any kind of bias. Anybody disagree with that? Now, at the end of the case one of my jobs is to instruct you on what the law is that you should apply as you decide the facts of this case. Now, I know that sometimes people feel like maybe they wish a law didn't exist or maybe a law should be changed. I know for many years in my early days as a Judge there were a lot of people who

took issue with some of the drug laws, for example, 1 2 and now, again, you don't know all of the 3 intricacies of this case yet, but based on what you 4 have heard, is there any reason you can think of 5 that you would not be able to follow the Court's 6 instructions on the law. 7 Do you feel you would be able to follow 8 the law and apply the law as the Court instructs you 9 at the end of the trial? 10 Everybody seems to be in agreement with 11 that concept. So does everybody understand the 12 English language? Is everyone understanding what 13 has gone on in the courtroom so far? Okay. Now, I know I have asked you a number of 14 15 questions today but let me ask you this: Is there 16 anything that comes to your minds that suggests to 17 you, anything that I have not asked you about that 18 might make you feel that you could not sit fairly as 19 a juror in this case? Anybody have any feeling 20 like, I don't know that this is the case for me, 21 anything like that? 2.2 All right. I think I am about done here, 23 let me just review my notes quickly. 24 So what I am going to do is give the 25 attorneys an opportunity to ask you some questions.

```
Normally they don't take as long as I just did, so I
 1
 2
    am expecting, I can't see the clock over there.
 3
    expect that we will -- the attorney portion of the
 4
    questioning, I am thinking we will be done with
 5
    their questions before 11. But what I am going to
 6
    do is I am going to ask the attorneys to come to the
 7
    corner here so we can just coordinate for a moment
 8
    before I turn it over to you.
 9
               (Whereupon a Bench discussion was held
10
    outside the hearing of the jury.)
11
              MS. WILSON: I think we still need to go
12
    over the witnesses. I will probably do much like
13
    last time.
14
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                The same, maybe just a
15
    couple of questions about qun ownership.
16
              THE COURT: All right. Good enough.
17
              Does anybody disagree with my time
18
    estimate that we will be done with the questioning
19
    about 11?
               (Whereupon the following proceedings were
20
21
    held in Open Court.)
2.2
              THE COURT: So I am about to turn it over
23
    to the attorneys, but I do need to find out if any
24
    of you on the jury panel know any of the witnesses
25
    who may testify in this case.
                                    What I would like to
```

```
do is run through the list of witnesses. I will
 1
    start with the Government's witnesses.
 2
 3
              Ms. Wilson, can you go through the list
 4
    but go slowly so the panel members have an
 5
    opportunity to raise their hand and let us know if
 6
    they know anybody.
 7
              MS. WILSON: Yes, Your Honor.
                                              Thank you.
 8
              Espanola Police Department Detective Byron
 9
    Abeyta; OMI Field Deputy Investigator Lynne Gudes,
10
    G-U-D-E-S; Dr. Matthew Cain; FBI Photographer Tammy
11
    Peter; FBI Special Agent Bryan Acee; FBI Physical
12
    Scientist and Forensic Examiner Theodore Chavez; FBI
13
    Photographer Nathan Schwabedissen; Geraldine
14
    Gutierrez; Derrick De La Cruz; and Daniel Smith.
15
              THE COURT: So I never saw any hands go
16
    up, so I take that to mean nobody on the panel knew
17
    any of the Government's witnesses.
18
              Mr. Elsenheimer, can you please let us
19
    know if you have any additional witness that have
20
    not already been mentioned.
21
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Certainly, Your Honor.
2.2
              Good morning everyone. Does anyone know
23
    Ercilia Trujillo; Judy Wheat; Billie Wheat; and
24
    Espanola Police Officer Albert Rael?
25
              THE COURT: Again, I didn't see any hands
```

```
go up as Mr. Elsenheimer was reading the list of
 1
 2
    witnesses, so I take that to mean that the panel
 3
    doesn't know any of them.
 4
              So I will now turn it over to the
 5
    attorneys. We will begin with the Government's side
 6
    of the case.
 7
              Ms. Wilson.
 8
              MS. WILSON:
                            Thank you, Your Honor.
 9
    morning again. My name is Novalene Wilson and again
10
    with my colleague, Mr. Kyle Nayback. We are
11
    responsible for introducing the evidence that
12
    supports the charges against the defendant, Douglas
13
    Smith.
              We talked a little bit about what the case
14
15
    is. I just want to follow-up on a few things.
16
    Those of you who own guns and have shot guns, I
17
    wanted to know, do you know the basic gun safety
18
    rules? I see a few nods, you do? Anybody want to
19
    let us know a couple of those rules?
20
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Make sure your safety is
21
    on.
2.2
              MS. WILSON: Okay. And you're Juror
23
    Number 61.
24
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
25
              THE COURT: Make sure your safety is on.
```

```
That is important. Any other rules folks may know
 1
    of when you think of gun safety?
 2
 3
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Muzzle control.
 4
              MS. WILSON: Muzzle control. Has anyone
 5
    heard of the rule, Never point your gun at something
 6
    you are not prepared to destroy. I see a few more
 7
    nods.
 8
              Juror 51, have you heard of that before?
 9
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, I have.
10
              MS. WILSON: And what does that mean to
11
    you?
12
              POTENTIAL JUROR: So it means that you
13
    shouldn't point a qun. I have also heard the rule,
14
    Always assume the gun is loaded.
15
              So even if you know for a fact it is
16
    unloaded, you don't want to point that gun at
17
    anybody.
18
              MS. WILSON: Why do you think that is
19
    important?
20
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Just so that you are in
21
    control of the weapon that you are holding.
2.2
              MS. WILSON: And another rule, let's see,
23
    have you guys heard about, Always be sure of your
24
    target and what is behind it. Have you guys heard
25
    that one as well?
```

```
I think I see you Juror Number 53 nodding,
 1
    Mr. Berger. You have heard that rule before?
 2
 3
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
 4
              MS. WILSON: What do you know about that
 5
    rule?
              POTENTIAL JUROR: It is one of the rules.
 6
 7
                            Why do you think it is
              MS. WILSON:
 8
    important?
 9
              POTENTIAL JUROR: You need to know what
10
    your target is, where your target is, what is behind
11
    it. So if you don't miss your target, you don't
12
    hurt or harm somebody else or something else.
13
              MS. WILSON: And that would be important
14
    shooting at night, per se?
15
              POTENTIAL JUROR: That would be important
16
    shooting at night.
17
              MS. WILSON: Thank you.
18
              I wanted to talk just a little bit about
19
    the distinction between an intruder and a
20
    trespasser. What does that mean to you-all if
21
    somebody is trespassing versus somebody intruding?
2.2
              Ms. Howells, Number 59.
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I feel like an intruder
23
24
    would pose more threat. You would feel more
25
    threatened, so your instinct to protect your family
```

```
would come more naturally versus somebody who is
 1
 2
    trespassing.
 3
               MS. WILSON: When you are thinking of
 4
    somebody trespassing --
 5
               POTENTIAL JUROR: Maybe coming through
 6
    your yard, walking on your property, maybe digging
 7
    through your trash, I don't know.
 8
               MS. WILSON: And intruder what do you
 9
    think of?
10
               POTENTIAL JUROR: Coming through your
    window or front door.
11
12
               MS. WILSON: Thank you.
13
               I appreciate your time.
14
               Thank you so much.
15
               THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Wilson.
16
               I will now turn to Mr. Elsenheimer.
17
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Thank you, Your Honor.
18
               Good morning everyone. My name is Aric
19
    Elsenheimer, and I represent Douglas Smith.
                                                   I am
20
    joined by my colleague Amanda Lavin and my colleague
21
    Daniel Berg.
2.2
               First of all, I want to thank you for
23
    being here and participating in the jury selection
24
    process. In my view, this is one of the most
25
    important things that we as citizens can do in a
```

democracy. I want to thank you for participating in this. I know it is time out of your day and it is time away from your jobs and your families and all of that, so I really appreciate that.

2.2

The voir dire process, the word, I think the word voir dire, what they mean and whether it is Latin or French, voir dire means to tell the truth. And that is really what we are talking about here in the voir dire process. We are asking you to tell the truth about your background and your experience and things that have happened to you in your life that shapes the way you think about the world and that shapes the way you think about events that you see or, in this case, for example, evidence that you are going to hear.

You know, we have been talking about are you biased against the prosecution or might you be biased against the defense. I want to talk about that word bias. We are not asking, you know, we hear the word bias and there is something that sounds maybe icky or bad about that. I don't mean that in any way that it is a bad thing. All of us, because of our experiences, because of where we have been in our life and the things we have done, have particular views on the world. And in that way we

might view things in a certain way and that is what 1 2 we are talking about. It is not bias, it is really 3 what shapes our perspective on events. 4 So, you know, let me ask again, is 5 anybody, based on what you have heard today, based 6 on what the Judge has said about what this case, 7 what the evidence is you might hear in this case, is 8 anybody concerned you might have a particular perspective against the defense or against the 9 10 prosecution? Does anybody, after you have thought 11 about this or heard us talk about this, does anybody 12 have any thoughts that kind of rise up in your 13 minds, anybody? In a couple of minutes I want to talk 14 15 about the burden of proof and the burden on the 16 prosecution and the requirement that they prove 17 their case beyond a reasonable doubt. But before I 18 get to that I think that a number of you raised your 19 hands that you are gun owners. I am going to test 20 my memory here and look around and see if I can 21 remember who raised their hand awhile ago when the 2.2 Judge asked that. 23 Ms. Nixon, I think you raised your hand. 24 Did you raise your hand? 25 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.

```
Why is it you own guns?
 1
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I married a man who has
 2
 3
    guns and now they are shared.
 4
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Why is it that your
    husband owns guns?
 5
              POTENTIAL JUROR: He used to hunt with his
 6
 7
    dad.
 8
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                Okay. Thank you.
 9
              Anyone else who I can't remember.
10
    Mr. Rushton, did you say you own guns?
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I do not but I have used
11
12
    them.
13
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                Okay. Why do you think
14
    somebody might own a gun?
              POTENTIAL JUROR: For shooting.
15
16
    father-in-law, he does skeet shooting and other gun
17
    target practices and other things.
18
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                Kind of shooting sports?
19
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                Yes, thank you.
20
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                Thank you, Mr. Rushton.
21
              Who on this side raised their hands about
2.2
    gun ownership? Can I ask, is it Mr. Villa, why do
23
    you own guns?
24
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Home protection.
25
    Personal home protection.
```

THE COURT: I think he said --1 2 MR. ELSENHEIMER: I'm sorry, would you 3 mind stating that again, Mr. Villa. 4 POTENTIAL JUROR: Home and personal 5 protection. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. MR. ELSENHEIMER: On the flip side of 7 8 that, you know, a lot of people have very strong 9 feelings about guns one way or the other. 10 criticism either side but, you know, we hear in the 11 news, we hear in the newspaper and on TV that a lot 12 of people have very strong emotions about gun 13 ownership, so I have asked people to raise hands who 14 own guns. 15 What about the flip side of that? 16 anybody have a really strong feeling that people 17 should not have guns, that guns are maybe a problem? 18 Does anybody have feelings like that? 19 Let me ask you, let me go back, and the 20 Judge talked about this, and I want to talk a little 21 bit more. In our society someone who is charged 2.2 with a crime is presumed innocent. They are 23 innocent unless and only unless the Government can 24 prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt. 25 Government has charged that Mr. Smith -- charged

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

```
Mr. Smith with second-degree murder. Mr. Smith is
innocent as he sits there. He is innocent and he
remains innocent unless the Government proves their
case beyond a reasonable doubt.
          Does anybody have a problem with that?
Does anybody have a concern that they might not be
able to honor that principle?
          Will you all commit to honoring that
principle that this man is innocent and he remains
innocent and if you have a question about what
happened or a question about the evidence that the
Government has put on, what will you do if you have
a question and you don't think that they have proven
their case beyond a reasonable doubt? What do you
   What is your verdict? What would your verdict
been in that situation?
          POTENTIAL JUROR: He would be innocent.
          MR. ELSENHEIMER: And you would find him
not guilty?
          POTENTIAL JUROR:
                           That's correct.
          MR. ELSENHEIMER: Thank you, Mr. Rushton.
Anyone else?
          Ms. Nixon, in that situation what would
your verdict be?
          POTENTIAL JUROR: If the Government has
```

not proven their case, then I would find him not 1 2 quilty. 3 MR. ELSENHEIMER: What if you had a 4 question, you had a question about what happened and 5 the evidence just, it was like a puzzle and there 6 were a couple of pieces missing from the puzzle, you 7 know, to have full proof beyond a reasonable doubt 8 you needed those extra pieces. Would you want to 9 hear from Mr. Smith or would you want the defense to 10 put on something? POTENTIAL JUROR: Are we allowed to ask 11 12 questions? 13 MR. ELSENHEIMER: The juror would be 14 allowed to ask questions. After all of those 15 questions, after the evidence has come in, I am 16 asking you at that --17 THE COURT: I am not sure the jury gets to 18 ask questions. 19 MR. ELSENHEIMER: After all of the 20 evidence is in, you are back in the jury room 21 deliberating and there are a couple of pieces of 2.2 that puzzle missing, would you want the defense to 23 do anything to put in that evidence to say oh, why 24 didn't the defense provide this evidence or provide 25 this testimony? Would you require that?

POTENTIAL JUROR: 1 No. 2 MR. ELSENHEIMER: You would put it 3 entirely on the Government. 4 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 5 MR. ELSENHEIMER: Thank you, Ms. Nixon. 6 I know we touched on this, I want to go 7 over it one more time. We are at the tail end of 8 the pandemic, knock on wood. But I know that some 9 people, a lot of us, those of us who are up here are 10 vaccinated, we don't wear masks. Is anybody 11 concerned about that? Does anybody have a concern 12 that might shape how you view the lawyers, the court 13 staff or anything like that in this case for not 14 wearing a mask? 15 THE COURT: Or the Judge? 16 MR. ELSENHEIMER: Or the Judge. 17 May I have a moment, Your Honor? 18 THE COURT: You may. 19 MR. ELSENHEIMER: Ms. Vidal, I think you 20 mentioned that you are traveling. Is it the 24th 21 you are leaving town? 2.2 POTENTIAL JUROR: The 21st. 23 MR. ELSENHEIMER: The 21st. So in 24 preparation for that you have to get ready to 25 travel. That sometimes takes time. I think you

mentioned you are also working? 1 2 POTENTIAL JUROR: I do. 3 MR. ELSENHEIMER: There is also childcare. 4 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. MR. ELSENHEIMER: So my question for you 5 6 is this: So if this trial goes to the end of this 7 week, is there any concern that you might be 8 distracted or not be focused on the evidence that 9 you hear in this case because you are going to be 10 making preparation or concerned about travel plans? 11 POTENTIAL JUROR: I think there will be a 12 little bit of anxiety, but I still think I would be 13 able to focus. 14 MR. ELSENHEIMER: Thank you very much, 15 ladies and gentlemen. Thank you. 16 THE COURT: All right. That concludes the 17 questioning process, so let me explain to you what we are going to do. You're the third group that we 18 19 have met with. We met with two groups yesterday, so 20 I will need to get together with the lawyers and we 21 are going to go through all three groups. And I am 2.2 expecting that we will not keep you waiting too 23 long, but the way the process works is you will 24 wait, second floor. 25 Yvonne will let you know where to go and

```
when the attorneys and I finish our work, I will
 1
 2
    bring in each group as they appeared with us
 3
                So I will bring the first group in and
    yesterday.
 4
    then the second group and then you are the third
 5
    group, so it would take maybe a little bit of time
 6
    but I think I can be done with the lawyers, I don't
 7
    know, in about 20 minutes or so. So after that, we
 8
    will bring in the first group and then the second
 9
    group and the third, then you, the third group.
10
               That is my long-winded way of saying that
11
    I think we will be done with you by noon, but I do
12
    not expect you to come back this afternoon unless
13
    you are selected for the jury.
14
               So if you are selected for the jury, then
15
    my plan is that we would start with our opening
16
    statements and then go on to the presentation of
17
    evidence this afternoon.
18
               So we will hit the gas, pedal to the
19
    metal, I guess, is the saying. We will get going on
20
    the case. So I will excuse you-all at this time so
21
    please rise, everyone, while our jury panel leaves
2.2
    the courtroom.
23
               (Whereupon the jury panel exited the
24
    courtroom.)
25
               (Open court, outside the presence of the
```

```
1
    jury.)
 2
              THE COURT: So I will give you-all some
 3
    time to go over your notes and we will get together
 4
    here in the courtroom in 15 minutes or so.
 5
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Is the Court willing to
 6
    let us know how we are going to do, so we are
 7
    looking over our notes for cause but how you are
 8
    going to handle peremptories today?
              THE COURT: When you are ready we will
 9
10
    take up first the challenges for cause on the third
11
    group and then we will go through the peremptories
12
    for all three groups.
13
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Okay.
                                        Could I suggest
14
    just five minutes on cause challenges because I
15
    don't think there were that many.
16
              THE COURT:
                           Sure. Are you ready to go now
17
    on challenges for cause?
               (Discussion off the record.)
18
19
              THE COURT: Are you ready to go on cause?
20
              MR. NAYBACK: We are, Your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. Nayback?
2.2
              MR. NAYBACK: Number 50, Robert J. Villa.
23
    This is the gentleman who first spoke to the Court
24
    about a number of issues, but said --
25
              THE COURT:
                           Well, hold on.
                                           I prefer to
```

```
find out whether or not there is any dispute.
 1
 2
              MR. NAYBACK:
                             I'm sorry.
 3
              THE COURT: Mr. Elsenheimer?
 4
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: We disagree.
 5
              THE COURT:
                           Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Nayback.
                            So he told the Court that he
 6
              MR. NAYBACK:
 7
    teaches a class at the Los Alamos National Labs.
 8
    know that he is a financial analyst of sorts, that
 9
    he cannot reschedule on Thursday.
10
              That, in addition to he seemed to be fine
11
    with his masking and glove practice, but the class
12
    that cannot be rescheduled I think would take him --
13
    he didn't budge on that and I think for that reason
    we seem to have enough jurors that he should be
14
15
    excused for cause.
16
              THE COURT:
                          Mr. Elsenheimer?
17
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Mr. Villa said that
18
    there is no coverage but perhaps he could change his
19
    schedule. He didn't seem like that was a problem.
20
              THE COURT:
                           I agree.
                                    It didn't seem like
21
           I think he said perhaps is the only question
2.2
    in my mind but he didn't say it was impossible,
23
    unlike, say, other people who did. So I will deny
24
    the challenge for cause. I would have excused him
25
    based on some of the information in his
```

```
questionnaire about the autoimmune, but he didn't
 1
 2
    seem to have an issue today so --
 3
              MR. NAYBACK:
                             I don't know if anyone else
 4
    noticed, I just noticed him sleeping a fair amount
    in the front row. I don't know if the autoimmune
 5
 6
    has anything to do with it but his eyes were shut a
 7
    fair amount of time.
 8
              THE COURT: I didn't see. I couldn't see
 9
    that.
10
              MS. LAVIN: We didn't see that, either.
11
              THE COURT: Next?
12
              MR. NAYBACK: Mitzi Yvonne Enriquez said
13
    she has child --
14
              THE COURT: Hold on.
15
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: No opposition.
16
              THE COURT: Okay. We will excuse
17
    Number 54, Ms. Enriquez.
18
              MR. NAYBACK: Number 65, Michael Douglas
19
    Conway.
20
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                No opposition.
21
              THE COURT: We will excuse Mr. Conway.
2.2
    Those people in Northern New Mexico need their
23
    propane.
24
              Next.
25
              MR. NAYBACK: That is the end of the
```

```
1
    panel.
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Your Honor, we would
 2
 3
    move for Number 45, Hind Joseph.
 4
              THE COURT: Is there objection?
 5
              MR. NAYBACK: Yes.
 6
              THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead,
 7
    Mr. Elsenheimer.
 8
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: She said she would
 9
    definitely give law enforcement more weight than lay
10
    witnesses. I think that is a basis for cause.
11
              MR. NAYBACK: I changed my mind. No
12
    objection.
13
              THE COURT: Ms. Joseph is excused.
    Anybody else?
14
15
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: No, Your Honor, I don't
16
    believe so.
17
              MR. NAYBACK: No, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. So I will let you
18
19
    go to work to come up with your peremptories.
20
    will get together in, what do you need, ten minutes,
21
    15 minutes?
2.2
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Can we have 15?
23
              (A recess was taken.)
24
              THE COURT: So what I will do is I am
25
    starting with, obviously, Group Number 1 and my plan
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and I take no offense if anybody corrects me because my plan is to take turns. I will start with the peremptories with the Government and then you go second, but then I switch it, I alternate. So then the next one you will go first and they will go second. And sometimes I confuse myself, so I expect that you will let me know if it is not your turn to go first. MR. ELSENHEIMER: Before we get going, Yvonne mentioned that Juror Number 35, I believe it is Veronica Black had mentioned to somebody in the jury staff that she has something on Thursday afternoon into Friday which could pose a problem. THE COURT: She did say that to court She did not say that to us in the courtroom staff. yesterday. So I don't know, what do you think? MR. ELSENHEIMER: We could bring her in for questioning just to clarify or find out more about that to see if it is a problem. If it is something that she has a conflict on then that could really pose a problem. Maybe it came up since she was in here yesterday. She is 35, so it would have been in the afternoon. THE COURT: I guess we could bring her in or we could put her on the jury and if you don't --

```
1
              MR. NAYBACK: We are going to have
 2
    alternates.
 3
              THE COURT: That is where I was about to
 4
    go. We are going to have two alternates, so if we
 5
    lose her, then we lose her.
 6
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: That's true.
 7
              MR. NAYBACK: We fully intend to be done
 8
    with our case on schedule, if we are starting at
    1:30 today. We are resting tomorrow.
 9
10
    witnesses, three or four will be done today. We'll
    rest tomorrow.
11
12
              The defense case, I can't comment on it,
13
    but the witnesses they listed are three or four.
    can't see it going into Friday or beyond Friday.
14
15
    if she is on the jury, then she has to go, then we
16
    have two alternates and maybe we should pick 14.
17
              THE COURT: Well, I think we said 14, but
18
    something said, well, maybe 13, depending on how
19
    many numbers we have.
20
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: I don't see how it hurts
21
    for us to have two minutes of a very brief voir dire
2.2
    with her about what her plans are.
23
              THE COURT: Well, is she anywhere nearby?
24
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: She is in Pecos.
25
               (Whereupon Jury Panel Number 35 enters the
```

```
1
    courtroom.)
              THE COURT: All right. Ms. Black is with
 2
 3
    us, Jury Panel Number 35.
 4
              Ms. Black, one of my court staff said that
 5
    you brought, you mentioned to her that you have some
 6
    kind of a conflict later in the week?
 7
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, on Friday.
 8
    thought it was next Friday that I had off, but when
    I called my work and let them know that I might be
 9
10
    here for the rest of the week, they reminded me I
11
    requested for this Friday off.
12
              THE COURT: Okay. So if this case ends on
13
    Thursday, you're fine?
14
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I am fine if it ends on
15
    Thursday.
16
              THE COURT: Okay. If it goes into Friday
17
    would you be able to make any kind of changes to
18
    your plans?
19
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No.
                                      It's a family
20
    gathering. We have not seen my mom in awhile.
21
    and my kids are leaving Thursday.
2.2
              THE COURT: Do you have plane reservations
23
    or are you driving?
24
              POTENTIAL JUROR: We are driving
25
    six hours.
```

```
THE COURT: It is something you couldn't
 1
 2
    postpone a day if we were still here on Friday?
 3
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I really don't want to
 4
    because we haven't seen her since the beginning of
 5
    last year, I believe, so --
 6
              THE COURT: All right. Let me ask the
 7
    attorneys if they have any questions for Ms. Black.
 8
              Ms. Wilson?
 9
              MS. WILSON: Yes. If you are leaving to
10
    see her on Friday, is it the weekend you are going
    to be with her, that whole weekend?
11
12
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. Leaving Thursday.
13
              MS. WILSON: Leaving Thursday and the
    whole weekend Friday, Saturday, Sunday with her?
14
15
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
16
              MS. WILSON: Okay. It would just cut into
17
    that time.
18
              POTENTIAL JUROR: It is just not hers,
19
    other family as well.
20
              THE COURT: I'm sorry.
21
              POTENTIAL JUROR: It is other family as
2.2
    well.
23
              MS. WILSON: All right. I understand that
24
    it would cut into the time that weekend with the
25
    family.
```

```
That is it.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Mr. Elsenheimer, do you have
 3
    any questions for Ms. Black?
 4
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Ms. Black, what time are
 5
    you leaving on Thursday?
 6
              POTENTIAL JUROR: After I get off of work,
 7
    so about 5:00.
 8
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: So you are spending the
 9
    weekend?
10
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                Yes.
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: It is a family
11
12
    gathering?
13
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I will
14
15
    excuse you from the room at this time.
16
               (Whereupon Jury Panel Number 35 exits the
17
    courtroom.)
18
              THE COURT: All right. Any comment?
19
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: I move again to excuse
20
    her for cause. It seems like we have plenty of
21
    folks on the panel. It seems like if we do go into
2.2
    Friday with deliberations that would complicate her
23
    plans.
24
              THE COURT: Your response?
25
              MS. WILSON: We will leave it up to
```

```
It sounds like it would cut into her
 1
    Your Honor.
 2
    weekend time, which I think, you know, she didn't
 3
    want to do but it wasn't anything that involved
 4
    plane tickets, nothing firm. We still think we are
 5
    going to be able to conclude our case early.
 6
    with anticipation of that, we are sort of -- we will
 7
    leave it to Your Honor but we think that we would
 8
    object to that cause.
 9
              THE COURT:
                          Anything else?
10
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: No, Your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: I am going to deny the
12
    challenge for cause. I expect we will be done by
13
    Thursday. If by some chance we are not, we do have
14
    two alternates. So the only issue would be at which
15
    point would she be excused because if the jury
16
    starts deliberating on Thursday and then she leaves,
17
    I would not want to have to bring an alternate in
    and then start the deliberations all over again.
18
                                                        So
19
    does that affect anybody's thinking?
20
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                I mean, that is the
21
    reason we are moving for cause. I understand the
2.2
    Government might finish but the risk, I think, is
    deliberations. If she is not an alternate and that
23
24
    then spills over into Friday and she is going to be
25
    perhaps rushed or not wanting to participate, that
```

```
could be the problem. It doesn't seem like --
 1
 2
              THE COURT: I am less concerned really
 3
    about her travel as I am about my jury's
 4
    deliberations. So now I am more inclined to excuse
 5
    her for cause because I don't want deliberations to
 6
    start and then we end up in a problem because she
 7
    has to leave or she doesn't leave and she is rushed,
 8
    you know, she pushes one way or another because she
 9
    wants to get on the road, so I am going to excuse
10
    her for cause.
              Let's start with Juror Number 1. We will
11
12
    begin with Mr. Nayback. Juror Number 1 is Jerrina
13
              Mr. Nayback?
    Sanchez.
14
              MR. NAYBACK:
                            Accept.
15
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Accept.
16
              THE COURT: Our next juror that we will
17
    consider is Adam Baker, Number 3.
18
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Accept.
19
              MR. NAYBACK: Reject.
20
              THE COURT: Mr. Baker is excused.
21
              Next is Juror Number 5, Stevenson.
2.2
              Mr. Nayback?
23
              MR. NAYBACK: Accept.
24
              THE COURT: Mr. Elsenheimer?
25
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                 Accept.
```

```
THE COURT: We have two jurors.
 1
               Next is Juror Number 6, Alicia Broadhurst.
 2
 3
               Mr. Elsenheimer?
 4
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: Reject.
 5
               MR. NAYBACK: Accept.
 6
               THE COURT: She is already rejected.
 7
               MR. NAYBACK:
                             Sorry.
               THE COURT: Next is Number 7, Ms. Roberts.
 8
 9
               Mr. Nayback?
10
               MR. NAYBACK:
                             Accept.
11
               THE COURT: Mr. Elsenheimer?
12
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: Reject.
13
               THE COURT: Next is Mr. Renner.
14
               Mr. Elsenheimer?
15
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: Reject.
16
               THE COURT: Next is Number 16, Jared
17
    McBrayer.
18
               Mr. Nayback?
19
               MR. NAYBACK:
                             Accept.
20
               MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                Accept.
21
               THE COURT: Next is Juror Number 24.
2.2
               Mr. Elsenheimer?
23
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: Reject.
24
               THE COURT: So that is your fourth.
25
               Next is Number 25, Steven Rodrigue.
```

```
not sure how he pronounces it.
 1
 2
              Mr. Nayback?
 3
              MR. NAYBACK:
                            Reject.
 4
              THE COURT: That is your second challenge.
 5
              Number 26, Barbara Christensen.
              Mr. Elsenheimer?
 6
 7
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                 Reject.
 8
              THE COURT:
                           That is your fifth strike.
 9
              Next is Number 27, Allen Blue-Sky.
10
              Mr. Nayback?
11
              MR. NAYBACK: Accept.
12
              THE COURT: Mr. Elsenheimer?
13
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                 Reject.
14
              MR. NAYBACK: Your Honor, could I lodge a
15
    Batson challenge? I believe Mr. Blue-Sky was a
16
    tribal member. I brought this up in the past and I
    don't know of a nonracial issue. I would like to
17
18
    hear the nonracial issue that the defense is using.
19
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Your Honor, Mr. Blue-Sky
20
    is working in Santa Fe. He works for Target.
                                                   He is
21
    trying to work a lot to move to Albuquerque. He did
2.2
    say that he would, that he thought that would be a
23
    problem. But I just got the impression from his
24
    first explanation that work and trying to earn as
25
    much money is important to him, that if
```

```
deliberations carried into the weekend or the next
 1
 2
    week, that would pose a problem.
 3
               THE COURT: Any comment?
 4
              MR. NAYBACK: I have in quotes that it
 5
    would just bother him a little bit. He seemed, he
 6
    was very vocal. He seemed willing to sit on the
    jury and this was next month.
 7
                                    It didn't seem to
 8
    have anything to relate to June.
 9
               THE COURT: He did say it was the end of
10
    next month.
               MR. NAYBACK: He also said it wouldn't cut
11
12
    into his deliberations.
13
               THE COURT: But he did say he was trying
14
    to make as much money as he could and he was working
15
    extra hours, so that is a nonracial reason, so I
16
    will overrule the Batson objection.
17
               I believe that was your sixth strike; is
18
    that right?
19
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: That is right,
20
    Your Honor.
21
               THE COURT: Next is Juror Number 28,
2.2
    Andres Rivera.
23
              Mr. Elsenheimer?
24
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: We will accept
25
    Mr. Rivera.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Mr. Nayback?
 2
               MR. NAYBACK: Reject.
               THE COURT: So that is the Government's
 3
    third strike.
 4
 5
               Next Number 23, Taylor Lynn Herson.
 6
               Mr. Nayback?
 7
               MR. NAYBACK:
                            Accept.
 8
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: Accept.
 9
               THE COURT: So that was our fourth juror.
10
               Next is Number 30, Katherine Romero.
11
               Mr. Elsenheimer?
12
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: Accept.
13
               THE COURT: Mr. Nayback.
14
               MR. NAYBACK: Accept.
15
               THE COURT: Five jurors, okay.
16
               Next is Number 31, David Anthony Mardo.
17
               Mr. Nayback?
18
               MR. NAYBACK: Accept.
19
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: Accept.
20
               THE COURT: Next is Number 33, Jordan
21
    Alexander.
2.2
              Mr. Elsenheimer?
23
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: Reject.
24
               THE COURT: That is your seventh
25
    challenge.
```

```
Next is Number 34, Joanne LaFrak.
 1
 2
               Mr. Nayback?
 3
               MR. NAYBACK: Accept.
               THE COURT: Mr. Elsenheimer?
 4
 5
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: Accept.
 6
               THE COURT: Next is Juror Number 38, David
 7
    Devlin.
 8
               Mr. Elsenheimer?
 9
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: Reject.
10
               THE COURT: That is your eighth strike.
11
               Next is Number 3, Iliana Velasquez.
12
               Mr. Nayback?
13
               MR. NAYBACK:
                             Accept.
14
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: Reject.
15
               THE COURT: She is your ninth strike.
16
               Next is Adrian Montes.
17
               Mr. Elsenheimer?
18
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: He was for cause.
19
               THE COURT: I'm sorry. So 41, Lisa Ann
20
    Finch.
21
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: Accept.
2.2
               THE COURT: Mr. Nayback?
23
               MR. NAYBACK: Reject.
24
               THE COURT: All right. That is your
25
    fourth strike.
```

```
1
               Next is Juror Number 42, Kara Komula.
 2
               Mr. Nayback.
 3
               MR. NAYBACK:
                            Accept.
 4
               MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                 Reject.
 5
               THE COURT: That is your tenth peremptory.
 6
               Then moving to Number 44, Jesse Kistler.
 7
               Mr. Nayback?
 8
               MR. NAYBACK:
                            Accept.
 9
               THE COURT: We have eight jurors. Next is
10
    Number 46, Denny Begay.
11
               Mr. Nayback?
12
               MR. NAYBACK: Accept.
13
               THE COURT: Next is Number 47, Jennifer
14
    Nixon.
15
               Mr. Nayback?
16
               MR. NAYBACK: Accept.
17
               THE COURT: Next is Number 48, Jeanette
    Crandall.
18
19
               Mr. Nayback?
20
               MR. NAYBACK: Accept.
21
               THE COURT: Next is Number 49, Gina
2.2
    Foster.
23
               Mr. Nayback?
24
               MR. NAYBACK: Accept.
25
               THE COURT: So we have 12. We will select
```

```
two alternates, and I will give, I usually give each
 1
 2
    side an additional peremptory for alternate
 3
    selection.
              Robert Villa. I will start with the
 4
 5
    defense.
 6
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Accept.
 7
              THE COURT: Mr. Nayback?
 8
              MR. NAYBACK:
                             Reject.
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. Next is Daniel Rushton.
10
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Accept.
11
              THE COURT: Mr. Nayback?
12
              MR. NAYBACK: Do I still have a choice?
13
              THE COURT: Well, I don't have you as
14
    using all of your six peremptories.
15
              MR. NAYBACK: We accept 51.
16
              THE COURT: So 51 you accept and
17
    Mr. Elsenheimer you accepted also, right?
18
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Yes, ma'am.
19
              THE COURT: Next is Number 52, Eli Xavier
20
    Yazzie.
21
              Mr. Nayback?
2.2
              MR. NAYBACK:
                             Accept.
23
              THE COURT: Mr. Elsenheimer?
24
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Accept.
25
              THE COURT: All right. So Mr. Yazzie is
```

```
our second alternate. So I think we are in
 1
 2
    business. So I am showing, not in alphabetical
 3
    order but in numerical order our jury includes
 4
    Number 1, Ms. Sanchez; Number 5, Mr. Stevenson;
 5
    Number 16, Mr. McBrayer; Number 29, Taylor Herson;
 6
    Number 30, Romero; Number 31, Mardo; Number 34,
 7
    LeFrak; Number 44, Kistler; Number 46, Begay;
 8
    Number 47, Nixon; Number 48, Crandall; and
 9
    Number 49, Foster. And our two alternates are
10
    Rushton first and Yazzie is the second.
11
              Do I have it right?
12
              MR. NAYBACK: That comports.
13
              THE COURT: All right. So we will have
14
    the panels come in one at a time. I will let the
15
    jurors who are selected know that they have been
16
    selected and then I will excuse the remaining four
17
    people, I guess it is on Panel 1, and likewise with
18
    Panel 2. Of course, with Panel 3, everybody will
19
    come in and I will simply announce which members of
20
    Panel 3 have been selected and then everybody else
21
    goes.
2.2
              My plan is to have everybody come back at
23
    1:30 and I will give the jury the preliminary
24
    instructions after lunch and then we are off to the
25
    races, as they say. Good enough?
```

1 MR. NAYBACK: Yes, thank you. 2 MR. ELSENHEIMER: Yes. 3 (Whereupon the following proceedings were 4 held in Open Court.) 5 (Whereupon Prospective Jurors, Jury Panel 6 Number 1 enter the courtroom.) 7 THE COURT: Please be seated. We have 8 with us in the courtroom the several members of the 9 just panel who have not yet been excused. So what I 10 am going to do is I am going to announce the names 11 or, so, Yvonne, she is usually the one who announces 12 the names of the jurors. What we are going to do is 13 she will give you instructions, if you are on the 14 jury, she will give you instructions when we're 15 done. 16 Before we do that, I want to make sure I 17 thank everybody. Those of you who are not selected, 18 I want to thank you for your attendance, for your 19 patience and for your participation in this process 20 because it's been a major pain in the neck to have 21 to do things this way, split it up and make people 2.2 It's been a problem for everyone. It has wait. 23 been a problem for us, too. I want you to know that 24 I appreciate your patience and your participation in 25 this process.

So with that, Yvonne, if you could 1 2 announce the members of the jury, please. 3 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror Number 1 is 4 Jerrina Sanchez. Juror Number 2 is Cody Stevenson. 5 Juror Number 3 is Jared McBrayer. 6 THE COURT: So for those of you who are 7 not selected, maybe we will catch you another time. 8 But thank you for your patience and your attendance 9 It was very important, so thank you. You 10 are excused. 11 (Whereupon the Prospective Jurors exited 12 the courtroom.) 13 THE COURT: We may have time before lunch to maybe give the jury the preliminary instructions. 14 15 So if it is, if we have some time, I will do that, 16 it won't take very long. 17 Given the fact that it is not 12:00 yet, I may have time before we break for lunch to give the 18 19 jury the preliminary instructions which I don't 20 think will take very long, but we might as well do 21 what we can. 2.2 When I do instruct give the jury the 23 preliminary instructions I usually say that the 24 defendant's attorney may make an opening statement. 25 So I am assuming that you are not going to reserve

```
1
    your statement for later.
 2
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: We are going to do
 3
    openings after lunch, right?
 4
              THE COURT: Openings after lunch.
 5
    instruct the jury, I want to know.
 6
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: We are not reserving.
               (Whereupon Prospective Jurors, Jury Panel
 7
 8
    Number 2 enter the courtroom.)
 9
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please be seated.
10
              THE COURT: Welcome back everyone. Thank
11
    you for your patience. Several of you will be
12
    selected for this jury, most of you will not.
13
    what we will do is I will, in a moment, have Yvonne
14
    announce the names of the jurors who have been
15
    selected, the rest of you then will be excused.
16
              But I want to thank all of you for your
17
    service and your patience. I know it probably
18
    wasn't the most thrilling thing to wait for us all
19
    morning, but I truly appreciate it.
                                          It was
20
    important, and so I want you to know that you have
21
    made a contribution here whether you are selected
2.2
    for this jury or not.
23
              So for those of you who are not selected,
24
    I certainly hope we will have a chance to work again
25
    in some trial in the future.
```

```
1
              So with that, Yvonne can you announce our
 2
    jury, please.
 3
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror Number 4 is going
 4
    to be Taylor Herson; Number 5, Katherine Romero;
 5
    Number 6, David Mardo; Number 7, Joanne LeFrak; and
    Juror Number 8 is Jesse Kistler.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: So for the rest of you who
 8
    were not selected for this jury, once again, thank
 9
          Thank you for your participation and you're
10
    excused at this time.
11
               (Whereupon Prospective Jurors exited the
12
    courtroom.)
13
               (Whereupon Prospective Jurors, Jury Panel
14
    Number 3 entered the courtroom.)
15
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please rise.
16
              THE COURT: All right. Everybody can take
17
    their seats. Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen of
18
    the jury panel. We have completed the work in
19
    selecting our jury. And several from this group
20
    will be selected and most of you will not be
21
    selected for this jury.
2.2
              So to those of you who are not selected,
23
    you will be excused, but I don't want you to go
24
    before I have a chance to tell you that I greatly
25
    appreciate your participation in this process. Our
```

```
system really does depend on people like you who are
 1
 2
    willing to come and participate in this.
 3
               Now, I know you don't always have a
 4
    choice, but your participation and your attendance
 5
    is really important and appreciated. So thank you
 6
    for your patience.
 7
               And at this time Yvonne will announce the
 8
    individuals who have been selected.
 9
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror Number 9 is Denny
10
    Begay; Number 10, Jennifer Nixon; Number 11,
11
    Jeanette Crandall; Number 12 is Gina Foster;
12
    Number 13, Daniel Rushton; and Number 14 is Eli
    Yazzie.
13
14
               THE COURT: So once again to those of you
15
    who were not selected, I thank you for your
16
    participation, and I will excuse you at this time.
17
               Thank you.
18
               (Whereupon Prospective Jurors exited the
19
    courtroom.)
20
               THE COURT: So ladies and gentlemen of the
21
    jury, now that you have been selected, I will ask
2.2
    you all to stand and Yvonne will administer the
23
    oath.
24
               Everyone else can have a seat.
25
               (Whereupon the jury was sworn.)
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE COURT: So ladies and gentlemen of the jury, let me just tell you what we are going to do. We will break for lunch in just a couple of moments, but I want to give you some preliminary instructions. And I also want to let you know that we will begin with the opening statements at 1:30. Because everything is a little bit different with the COVID protocols that we are doing, Yvonne will let you know where you will congregate before you come into the courtroom at 1:30. But at this time I am going to give you some preliminary instructions. So members of the jury, at the end of the trial I will give you detailed guidance on the law and how you will go about reaching your decision, but now I simply want to generally explain how the trial will proceed. This criminal case has been brought by the United States Government. I will sometimes refer to the United States as the Government or the prosecution. The United States is represented by Novalene Wilson and Kyle Nayback who are both Assistant United States Attorneys. The defendant, Douglas Smith, is represented by his lawyers Aric Elsenheimer, Amanda

Lavin, and Dan Berg.

2.2

The Indictment charges the defendant with second-degree murder. The Indictment is simply the description of the charges made by the Government against the defendant. It is not evidence of guilt or of anything else.

The defendant pleaded not guilty and is presumed innocent. He may not be found guilty by you unless all 12 of you unanimously find that the Government has proved his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, that the Government has proved his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

The first step in the trial will be the opening statements. The Government in its opening statement will tell you about the evidence which it intends to put before you. Just as the Indictment is not evidence, neither is the opening statement. Its purpose is only to help you understand what the evidence will be. It is a roadmap to show you what is ahead.

After the Government's opening statement, the defendant's attorney may make an opening statement, and then the evidence will be presented from which you will have to determine the facts.

The evidence will consist of the testimony

of the witnesses, documents and other things 1 received into the record as exhibits and any facts 2 3 about which the lawyers agree or to which they 4 stipulate. The Government will offer its evidence and 5 6 after the Government's evidence, the defendant's lawyer may present evidence, but is not required to 7 8 do so. 9 I remind you that the defendant is 10 presumed innocent and it is the Government that must prove the defendant's quilt beyond a reasonable 11 12 doubt. 13 If the defendant submits evidence, the 14 Government may then introduce rebuttal evidence. 15 At times during the trial a lawyer may 16 make an objection to a question asked by another 17 lawyer or to an answer by a witness. This simply 18 means that the lawyer is requesting that I make a 19 decision on a particular rule of law. Do not draw 20 any conclusion from such objections or from my 21 rulings on the objections. 2.2 If I sustain an objection to a question, 23 the witness may not answer it. Do not attempt to 24 guess what the answer might have been if I had

25

allowed the answer.

 $\label{eq:control_state} \mbox{If I overrule the objection, treat the} \\ \mbox{answer as any other.}$ 

2.2

If I tell you not to consider a particular statement, you may not refer to that statement in your later deliberations.

Similarly if I tell you to consider a particular piece of evidence for a specific purpose, you may consider it only for that purpose.

During the course of the trial I may have to interrupt the proceedings to confer with the attorneys about the rules of law that should apply. Sometimes we will talk briefly at the bench but some of these conferences may take more time, so I will excuse you from the courtroom. I will try to avoid such interruptions whenever possible, but please be patient even if the trial seems to be moving slowly, because these conferences often actually save time in the end.

You are to consider all of the evidence received in this trial. It will be up to you to decide what evidence is to be believed and how much of any witness' testimony to accept or reject.

After you have heard all the evidence on both sides, the Government and the defense will each be given time for their final arguments. And, of

course, one of the final parts of the trial is when I instruct you on the rules of law which you are to use in reaching your verdict.

2.2

Now, during the course of the trial I may ask a question of a witness. If I do, that does not indicate that I have any opinion about the facts in the case but only trying to bring out facts that you may consider.

If you would like to take notes during the trial, you may. On the other hand, you are not required to take notes. If you do decide to take notes, be careful not to get so involved in note taking that you become distracted. And remember that your notes will not necessarily reflect exactly what was said. So your notes should be used only as a memory aide. Therefore you should not give your notes precedence over your independent recollection of the evidence.

You should also not be unduly influenced by the notes of other jurors. If you do take notes, leave them in the jury room at night and do not discuss the contents of your notes until you begin deliberations.

During the course of the trial you should not talk with any witness or with the defendant or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

with any of the lawyers at all. In addition, during the course of the trial you should not talk about the trial with anyone else. Do not discuss the case with anyone or provide any information about the trial to anyone outside of the courtroom until the verdict is received. Do not use the Internet or any other form of electronic communication to provide any information. Simply put, do not communicate with anyone about the trial until your verdict is received. Also, you should not discuss this case among yourselves until I have instructed you on the law and you have gone into the jury room to make your decision at the end of the trial. It is important that you wait until all the evidence is received and you have heard my instructions on the controlling rules of law before you deliberate among yourselves. Let me add that during the course of the trial you will receive all the evidence you properly

Let me add that during the course of the trial you will receive all the evidence you properly may consider to decide the case. Because of this, you should not attempt to gather any information or do any research on your own.

Do not attempt to visit any places

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

mentioned in the case either actually or on the Internet, and do not in any other way try to learn about the case outside of the courtroom. Now the court reporter is making stenographic notes of everything that is said but this is basically to assist in any appeals. A typewritten copy of the testimony will not be available for your use during deliberations. On the other hand, any exhibits that are introduced will be available to you during your deliberations. So now that the trial has begun, you must not hear or read about it in the media. The reason for this is that your decision in this case must be based solely on the evidence presented in the trial. So with that, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I am going to excuse you for lunch. We will begin again in the courtroom at 1:30. Yvonne will assist you and let you know what time she would like you to be back in your meeting place. Where are you congregating? THE DEPUTY CLERK: In the jury assembly room. THE COURT: Have a nice lunch, drink your coffee and caffeine and we will get going on this case.

```
1
               So thank you all. We'll be in recess
    until 1:30.
 2
 3
               (Whereupon the jury exited the courtroom.)
 4
               (Open court, outside the presence of the
 5
    jury.)
               THE COURT: So I have reviewed the
 6
 7
    objection, which is Document Number 195 and I have
 8
    reviewed the Government's response, which is 196.
 9
               MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                 It might be 194 and 195.
10
               THE COURT: 194 and 195? I think your
11
    objection is 195.
12
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: That's right.
                                                I
13
    apologize, Your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: The Government's response is
15
    196.
16
               I understand Mr. Elsenheimer's objection
17
    is that certain language has been redacted.
18
    Pages 15, Line 20 through Page 16, Line 8.
19
               Right?
20
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: That is right,
21
    Your Honor.
2.2
               THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Nayback, any
23
    comment?
24
               MR. NAYBACK: Just, Your Honor, we worked
25
    hard on this. We think we are in compliance with
```

the Court's order. We think this is basically a 1 motion for reconsideration. This is something that 2 3 the Court has already determined to be a self-serving statement that is not admissible as an excited utterance. 5 6 We don't think that we have said anything 7 else that should cause the Court -- we have not 8 included anything else in our audio and in our redacted statement that should cause the Court to 9 10 change its mind on its original ruling. 11 THE COURT: Anything else? 12 MR. ELSENHEIMER: The specific problem, 13 Your Honor, is the Government's original, what they originally proposed to introduce into evidence 14 15 included that particular statement. I think that 16 was a ruling with regard to the Rule of Completeness 17 with regard to that statement. 18 Now, when we lodged those objections we 19 had that discussion. My understanding is that this 20 was a complete, so that statement coupled with 21 Mr. Smith's other statements seemed to give a 2.2 complete picture of what he said. 23 The Government's editing of that to redact 24 that statement poses a problem under the Rule of 25 Completeness because based on their representation,

4

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and I think what triggered this is the Government's representation in one of their pleadings that they seek to characterize Mr. Smith's statement as telling law enforcement that he shot four times and draws a diagram showing he was tracking Jane Doe's path of travel. That never happened. Mr. Smith's statement in its entirety, the complete statement, including Page 15, Line 20 through 16, Line 8 makes clear that Mr. Smith was not tracking or in any way shooting with the intention of hitting anybody or even thinking anybody was in front of him. So leaving that out under the Rule of Completeness allows a mischaracterization of Mr. Smith's statement. That raises a significant due process problem because essentially the Government is bringing in Mr. Smith's statement to make it appear as though he said one thing, but by selectively editing that, they are allowing a mischaracterization of that statement which could potentially, and the significant risk is it leaves the jury with a misinformed based on a mischaracterization of the entirety of what Mr. Smith said. That particular, I think that the entire

statement should be introduced out of fundamental 1 fairness and under the Rule of Completeness because 2 3 it is Mr. Smith's entire statement and you can't 4 selectively edit and cut and paste. 5 Specifically with regard to the due 6 process problem, I think at the very least we need 7 to introduce Mr. Smith's statement on Page 15, 8 Line 20 through 16, Line 8 to show that the 9 individual had run and he thought she was already 10 gone before he started firing. 11 THE COURT: Anything else? 12 MR. NAYBACK: Your Honor, the Court heard 13 this and we went through this. It seems like it was 14 at least three months ago. This is a last minute 15 objection that the Court, I believe, has already 16 ruled on. 17 There is nothing, there is nothing that Mr. Elsenheimer can point to that runs afoul of the 18 19 Court's initial very lengthy and well thought out 20 opinion as to what can come in and what can't. 21 don't see a violation of the Court's initial order 2.2 and that is how we make these things. You know, we 23 ask the Court to make a determination as to what is 24 self-serving, what is not. You required us to include some stuff that

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

we included, if we were going to choose another part. So I just don't see the purpose of the change. And Mr. Elsenheimer has the ability to cross-examine witnesses. It is not like he can't cross-examine the agent about this diagram that shows the defendant tracking Jane Doe's path of travel counterclockwise from noon to 9:00, 9 p.m. So I, and, again, we could probably make it happen, but I am concerned with the eve of trial we are about to start testimony that we can get this done in time. MR. ELSENHEIMER: One last thing. Government filed Exhibit 35, I want to say last week, the beginning of last week. The original redactions and portions of Mr. Smith's statement included that statement. And the redaction, as far as I am aware, was not made until, or at least not made clear to us until last week. Seeing that and the Government's representation that they are seeking to say Mr. Smith said something that he most clearly did not say raises that and prompted my filing of that because it is a particular problem, not only with regard to Exhibit 35 and coupled with the Government's representation or mischaracterization

of what they are seeking to say Mr. Smith said. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. I know I did make 3 rulings before that some of the transcript was 4 hearsay, not an excited utterance, self-serving, but 5 there were a number of passages that I allowed 6 because of the Rule of Completeness or the confusion 7 if information is not included. 8 I tend to view this excerpt as context 9 that would help make sense of information that 10 So I am going to sustain the objection and follows. 11 require that the Exhibit include what we see at 12 Pages 15 and 16, Page 15, Line 20 through Page 16, 13 Line 8. 14 And I know that technology is such that I 15 am confident you will be able to make the changes 16 you need to make, Mr. Nayback. Even in the dark ages when I practiced law, we would make changes on 17 18 the fly, so I know you can do it. 19 All right. Thank you. 20 MS. WILSON: Just to clarify Your Honor, I 21 think the Court's order, Document Number 158 Page 8, 2.2 in addition to that 15, 21, through 6, 18, the Court 23 said the Government was inclined to introduce 15, 24 20, 21 through 6, 9, 25. 25 So it includes more language and I think

```
those two sort of went hand-in-hand and parcel.
 1
 2
    since we excluded that latter part, we were not
 3
    intending to introduce. If we have to now include
 4
    the section that he is objecting to, we would ask
 5
    that we be able to include that additional line.
 6
              THE COURT: What is the addition again?
 7
              MS. WILSON: It is on Page 8. Page 16,
 8
    Lines 9 through 25. And I believe that is
 9
    consistent with the Court's order Document 148,
10
    Page 8, top of the table.
11
              THE COURT: Any comment, Mr. Elsenheimer?
12
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: I am not sure what the
13
    Government's seeking.
14
              THE COURT:
                          They want to add what follows
15
    the language you want. So you want through Line 8
16
    of Page 16 they say the rest of the page should also
17
    come in.
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: I don't have the
18
19
    unredacted transcript, I don't think.
20
              THE COURT: I have a marked up one.
21
    drew lines on what, who is asking for what.
2.2
              MS. WILSON: Again, Your Honor, that is
23
    what the Court ordered.
24
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: If that is what the
25
    Court ordered originally, that is fine with us.
```

```
THE COURT: So for the record tell me what
 1
 2
    your position is, Mr. Elsenheimer.
 3
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: My understanding is the
 4
    Government is saying if you are introducing 15, 20,
 5
    you are saying that goes over and down to 16, 8.
 6
              MS. WILSON: The rest of that page would
 7
    come in, Your Honor, 16, 9 through 25 and then the
 8
    top of 17, which was taken out just for context.
 9
              THE COURT: Mr. Elsenheimer?
10
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: The only objection to
    that is that we should have Mr. Smith's answer to
11
12
    Abeyta's question, which is down to Line 4, right?
13
              THE COURT: Ms. Wilson, I see Page 16,
14
    Line 25, the very last line, is a question.
15
              MS. WILSON: Yes.
16
              THE COURT: So you want the answer?
17
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Yes.
18
              MS. WILSON: So all of Page 17 would not
19
    be redacted.
20
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: I think the Government
21
    is saying that it would come over to Line 2 on
2.2
    Page 18. We agree with that.
23
              THE COURT: So it would include Line 2?
24
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: It currently does,
25
    anyway, and that is no problem with us.
```

```
MS. WILSON: I think that is fine.
 1
 2
    think that we worked out that he is okay with a
 3
    little more than I am, but we will make it work.
 4
              THE COURT:
                           The objection is sustained is
 5
    on the record. Where you go from there is not
 6
    necessarily clear if it is more than Page 17.
                           I can live with it. I will
 7
              MS. WILSON:
 8
    go ahead and let you know what we have agreed to,
 9
    and I think you're consistent with this.
10
              Starting from Line 20 where the objection
11
    was sustained, including all of Page 16 and the top
12
    of Page 17 that was redacted.
13
              THE COURT: Two lines of 17 were already
14
               They had not been redacted, I believe.
15
    They are redacted, nevermind, got it.
16
              See you at 1:30.
17
               (Discussion off the record.)
18
              THE COURT: We are on the record.
19
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: We are bringing in
20
    Line 15, Page 15, Line 20 through Page 17, Line 2,
21
    and then the rest of that page is already unrecated,
2.2
    so that is fine with us.
23
              THE COURT: Ms. Wilson?
24
              MS. WILSON: Yes, that is fine.
25
              THE COURT:
                           Okay, very good. Thank you.
```

```
1
               (A recess was taken.)
 2
               (Open court, outside the presence of the
 3
    jury.)
 4
               THE COURT: We are back on the record in
 5
    USA versus Smith.
 6
               Are we ready for the jury?
 7
                             We are.
               MR. NAYBACK:
 8
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
               MS. LAVIN: Yes, Your Honor.
10
               (Whereupon the jury entered the
11
    courtroom.)
12
               THE COURT: All right. Please be seated.
13
               Before we go on the record, let me just
14
    state that I understand somebody has a birthday
15
    today. And so I want to wish you a happy birthday
16
    and I want to tell you that I am very impressed that
17
    you are here serving on this jury on your birthday.
18
    A lot of people would probably find a way to not do
19
           I hope you have a happy birthday and I wish
    we could do more to celebrate.
20
21
               Now we will go on the record and we are
2.2
    ready, at this time to begin opening statements.
                                                        So
23
    we will begin with the Government.
24
               Mr. Nayback.
25
               MR. NAYBACK:
                             Thank you, Your Honor.
```

## OPENING STATEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT 1 2 MR. NAYBACK: May it please the Court, 3 Mr. Elsenheimer, Ms. Lavin. 4 THE COURT: Make sure you speak into the 5 microphone. With your back to me, it is going to be 6 a little more challenging. 7 If you were to travel in MR. NAYBACK: 8 your vehicles from the courthouse here and go north, 9 you would travel through a number of our 22 Native 10 American Reservations here in the District of New Mexico. The District of New Mexico is the same 11 12 as State of New Mexico. It's the Federal district. 13 The crimes that happen on those 14 Reservations are Federal crimes, that is why the FBI 15 and the U.S. Attorney's Office is involved. 16 would travel past us, you would go right through 17 Sandia Pueblo, Santa Ana, San Felipe, Kewa and then 18 you would head in towards Santa Fe. 19 If you haven't been that way, you can take 20 the Relief Route 599 or you can go up to St. Francis 21 Drive and cut across to 285. 2.2 And then you will go through Tesuque 23 Pueblo, Pojoaque and then travel on and you come 24 into the City of Espanola.

But the City of Espanola is neighbors with

25

both the Santa Clara Pueblo Indian Reservation and 1 it borders the Ohkay Owingeh Reservation. 2 They have 3 all lived together as neighbors up there for 4 decades, hundreds of years. 5 Many of you have probably been there. Ιf 6 you go through Riverside Drive, it is the heart of 7 the business district. The Santa Claran Hotel and 8 Casino is right there. They have a bowling alley, 9 and you continue on past hardware stores, Walmart, 10 Home Depot and then you come to 826 Riverside Drive. 11 If you are heading north it is on the 12 left-hand side of the street or the west side of the 13 street and there is the Western Winds Motel. 14 a large property and the owner and manager of it is 15 sitting behind me, is the defendant, Doug Smith. 16 The area has a KFC across the street, a 17 Pizza 9 pizzeria, on the other side of the street, on the east side of the street. Has a lot of 18 vehicle traffic and a lot of foot traffic in and 19 20 around the hotel grounds. 21 On May 5th of 2018 between midnight and 2.2 1:00 a.m. it is dark outside. Mr. Smith, who also 23 lives on the motel property, hears his motion 24 sensors go off in the backyard. His backyard is 25 fenced in and you will see photographs of that.

```
There is no doubt about it.
 1
              He has a travel trailer that he hasn't
 2
 3
    been into in a long time outside his fenced area.
 4
    And when he goes outside, he has got a gun in his
 5
    hand. He later told police he was scared. And he
 6
    looks on the other side of his fence, this is
 7
    outside of his backyard, and he sees what he
 8
    describes as a shadow. He doesn't know if it is a
 9
    man or a woman. He doesn't know if it is a
10
    juvenile, and he says nothing. He doesn't say, "Can
11
    I help you? Can I help you find something?"
12
              He doesn't say, "Hey, I have got a gun,
13
    get out of here," none of that was said. Silently
14
    he takes the gun up from his side, fire ready,
15
    points and shoots four times. Boom, boom, boom,
16
    boom.
           Silence.
17
              Maria Gallegos lost her life. He put a
18
    bullet in her temple and she fell on her face
19
    lifeless in the parking lot of the Western Winds
20
    Motel, the defendant's property.
              The evidence will show there were zero no
21
2.2
    trespassing signs.
23
              Maria Gallegos was not trying to get into
24
    his home. She was not an intruder. She was
25
    36 years old, proud member of the Santa Clara
```

Pueblo, 5'3", done. 1 So my colleague Novalene Wilson and I, 2 3 Assistant United States Attorneys, my colleague 4 Travis Taylor from the FBI, have the responsibility 5 of showing you the evidence. You heard that in voir 6 dire, and we are going to do that, and we are going 7 to do it efficiently. 8 You might imagine that at a homicide scene 9 in Espanola there is a lot of police that show up, 10 EMS might show up, fire and rescue, OMI field 11 investigators. But we don't call everyone in a 12 trial nor do we have to. We are going to call the 13 two detectives that talked to the defendant. going to call the field investigator who had to bag 14 15 the body. And there are some things in this case 16 that are not really in dispute at all, I believe, 17 speaking on behalf of the United States. 18 There is no question that this happened on 19 the Santa Clara Pueblo Indian Reservation. We call 20 it Indian Country. That is a Federal term. no one is offended by it. That is the term that 21 2.2 Congress gives our Reservations here in New Mexico 23 and all over the country, for that matter. 24 There is no dispute that Maria Gallegos is 25 a tribal member. There is no dispute that Doug

Smith is a non-Indian. And Special Agent Taylor will explain to you that is kind of the combination we need for a Federal case.

2.2

There is no dispute that a bullet from his gun entered her temple and killed her. So it is going to be important to listen because we are going to play a recording of the defendant talking to the Espanola Police Detective, and I am going to ask Special Agent Taylor some questions about his interview. They were basically consistent, but it is important to listen to what he said he did, what he did do and what he didn't do and what he didn't say.

He said, "I thought she left the area," and the evidence will show that after that first shot she started running for her life, and she only made it about ten strides. You will see photographs, you will be able to tell many of you can estimate measurements. I mean, from the travel trailer to the parking lot of the motel it was about 10 to 15 feet.

He told law enforcement he has never shot that fast before. And it is also important to acknowledge that he didn't give her time to clear the area. He told law enforcement, "I thought she

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

was gone." She is dead right there and you said you were shooting as fast as you could. He also told law enforcement, "She was trying to break into my travel trailer." But at the same time he said he couldn't tell if it was a man or a woman. He couldn't tell, She didn't advance on he said he saw no weapon. him. She didn't jump over the fence, and he shot at her four times, killing her. So those elements of the offense that some of you heard in voir dire are: It happened on the Reservation. She is a tribal member. He is non-Indian. He killed her. And at the end of the evidence the United States submits that the evidence will show he acted recklessly. He shot in her direction in the dark. You will see the photographs through the brush and then he says he didn't intend to hit her. He is not charged with intending to hit her. He acted recklessly and he killed her, and that is second-degree murder. And we are going to ask you to find him quilty at the conclusion of the trial. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now we will here from Ms. Lavin.

## OPENING STATEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT

MS. LAVIN: Good afternoon ladies and gentlemen of the jury. Thank you for being here.

2.2

Douglas Smith, our client, did not go looking for trouble in the early hours of May 5th, 2018. He was at home, he was in bed. It was around 1:00 in the morning. The motion sensors that he had installed due to multiple break-ins on his property had gone off for the third time that evening. He had already heard them go off twice at that time. He knew there was something or someone out there.

Now, 826 North Riverside Drive where

Mr. Smith lives, Mr. Nayback is right, it is in the heart of a business district. But this is a residential home. It is a home located behind what used to be the Western Winds Motel. Yes, there is still a sign there. It is an old motel, but it hasn't been operating since at least 2014. This is not a place that was open for business.

Mr. Smith's home is one of the only residential homes in this area. It is surrounded by fast food restaurants, liquor stores, automotive supply stores. So after dark, after business hours he is the only one around. It is him and his home. This is his home, it is not a business, it is not an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

operating motel. So we are going to hear lots of references to the Western Winds Motel. It is important to understand that this was an empty old nonoperating motel. Espanola is a community in Northern New Mexico that sadly has a lot of issues in the last couple of decades. As Mr. Smith will tell you, it has been his home since he was a child. have been a lot of increases in drug use, in crime, in violence. And his property was essentially under siege. He is going to tell you about the break-ins that have been happening during the months leading up to this incident. He had had several thefts from his property, people were breaking in. Sure he didn't have no trespassing, but this is a heavily trafficked area in an area where there is quite a lot of crime out there. His fear was escalating, the incidents of crime on his property were escalating. And this property, it is important to understand, you're going to see photos of it. It is a pretty large property. Yes, it is in the heart of this busy heavily trafficked business area but it is a vast

property. It is bordered behind it by the river,

and on either side are businesses which are empty at night.

2.2

There are several structures on his property, several vehicles and an old empty hotel. It is an attractive place for a lot of people for a lot of purposes who shouldn't be there. You are going to hear Mr. Smith talk about how a couple of these incidents where people had committed crimes on his property, the police had gotten involved and there wasn't really anything they could do. The person had left, they didn't know who it was, they couldn't recover the stolen property. And so the police getting involved in these incidents that were happening on this property, it wasn't making any sort of difference. People were continuing to come on his property and continuing to commit crimes on his property.

The things that were happening on his property, the trespassing, the break-ins, et cetera, were escalating to the point where Mr. Smith, who is a 71-year-old man, at the time he was 68. He actually ended up into a physical altercation with someone who had broken into a bus on his property. He didn't know what to do. He was trying to protect himself, and so he installed motion sensors. And he

was going out at least two times a night every night during the weeks leading up to this incident in May of 2018 because those motion sensors were going off over and over and over again and his fear was escalating.

2.2

He started carrying a .22 pistol with him when he would go out at night. And the reason that he has a .22 pistol isn't because he wants to kill someone with it, and it isn't because he wants to hurt someone with it. This is a gun that he owns that he can make noise to scare people away.

So on May 5th of 2018 in the early hours of that morning after he had been woken up or been alerted three times by the motion sensors, he goes out on to his back porch with his pistol in his hand. You are also going to hear that his pistol that he keeps, he doesn't keep it with the a bullet in the chamber. He is not, you know, jumping to use this pistol in every opportunity. It is a last resort and he is using it to scare people, not to hurt people.

He goes out onto his back porch. Now, his back porch is dark. This is a huge property that faces -- there is nothing lit behind him. There is a few dark structures and then there is a river.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And there is a camper trailer parked about 20 feet from his back porch. It is very close. When he goes out onto his porch that morning in the middle of the night, in the pitch black, he sees a figure trying to force their way in to this camper trailer. They are trying to jiggle the handle, trying to force their way into a locked camper trailer, and he is scared out of his mind. This is the middle of the night, it's dark. He doesn't know who or what that is. He doesn't know what is happening, so he shoots his .22 pistol well to the left of that figure. He is shooting to miss them and he is shooting to scare them away. When he hears them and sees them leave, he is certain they are gone, he then he shoots a few more warning shoots into a woodpile. This is a woodpile of wood that he has stacked himself. It is several yards beyond where his porch is. It is in an area that he thought with the amount of time had gone by and what he had seen and heard, that this figure had already cleared. He thought that the person that he saw was gone. He wasn't tracking them, he wasn't aiming for them, he couldn't even see anything. But he has lived on this property since he was 15 years old. He knows this property

better than anyone. This is a woodpile he stacked himself. You are going to hear it is several layers deep, it is huge. He knew that was a safe place to aim his gun because he didn't want the bullets to just go flying in every which way. He didn't want to shoot them up in the air so they would come down and hurt someone or break something.

2.2

Under the circumstances he was scared out of his mind, it was pitch dark. He doesn't know who is trying to break in the structure of his property. He is being as careful as he can and he is trying to protect himself. He is trying to scare this person away. He thinks they are gone.

Now tragically someone was killed that night. And so he fires these shots. He is not sure what else is going on and so he thinks that the person that he saw has left. And I am saying person because he doesn't know who he saw. When he saw that person trying to open and force their way into the trailer, he didn't know if it was a man or a woman. He doesn't know, he doesn't know who is there. He only saw one person that night but we don't know how many people were on his property that night.

And so when he goes out to see what else

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

could be going on, on his property after he has fired those shots to his horror he discovered a woman's body. He sees that he has killed someone and he feels terrible. This is not what he wanted to have happen. This is shocking and traumatic and it was not his intent to hurt anyone or kill anyone that night. Now, when he realizes what he has done, what has happened, he calls 911. From Day One he has told the police exactly what happened. He has been an open book. He has never tried to hide from the police. He has never tried to hide what he did because he didn't do anything wrong. He has been consistent in his story from Day One. This is a tragic accident. Mr. Smith is going to have to live with the fact that he accidentally took a woman's life for the rest of his life. There is no undoing that. Now, the Government has charged him with murder, as you know. But Mr. Smith didn't commit a crime. He is an innocent man. However, it is not his job to prove to you-all he is innocent, it is their job to prove to you that he committed murder. Mr. Smith is a 71-year-old man who likes to stay home, he likes to keep to himself.

doesn't like to fight with people. He doesn't like 1 2 to get in trouble. He likes art, he makes 3 sculptures. He likes nature, he likes to watch 4 animals, he likes to hike. He wasn't looking for 5 trouble this night. This is not who he is. This is 6 a tragic accident that nobody feels good about. 7 Maria Gallegos should not have died, but he didn't 8 know she was there and when he shot those warning shots he didn't think anyone was going to be hit by 9 10 them. 11 And so when you hear and listen to all the 12 evidence and you listen and see all the evidence 13 that is going to be presented in this case and when 14 you are thinking about what the Government has 15 presented, just keep in mind, not only what you are 16 getting to see and hear but what is missing. What 17 are you not getting to see and hear? It is their 18 job to prove this case beyond a reasonable doubt. 19 We think you are just going to hear pieces 20 of the story, so that is why we feel at the end of 21 this case, at the end of this trial, we feel 2.2 confident that you are going to find Mr. Smith not 23 guilty of murder. 24 Thank you. 25 THE COURT: Thank you. At this time the

```
Government may call its first witness.
 1
 2
               MS. WILSON:
                            Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
    United States calls Matthew Cain.
 4
               THE COURT: My clerk will administer the
 5
    oath before you take your seat.
 6
               (Whereupon, the witness was sworn.)
 7
               THE COURT: Before we begin, let me ask
 8
    you to give us your full name for the record and
    spell your first and last names, please.
 9
10
               THE WITNESS: It is Matthew Darrell Cain,
11
    M-A-T-T-H-E-W. My last name is Cain, C-A-I-N.
12
               THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel, you may
13
    proceed.
                            Thank you, Your Honor.
14
               MS. WILSON:
15
                      MATTHEW CAIN, MD,
16
    after having been first duly sworn under oath,
17
         was questioned and testified as follows:
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
18
19
        BY MS. WILSON:
20
               Sir, how are you employed?
        Q.
21
               I am currently employed part time with the
2.2
    New Mexico Office of the Medical Investigator and I
23
    am also contracted as a forensic pathologist in
24
    Marion County, Indianapolis, Indiana.
25
              And what is the New Mexico Office of the
        Q.
```

Medical Examiner?

2.2

- A. So the Office of the Medical Investigator, which I will refer to as the OMI going forward, is basically the centralized office that serves the State of New Mexico and investigates on an unexpected or sudden violent deaths in the State of New Mexico to establish a cause and manner of death.
  - Q. And what are your responsibilities?
- A. When I worked there full time, I performed autopsies, trained Fellows and Residents and essentially did autopsies to establish a cause and manner of death.
- Q. Sir, could you explain to the jury what is your educational background?
  - A. So starting with undergrad, I have a degree in chemical and biomolecular engineering from Georgia Tech.

After that I went to the Medical College of Georgia, for four years of medical school.

And then after that had additional training in Birmingham, Alabama. So I did four years of what is called anatomic and clinical pathology training and also while I was in Alabama, I did one additional year in forensic pathology training.

And, Doctor, how many autopsies have you 1 Q. 2 conducted? 3 At this point, roughly 1200 autopsy or Α. 4 autopsy equivalents. 5 Q. How many times have you testified as an 6 expert? 7 Roughly 12 times. Α. 8 Q. And what types of courts are those? 9 So that would be both State and Federal Α. 10 court. 11 MS. WILSON: Your Honor, the United States 12 would proffer Dr. Cain as an expert witness in the 13 field of forensic pathology with the ability to 14 testify about Maria Gallegos' cause and manner of 15 death. 16 MR. ELSENHEIMER: No objection. 17 THE COURT: All right. The witness will 18 be recognized as an expert in the area of forensic 19 pathology, and you may proceed. 20 Thank you, Your Honor. MS. WILSON: 21 (By Ms. Wilson) Dr. Cain, how did you Q. 2.2 become involved in this case?

the OMI and I think probably the easiest thing would

be if I provide some background to the work flow for

So at the time I was working full time for

23

24

25

Α.

OMI if that is all right.

Q. Yes.

2.2

A. So the OMI, as I said, investigates deaths in the State of New Mexico and so they were notified of the death of Ms. Gallegos and an investigator went to that scene. And it was determined that she needed to be sent to the OMI for an autopsy.

And so the way that it works is the body is transported to the centralized office and each morning there is a morning report that involves a Central Office investigator and other pathologists. And during that time they go through the cases for the day, an investigator will talk about the circumstances of death, any available scene photos or if there is any kind of imaging, they will review that.

And in this case it was determined that Ms. Gallegos needed a full autopsy with a homicide workup, and I was assigned that case.

- Q. What were you asked to do?
- A. So based on the circumstances of the death, and also we do imaging, specifically computer tomography, so it is kind of like an x-ray with a number of images there of the whole body. And it was apparent that there was a retained bullet, so I

```
did a full autopsy.
 1
               The decedent was transferred to the
 2
 3
    autopsy suite, and so we did photographs of the
 4
    body. I did an external examination, we did
 5
    homicide workup, and then I did an internal
    examination and recovered a bullet.
 6
 7
               After that, I produced an autopsy report.
 8
        Q.
               And what was the condition of
 9
    Ms. Gallegos' body when it arrived?
10
               So initially the body as received was an
        Α.
11
    adult female. She was clad in a pink hoody, shirt,
12
    pants, shoes. It appeared that she had an injury to
13
    her head as well.
14
               MS. WILSON: May I approach, Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: You may.
16
              (By Ms. Wilson) I am handing you what has
        Q
17
    been marked for identification purposes as
    Government's Exhibits 1 through 4.
18
19
               Do you recognize those, Dr. Cain?
20
        Α.
               I do.
21
        Q.
               How so?
2.2
               So these were photographs that were taken
        Α.
23
    during the course of the autopsy.
24
        Q.
               Are they very accurate depictions?
25
        Α.
               They are.
```

```
MS. WILSON: May I approach, Your Honor?
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Yes.
 3
               MS. WILSON: And at this time, Your Honor,
 4
    the United States would move for admission of
 5
    Government's Exhibits 1 through 4.
 6
               THE COURT: Is there objection to
    Exhibits 1 through 4?
 7
 8
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                 No.
 9
               THE COURT: 1 through 4 will be admitted.
10
               (Exhibits admitted, Government's 1 through
11
    4.)
12
              THE COURT: You may proceed, Ms. Wilson.
13
              (By Ms. Wilson) What is Government's
        Q.
14
    Exhibit 1?
15
               This is a photo of Ms. Gallegos, her face
        Α.
16
    and her upper torso.
17
        Q.
              And what can you tell the jury about the
18
    injuries on her face?
19
               So starting from the top, we can see right
20
    above the eyes sort of on the forehead, this injury
21
    that looks like a defect. I am going define a
2.2
    couple of terms. That is a laceration. That is
23
    basically a skin tear. These typically occur from a
24
    blunt force. And so in this case if you have got
25
    the skin and soft tissue, you have got over -- that
```

is overlying the bone, if you have a force applied, 1 2 that tissue can tear. 3 So centrally there is a laceration and 4 then surrounding that is, the medical term we use is 5 abrasion, but it is basically a scrape. 6 If we move further down between the 7 eyes --8 Dr. Cain, I think you can interlineate if Q. 9 you touch the screen there. 10 Okay. So that is the injury that I just Α. described. If we move further down to this area 11 12 right here (indicating), we see that there is a 13 laceration centrally located. I know this because it has the classic 14 15 features. You can kind of see what is called tissue 16 bridging. There is a small piece of tissue that is 17 basically going between the skin tear there. 18 Surrounding that is more abrasion or scrape. We see 19 similar scrapes on the nose and just on the -- just 20 beneath the nose on the left side. 21 If we look on the left side of 2.2 Ms. Gallegos' face, I am going to circle that 23 region. So this would be her left. We see an 24 additional scrape that is sort of on the cheek 25 there, and then additional scrapes around the mouth.

So in this region (indicating).

2.2

- Q. And in your opinion what are these injuries indicative of?
  - A. So this would be blunt trauma.
  - Q. And I am not sure if you are able to see it on this photograph, but were you able to determine where the bullet entered?
  - A. So not on this photo. We should have another photo that shows the gunshot wound.
  - Q. Let me show you Government's Exhibit 3.

    Can you describe that for the jury?
  - A. I can. So it is helpful to -- for some orientation here. We are looking at the -- so the decedent is laying on a table and we are looking at the left side of her head. Sort of at the lower aspect of this photograph would be her ear.

right here (indicating). We have basically shaved an area so that it is free of hair so that you can see the gunshot wound. But there is a central defect and then around that is an area of abrasion and this is what we would expect to see in an entrance gunshot wound.

Q. And showing you what has been admitted as Government's Exhibit 2, can you please tell the jury

1 what this is?

- 2 A. So as I have mentioned, it appeared that
- 3 there was a retained bullet, and so we recovered
- 4 bullet fragments. This is one of those, as
- 5 indicated on the envelope, we indicate that missile
- 6 fragments were recovered from the right side of the
- 7 brain.
- 8 Q. And did you recover more than one
- 9 fragment?
- 10 A. I did. So this represents one. Actually
- 11 I recovered two fragments.
- 12 Q. I am showing you Government's Exhibit
- 13 Number 4. What is that?
- 14 A. So this is the other fragment. As you can
- 15 | see, a bit smaller than the other one but this was
- 16 also recovered within the head.
- 17 Q. How is it recovered specifically?
- 18 A. So during the autopsy we do an internal
- 19 exam, and so after reflecting the scalp and removing
- 20 | a portion of the skull, we examine the brain. And
- 21 in this case I recovered bullet fragments during
- 22 that time.
- 23 Q. And, Dr. Cain, ultimately what were your
- 24 opinions?
- $25 \mid A$ . So based on my findings at autopsy and the

```
circumstances of the death, I concluded that the
 1
    cause of death was a gunshot wound to the head.
 2
                                                        Ι
 3
    indicated a manner of death as homicide.
 4
               MS. WILSON: I pass the witness,
 5
    Your Honor.
 6
               THE COURT: Mr. Elsenheimer, you may
 7
    cross-examine the witness.
 8
               MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                 Thank you, Your Honor.
 9
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
10
        BY MR. ELSENHEIMER:
11
        Q.
               Good afternoon, Dr. Cain.
12
        Α.
               Good afternoon.
13
               So are you still working for OMI?
        Q.
14
        Α.
               I am. I work part time remotely.
15
               You are also working in Indiana; is that
        Q.
16
    right?
17
               That is correct.
        Α.
18
        Q.
               So you are visiting New Mexico now?
19
               That is correct.
        Α.
20
               Welcome back to New Mexico.
        Q.
21
        Α.
               Thank you.
2.2
               I want to ask you a couple of questions.
        Q.
23
    I want to ask you a couple of questions in reference
24
    to the exhibits that we just looked at, so I am
25
    going to go back to this table.
```

```
I want to ask you about the injuries that
 1
    you described in this exhibit. This is Government's
 2
 3
    Exhibit 1.
 4
               You prepared a report in this case; is
 5
    that right?
               That is correct.
 6
        Α.
 7
               Have you reviewed the report recently?
         Q.
 8
        Α.
               I have.
 9
               Okay. If you need to review it again, let
        Q.
10
    me know and I will bring you a copy.
11
        Α.
               That actually would be helpful, please.
12
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: May I approach the
13
    witness, Your Honor?
14
               THE COURT:
                          You may.
15
              (By Mr. Elsenheimer) When you have a
16
    chance, Dr. Cain, can you refer to the summary and
17
    opinion page of your report?
               I have it.
18
        Α.
19
               Okay. I want to ask you about the
        Q.
20
    lacerations and the lacerations and abrasions to
21
    Ms. Gallegos' face. Those, just to be clear, none
2.2
    of those are the result of a gunshot wound, correct?
23
        Α.
               Correct.
24
               And based on your discussions and
25
    investigation in this case, your discussions with
```

- 1 the OMI investigator, those lacerations and
- 2 abrasions can be explained by Ms. Gallegos running
- 3 | and falling on pavement, correct?
- A. That is one possible scenario but, yes,
- 5 | they could be explained by that.
- 6 Q. And blunt trauma on a stoney surface can
- 7 | result in skin tearing and the pavement would cause
- 8 scrapes and abrasions to the skin, correct?
- 9 A. That's correct. That is basically stated
- 10 similarly in my report.
- 11 Q. And that was your conclusion in the report
- 12 in your summary and opinion, correct?
- 13 A. Correct. I indicated that this is one
- 14 possibility for that.
- 15 Q. And so the only, just to be clear, the
- 16 only gunshot wound that you found was on the left
- 17 | side of Ms. Gallegos' head; is that correct?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. Can you tell me again how you describe
- 20 this? This is Government's Exhibit 4.
- 21 A. I indicated that this was a missile
- 22 fragment.
- 23 Q. It is a fragment and you found two
- 24 fragments, correct?
- 25 A. That is correct.

```
And those are fragments from one missile
 1
        Q.
 2
    or one bullet, correct?
 3
               That is correct.
        Α.
 4
        Q.
               I want to ask you, Ms. Gallegos was
 5
    wearing clothing, correct?
 6
        Α.
              Correct.
 7
        0.
              And in particular she was wearing a hooded
 8
    sweatshirt?
 9
        Α.
               That is correct.
10
               You found a hole on the left side of that
        Q.
    hooded sweatshirt, the left side of the hood,
11
12
    correct?
13
               That is correct.
        Α.
               And that corresponded with the entrance
14
15
    wound that we looked at in Government's Exhibit 3,
16
    correct?
17
        Α.
            Correct.
18
               And your conclusion from that or your
19
    inference from that is that Ms. Gallegos was wearing
20
    a hood, correct?
21
        Α.
               Most likely. The defect on the left side
2.2
    of the hood did line up with the defect on the side
23
    of the head.
24
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: May I have a moment,
```

Your Honor?

```
1
              THE COURT: You may.
 2
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Nothing further,
 3
    Your Honor.
 4
              THE COURT: Any redirect.
 5
              MS. WILSON: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
 6
              THE COURT: May this witness be
 7
    permanently excused?
 8
              MS. WILSON: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you for your
10
    testimony today.
11
               (Whereupon the witness was excused from
12
    the courtroom.)
13
              THE COURT: You may call your next
14
    witness.
15
              MR. NAYBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. The
16
    United States calls Daniel Smith.
17
              THE COURT: Before you sit down, I will
    have you take the oath. My clerk will administer
18
    the oath.
19
20
               (Whereupon, the witness was sworn.)
21
              THE COURT: For the record, I will ask you
2.2
    to give us your full name and please spell your
23
    first and last names for the record.
24
              THE WITNESS: Okay. Daniel Smith,
25
    D-A-N-I-E-L, S-M-I-T-H.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Thank you. You may proceed.
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
 2
               MR. NAYBACK:
 3
                         DANIEL SMITH,
 4
    after having been first duly sworn under oath,
          was questioned and testified as follows:
 5
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
 6
 7
         BY MR. NAYBACK:
 8
         Q.
               Mr. Smith, where do you live?
 9
         Α.
               In Espanola.
10
               How long have you lived in Espanola?
         Q.
11
         Α.
               Pretty much all my life.
12
         Q.
               Okay. Do you have any siblings?
13
               No, I don't.
         Α.
14
         Q.
               Do you have any brothers?
15
               Yes, I do.
         Α.
16
               And what are their names?
         Q.
17
               Doug Smith and Derrick De La Cruz.
         Α.
18
               Okay. Do all three of you have the same
         Q.
19
    biological mother and father?
20
               Yes, we do.
         Α.
21
         Q.
               Is Douglas Smith in the courtroom today?
2.2
               Yes, he is.
         Α.
23
         Q.
               Would you please point to him and describe
24
    the clothing he is wearing?
25
               He has the gray suit on there.
         Α.
```

```
MR. NAYBACK: Your Honor, would the Court
 1
 2
    record reflect proper identification of the
 3
    defendant or do you need more detail?
 4
               THE COURT: I see what looks like maybe
 5
    two gray suits. I can't really tell, but --
 6
               MR. NAYBACK: I can clear that up.
 7
        Q.
              (By Mr. Nayback) Can you describe the color
 8
    of your brother, Douglas Smith's, hair?
 9
        Α.
               It is gray.
10
               MR. NAYBACK: Would the court record
11
    reflect proper identification now, Your Honor?
12
               THE COURT: Yes. The record will so
13
    reflect.
14
        Q
              (By Mr. Nayback) Sir, how old are you?
15
               I am 65.
        Α.
16
               You were saying earlier that you grew up
        Q.
17
    in the Espanola area; is that right?
18
        Α.
               Yes.
19
        Q.
               Can you describe where you went to
20
    elementary school, middle school and high school?
21
        Α.
               There in Espanola, Espanola Elementary and
2.2
    then I went to Fairview Elementary and then Espanola
23
    Junior High and Espanola High School.
24
        Q.
               Thank you.
25
               And if you recall when you got ill as a
```

```
child, you or your brothers, do you recall where you
 1
 2
    would get medical care?
 3
              Yeah, we had a local doctor.
        Α.
 4
        Q.
              Okay. Are you or your brother, Douglas
 5
    Smith, enrolled in any Indian tribe?
 6
        Α.
              No, we are not.
 7
               MR. NAYBACK: I pass the witness,
 8
    Your Honor.
 9
               THE COURT: You may cross-examine the
10
    witness.
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: We have no
11
12
    cross-examination.
13
               THE COURT: All right. May this witness
14
    be permanently excused?
15
              MR. NAYBACK: He may, Your Honor.
16
    you.
17
               THE COURT: Thank you for your testimony
    here today, Mr. Smith.
18
19
               THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
20
               (Whereupon the witness was excused from
21
    the courtroom.)
2.2
               THE COURT: The Government may call its
23
    next witness.
24
              MS. WILSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
25
    United States calls Geraldine Gutierrez.
```

```
THE COURT: Ms. Gutierrez, before you take
 1
 2
    your seat I will have my law clerk administer the
 3
    oath.
 4
               (Whereupon, the witness was sworn.)
               THE COURT: Ms. Gutierrez, I will ask that
 5
 6
    you give us your full name and spell your first and
 7
    last names for the record, please.
 8
               Make sure you speak into the microphone.
 9
               THE WITNESS: Okay. My name is Geraldine
10
    Isabel Gutierrez. G-E-R-A-L-D-I-N-E; Isabel,
    I-S-A-B-E-L; Gutierrez, G-U-T-I-E-R-R-E-Z.
11
12
               THE COURT: Thank you.
13
                     GERALDINE GUTIERREZ,
14
    after having been first duly sworn under oath,
         was questioned and testified as follows:
15
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
16
17
        BY MS. WILSON:
18
               Ms. Gutierrez, where do you work?
        Q.
19
               I work with the Santa Clara Pueblo Office
        Α.
20
    of Vital Statistics/Enrollment.
21
        Q.
               And what is your job position called?
2.2
               My job position with Santa Clara, I am the
        Α.
23
    enrollment clerk.
24
               And is the Santa Clara Pueblo a federally
        Ο.
25
    recognized Indian tribe?
```

```
1
        Α.
               Yes, it is.
               MS. WILSON: Your Honor, we would ask the
 2
 3
    Court to take judicial notice that the Santa Clara
 4
    Pueblo is a Federally recognized Indian tribe.
 5
               THE COURT:
                          Objection?
 6
               MS. LAVIN:
                          No objection.
 7
               THE COURT: The Court will take judicial
 8
    notice.
 9
              (By Ms. Wilson) How long have you been
        Q.
10
    employed as an enrollment clerk?
11
               I have been employed with Santa Clara as
        Α.
12
    an enrollment clerk for 11 years.
13
               And could you can explain to the jury how
        Q.
    are members of the Santa Clara Pueblo identified?
14
15
               Santa Clara Pueblo tribal enrolled
        Α.
16
    individuals are identified by either a parent being
17
    a tribal member of Santa Clara, so either a mother
18
    or a father, if they are enrolled, will
19
    automatically have the child at birth be an enrolled
20
    member.
21
        Q.
               And typically they have enrollment
2.2
    numbers?
23
        Α.
               Yes. Yes, we do.
24
               And also certificates of enrollment?
        Q.
25
               Yes, ma'am.
        Α.
```

```
And do you recall processing a certificate
 1
        Q.
    of enrollment for Maria Gallegos?
 2
 3
               Yes, I do.
        Α.
 4
               MS. WILSON: May I approach, Your Honor?
               THE COURT: You may.
 5
 6
              (By Ms. Wilson) I am handing you what has
 7
    been marked for identification only as Government's
 8
    Exhibit 65. If you could please take a look at it
 9
    and let me know when you are done.
10
               Okay. Yes, this is the tribal,
        Α.
    certificate of tribal enrollment for Maria Elena
11
12
    Gallegos.
13
              And do you recognize it?
        Q.
              Yes, I do.
14
        Α.
15
              Is it a fair and accurate depiction?
        Q.
16
        Α.
               Yes, it is.
17
               MS. WILSON: Your Honor, at this time I
    would move for admission of Government's Exhibit 64.
18
19
               THE COURT: Is there objection?
20
               MS. LAVIN: No objection.
21
               THE COURT: 64 is admitted.
2.2
               MS. WILSON: Permission to publish.
23
               THE COURT: You may.
24
               (Exhibit admitted, Government's 64 and
25
    published to the jury.)
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

(By Ms. Wilson) All right. Ms. Gutierrez, Q just for folks who are not familiar with this, can you explain to the jury what information is on here and what this is exactly? Yes. This is a certificate of tribal Α. enrollment. This certificate here states the name Maria Elena Gallegos as being a tribal member, tribal enrolled member of Santa Clara Pueblo. On the left-hand side it states the date of birth, which is 11-3-1981, and date of death being 5-5-2018 when she passed away. Enrollment status being enrolled and deceased and enrollment number SCP, which is Santa Clara Pueblo/10-16 being her full enrollment number. Mother is a tribal member Denise Naval. Her maiden name was Cisneros Gallegos. Father, joseph Robert Gallegos, was a nonnative. mailing address being PO Box 207, Espanola, New Mexico, 87532. Physical address being 1307 Alfred Lane 21, Espanola, New Mexico, 87532, and the county being Rio Arriba County. And Jay Michael Chavira, his signature is on there as being the Governor of Santa Clara Pueblo.

```
John Sheehy is the director of the Office
 1
    of Vital Statistics/Enrollment.
 2
 3
               Thank you very much, Ms. Gutierrez.
        Q.
 4
               Is it your opinion that Maria Gallegos was
    an enrolled member of the Pueblo of Santa Clara?
 5
 6
        Α.
               Yes, ma'am.
 7
               MS. WILSON: I pass the witness,
 8
    Your Honor.
 9
               THE COURT: You may cross-examine the
10
    witness, Ms. Lavin.
11
               MS. LAVIN: We don't have any
12
    cross-examination. Thank you.
13
               THE COURT: All right. May this witness
14
    be permanently excused?
15
               MS. WILSON: Yes, Your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: Thank you for your testimony
17
    today, Ms. Gutierrez.
18
               (Whereupon the witness was excused from
19
    the courtroom.)
20
               THE COURT: The Government may call its
21
    next witness.
2.2
               MR. NAYBACK: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
               The United States calls OMI Deputy Field
24
    Investigator Lynne Gudes. She should be coming in
25
    just a minute.
```

```
THE COURT: Before you take your seat, I
 1
 2
    will have my clerk administer the oath.
 3
               (Whereupon, the witness was sworn.)
 4
               THE COURT: Ms. Gudes, I will ask that
 5
    you give us your full name and spell your first and
 6
    last names for the record, please.
 7
               THE WITNESS: My name is Lynne Gudes,
 8
    L-Y-N-N-E, G-U-D-E-S.
 9
               THE COURT: Thank you.
10
               You may proceed.
11
               MR. NAYBACK: Thank you, Your Honor.
12
                         LYNNE GUDES,
13
    after having been first duly sworn under oath,
         was questioned and testified as follows:
14
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
15
16
        BY MR. NAYBACK:
17
               Ms. Gudes, how are you employed?
        Q.
18
               I am a deputy field investigator for the
        Α.
19
    Office of the Medical Investigator.
20
               Is that here in New Mexico?
        Q.
               Yes, that is for the State of New Mexico.
21
        Α.
2.2
               And can you describe the training, let me
        Q.
23
    first ask you this: How long have you been an
24
    investigator generally?
25
               I have been an investigator since the
        Α.
```

early '70s.

2.2

- Q. Okay. And what type of training do you go through to be a Deputy Field Investigator for OMI?
- A. OMI first looks at your previous experience. And after you qualify there is a three-or four-day training at OMI and then we do a weekly continuing education.
- Q. Okay. How often are you on-call and what does it mean to be on-call?
- A. Your on-call basis is determined by your own district, we make an agreement. In general, when I am working and I am off right now because of a surgery, but when I am working, I usually work three 24-our, three 24-hour duty rosters a week.

So during that time I am just on-call, I have to be available if I get a call from dispatch.

- Q. And can you describe for the jury what a field investigator for OMI does?
- A. Basically I work with the police but not for the police. I am called out on any unexpected death, be it a murder, a suicide or Aunt Sally was fine last night and this morning she is dead. We don't know what happened.

The general way of explaining it, the scene, the police are in charge of the scene and I

```
am in charge of the body.
 1
               And were you called out on May 5th of 2018
 2
 3
    in the middle of night?
 4
        Α.
               Yes, I was.
 5
               When you get the call who is it usually
        Q.
    from?
 6
 7
               I would get the call, that particular
 8
    call, because of its location, would have come from
 9
    Espanola Central dispatch.
10
              Where do you live?
        Q.
               I live in Vallecitos, which is roughly 45
11
        Α.
12
    minutes north of Espanola.
13
               Can you give me a landmark for Vallecitos?
        Q.
               Ojo Caliente. If you have heard of it I
14
15
    am 15 miles north of Ojo Caliente.
16
        Q. So did it take you awhile to respond to
17
    the scene?
18
        Α.
             Yes, it does.
19
        Q.
               If you recall, about what time did you
20
    show up?
21
               I think it was sometime a little after
        Α.
2.2
    3:00 in the morning.
23
        Q.
               This is at 826 Riverside Drive in
24
    Espanola?
25
        A. Yes, it was.
```

- Q. What was the name of the motel that was there, if you recall?
  - A. It was something like West Winds.
  - Q. Okay. And what is the first thing you do when you arrive?
    - A. Well, the first thing I would do is make contact with police or whoever is handling the scene, be it FBI or whoever, you know, and ask what happened, what do they have.
    - Q. Okay. And when you say what did they have, when you are talking to a law enforcement officer, it seems kind of a lingo. What are you asking them?
  - A. I am asking them what happened, why they were, why they were called out and why we are here.
    - Q. Ultimately were you taken to the location of a decedent?
- A. Actually because of the lighting, I could see that as I arrived.
  - Q. Okay. And are there steps that you take?
    When you find a decedent, it seems like that is your
    primary responsibility; is that right?
    - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

2.2

23

Q. Are there steps that you take to make sure, do you do things in a certain order, do you

check out the scene, look around, what are you doing at that point?

2.2

A. I am trying to understand how and why, whatever happened, happened. So I would pause and look around the scene, speak to law enforcement about, you know, what they know of what happened and kind of put that together in my head.

At the same time, I am keeping an eye on the decedent because the minute I get there they are my responsibility. So I need to make sure that no one approaches them, no one takes anything, touches anything, moves anything.

- Q. What about before you get there, do you ask law enforcement, has anyone touched the body, has the decedent, did they receive some kind of medical care, that type of thing?
- A. Yes. I would normally ask if EMS has been there and if the person has been moved, if anything has been changed.
- Q. Did you learn whether Ms. Gallegos was moved prior to your arrival?
- A. My understanding is that EMS had changed her position to look for signs of life.
- Q. And do you have any sense that they, so if I understand you correctly, did they turn her from

```
prone position, face down, to face up?
 1
 2
        Α.
               Yes, they turned her over.
 3
               And not -- to your understanding, not
         Q.
 4
    moved her length-wise in any direction, correct?
 5
        Α.
               No.
 6
               That would be improper for EMS to do that,
        0.
 7
    there would be no reason?
 8
        Α.
               There would be no reason for it.
 9
    you look at each individual scene. There was
10
    nothing to move her away from. Sometimes there
11
    might be, but in this case there was no reason to do
12
    anything but move her to a -- to a place where they
13
    could see her face.
14
               And did you take photographs while you
15
    were there?
16
        Α.
               Yes, I did.
17
               MR. NAYBACK: May I approach the witness,
18
    Your Honor?
19
               THE COURT: You may.
20
               MR. NAYBACK:
                             Thanks.
21
               May I approach the witness?
2.2
               THE COURT: Yes, you may.
23
               MR. NAYBACK: Thank you.
24
              (By Mr. Nayback) Ms. Gudes, I am showing
25
    you what has been marked for identification as
```

```
Government's 58, 59, 60, and 61. If you would just
 1
 2
    take a minute, take your time and look at each of
 3
    those photographs and simply tell me when you are
 4
    done.
 5
        Α.
               (Witness complies.) Okay.
 6
        0.
               Do you recognize those photos?
 7
        Α.
               Yes, I do.
 8
        Q.
               Did you take them?
 9
        Α.
               Yes.
10
               Are they a fair and accurate depiction of
        Q.
    the scene and Maria Gallegos' body when you arrived?
11
12
        Α.
               Yes, they are.
13
               MR. NAYBACK: Your Honor, I move
    Government's 58, 59, 60, and 61.
14
15
               THE COURT: Is there objection?
16
               MS. LAVIN:
                          No objection.
17
               THE COURT: 58, 59, 60, and 61 are
18
    admitted.
19
               MR. NAYBACK: Permission to publish.
20
               THE COURT: You may.
21
               MR. NAYBACK:
                             Thank you.
2.2
               (Exhibits admitted, Government's 58
23
    through 61 and published to the jury.)
24
              (By Mr. Nayback) Ms. Gudes, I am showing
        Q
25
    you Government's Exhibit 58. Can you tell me what
```

you are seeing in this photo? 1 This is Ms. Gallegos as I first approached 2 Α. 3 her in the positions that she was when I found her. 4 Q. Can you describe her clothing? 5 She is wearing, I believe, a hoody with Α. 6 jeans, sneakers, a shirt. She is not carrying 7 anything. Is part of your job to also, when you 8 Q. 9 encounter a decedent, do you check in and around 10 their person or their body for weapons or burglary 11 tools? 12 MS. LAVIN: Objection, leading. 13 Yes, I do. I go through the --Α. 14 THE COURT: Hold on just one second. 15 There was an objection. If you could rephrase, 16 please. 17 MR. NAYBACK: I will be happy to. 18 THE COURT: Yes, thank you. 19 (By Mr. Nayback) When you arrive on scene 20 what do you check on the decedent's person? 21 I look through their pockets, if they are 2.2 carrying a purse or anything, I look for what they 23 have on them and around them. 24 I am going to ask you some specific 25 questions. Were you, did you locate any weapons?

- No, I did not. 1 Α. Did you locate any burglary tools? 2 Q. 3 No, I did not. Α. 4 Q. Investigator Gudes, I am showing you Government's Exhibit 59 that has been admitted into 5 6 evidence. The lighting is poor. 7 Can you describe for the jury why you took 8 this photograph from a different angle than you did 9 Government's 58? 10 I was trying to show the pathologists, the Α. 11 surrounding area and the visual problems involved. 12 Q. And can you describe for the jury what you 13 noticed about Ms. Gallegos' face? 14 Α. I'm sorry? 15 That is all right. Thanks for -- again, Q. 16 showing you Government's Exhibit 58, how many -- let 17 me ask you this first. Investigator Gudes, how many death 18
- Investigator Gudes, how many death
  investigations do you think you have been involved
  with?
  - A. Hundreds.
  - Q. Did you observe Ms. Gallegos' face?
- A. Yes, I did.

2.2

- 24 Q. And what did you notice about it?
- 25 A. Her face was quite bloody. There were

several defects on her face. Part of it, I am 1 2 trying to think how to describe this. We don't 3 define, on the scene we don't define what is a 4 bullet hole and what is a stab wound and what is a 5 something from hitting the pavement. All of those 6 things are defects. She had several defects on her 7 It appeared that she had hit the ground 8 fairly hard. 9 0. That is what I was going to clarify. 10 it your understanding -- well, let me ask you this: 11 In your opinion were the lacerations to her face 12 consistent with hitting the pavement face down? Some of the lacerations on her face were 13 Α. 14 consistent with that. 15

- Q. Now, do you take the time at 3:00 in the morning when you are at the scene, do you take time to put on gloves and exam Ms. Gallegos closer?
  - A. Absolutely.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. How do you go about doing that?
- A. We put on gloves, we feel around the areas that we think are problem areas. Ms. Gallegos had very, very thick hair and it was, there was a great deal a blood around her head. It was hard to feel at that time exactly where everything was, but it was absolutely certain there were other defects I

couldn't visualize because of her hair.

Q. Thank you.

2.2

Can you describe for the jury in Government's Exhibit 60 what work have you done that is reflected in this photograph?

A. I had bagged Ms. Gallegos' hands because that is a standard thing to do. Anytime that there is any gunshot involved or any other reason to think that there is a reason to protect their hands, we put paper bags on the hands to protect evidence so that the doctors at OMI will be able to find them.

I placed her on top of the body bag so that I can also photograph the blood that was on the ground around her.

- Q. What types of evidence might be under those brown paper bags taped around her wrists?
- A. For example, if she had had a weapon and fired it there might be gunshot residue on her hands. There could, you know, there could be anything on her hands. I am just protecting it so that when the doctors at OMI examine her they can find what is there and it doesn't rub off inside the bag.
- Q. And, Investigator Gudes, what are the next steps after you are at this point with Ms. Gallegos'

body? 1 Well, after I have checked her pockets, I 2 3 have looked for whatever it is she might have on 4 her, I am also looking for identification. Then I 5 will seal the white bag and then we have a seal with 6 a number on it that is very secure. 7 I have sealed that, I photograph that 8 number and that number is sent to OMI so that when 9 she arrives that seal has to be in tact with the 10 same number on it. 11 And, Investigator Gudes, do you transport Q. 12 Ms. Gallegos or does someone else? 13 No, we have a rotation for each County and Α. 14 I call, if family is there they get to choose. 15 there is no family there, I call whatever funeral 16 home is on rotation. 17 Q. Finally I am publishing and showing you, Ms. Gudes, Government's 61. Did you take this 18 19 photograph? 20 Yes, I did. Α. 21 Q. And first what direction is the jury 2.2 looking at? 23 Α. You are looking roughly towards the west. 24 I am standing near the edge of Riverside drive in

25

Espanola.

```
And, Investigator Gudes, you can actually
 1
        Q.
    touch your screen to make a little dot or make a
 2
 3
    little circle. If you see it in that photograph,
 4
    can you give the approximate location of
 5
    Ms. Gallegos' body?
 6
        Α.
               It would have been somewhere in here
 7
    (indicating).
 8
               So the motel parking lot; is that correct?
        Q.
 9
        Α.
               Yes, or the driveway that goes through
10
    there.
               There is a red car in the left of that
11
        Q.
12
    photograph. Do you know whether that belonged to a
13
    tenant at the hotel or a law enforcement agency, do
14
    you have any idea?
15
               I don't have any idea. I don't believe it
        Α.
16
    was law enforcement.
17
        Q.
               Okay. And when you were present at the
18
    motel that morning did you notice tenants in and
19
    around that area?
20
               When I, no. When I first arrived there, I
        Α.
21
    didn't see anyone else except law enforcement.
2.2
              And in the direction that you were
        Q.
23
    describing west, there is a telephone pole there.
24
    Did you happen to notice what is further west?
```

you were to go further west what would the jury see

or hit?

2.2

- A. There is kind of an open area behind the motel and further out there are buildings and houses and, you know, I don't know exactly what they were, but there is obviously things back there.
- Q. And is it a part of your job, do you attempt to figure out -- well, let me ask you this:

  Is it a part of your job to try to figure out where decedents might have been coming from or going to?
- A. That actually is more law enforcement's job but because I have been an investigator for getting close to 50 years now, when I do a scene I try to understand what happened. And in this case, I wondered where she came from.
  - Q. And was it clear to you or no?
- A. It was not clear. The law enforcement officer and I tried to look for footprints but the ground was not good at making it easy to read footprints. But it appeared that she probably came from somewhere back there.
- Q. Investigator Gudes, finally, did you notice any private property or no trespassing signs when you were on site at the Western Winds Motel?
- A. No, there were no no trespassing signs.
- 25 | It appeared to be a motel and it is not a usual

```
place to have no trespassing signs.
 1
 2
               MR. NAYBACK: Your Honor, I will pass the
 3
    witness.
 4
               THE COURT: All right. Ms. Lavin, you may
 5
    cross-examine the witness.
                      CROSS-EXAMINATION
 6
 7
        BY MS. LAVIN:
 8
               Good morning, Ms. Gudes. My name is
 9
    Amanda Lavin, I represent Mr. Smith, the defendant.
10
               So, Ms. Gudes, you responded to the scene
11
    the night that this happened and then the following
12
    day; is that correct?
13
               It was actually all the same day, it was
        Α.
14
    still the 5th.
15
               The following morning, I should say.
        Q.
16
        Α.
               Yes. Or actually afternoon.
17
        Q.
               Thank you. I am going to show you what
18
    had previously been marked Defendant's Exhibit B18
19
    through 20.
20
               MS. LAVIN:
                          Permission to approach the
21
    witness, Your Honor?
2.2
               THE COURT: You may. B18 through 20, you
23
    said?
24
              MS. LAVIN: Yes.
25
              (By Ms. Lavin) Ms. Gudes, if you could
        Q
```

```
please review those photos that I just handed you
 1
    and tell me if you recognize them?
 2
 3
        Α.
               Yes.
 4
        0.
               What are those photos?
 5
        Α.
               These are photographs of the scene when I
 6
    first arrived at 3-something in the morning.
 7
               Did you take those photos?
        Q.
 8
        Α.
               I believe, I did.
 9
               MS. LAVIN: Your Honor, I would move at
10
    this time for the admission of Defendant's
11
    Exhibits B18 through 20.
12
               THE COURT: Is there objection?
13
               MR. NAYBACK: No objection, Your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: B18, B19 and B20 are admitted.
15
               (Exhibits admitted, Defendant's B18
16
    through B20.)
17
               MS. LAVIN: Thank you. I am going to
18
    approach the witness again to take those back.
19
               Your Honor, permission to publish B18 to
20
    the jury.
21
               THE COURT: You may.
2.2
               (Whereupon Defendant's Exhibit B18
23
    published to the jury.)
24
              (By Ms. Lavin) Ms. Gudes, can you see
        Q
25
    Exhibit B18 on your screen there?
```

- 1 A. Yes, I can.
- 2 Q. Can you please tell the jury what this
- 3 picture that you took, what it depicts?
- 4 A. This would have been what I saw when I
- 5 first pulled up.
- 6 O. And those vehicles that we see in the
- 7 | image, those are patrol vehicles from the town of
- 8 Espanola Police Department; is that right?
- 9 A. Yes, they are.
- 10 Q. And the scene where you responded, the
- 11 Western Winds Motel, that was in the town of
- 12 Espanola?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- 14 Q. And Espanola Police Department was the
- 15 only law enforcement agency that you interacted with
- 16 | that night; is that right?
- 17 A. Yes, they were.
- 18 Q. Now, Ms. Gudes, I am going to ask you to
- 19 look at Defendant's Exhibit B19.
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. Can you please tell the jury what this
- 22 | image depicts?
- 23 A. This is a shed that is between where
- 24 the -- where law enforcement tells me that Mr. Smith
- 25 was standing and where the decedent's body is.

1 Q. Thank you. And your information about what happened 2 3 that night all came from law enforcement; is that 4 right? 5 Α. Yes, it did. 6 Q. Thank you. 7 And now I would like to show you 8 Defendant's Exhibit B20. 9 Can you please tell the jury what this is 10 a picture of? 11 Α. That is just the sign of the motel. 12 Q. And this picture was taken at the time 13 that you responded; is that right? 14 Α. Yes, it was. 15 As was the prior image that we just saw? Q. 16 Yes, ma'am. Α. 17 Q. And this image that we are looking at 18 right now, that light that is shining above the 19 Western Winds Motel sign, that is a streetlight; is 20 that right? 21 Α. Yes, I believe, it is. 2.2 And that Western Winds Motel sign, it Q. 23 wasn't light up that night, was it? 24 No, it wasn't but I am not sure -- it was Α. 25 a sign that was visible from the lights around it.

```
Okay. And when you went back the next
 1
        Q.
    day, now I would like to show you what has been
 2
 3
    previously admitted as Government's Exhibit 61.
 4
               This is another photo that you took,
 5
    right?
               Yes, ma'am.
 6
        Α.
 7
              And that car that is parked in front of
        0.
 8
    the motel, is that your car, the dark gray one?
 9
               It looks like it. I honestly am not sure
        Α.
10
    where I parked that day, but that looks like my car.
11
               Okay. It looks like the Western Winds
        Q.
12
    sign, the sign for the Western Winds Motel is not
13
    lit up in this photo, would you agree?
14
        Α.
               I am not sure it is the kind of sign that
15
    does light up.
16
               And was there anyone, was there like a
        Q.
17
    reception office that you went and visited? Was
    there any activity in the front of the building
18
19
    looking like they were checking guests in or
20
    anything like that?
21
              Not that I noted.
        Α.
2.2
              Did you see any of those rooms being
        Q.
23
    occupied?
24
               I didn't look to see if any rooms were
        Α.
25
    occupied.
```

No one coming and going from the rooms? 1 Q. 2 Α. No. 3 So when you arrived it was early in the Q. 4 morning on the night of May 5th, the morning of 5 May 5th, I should say. You noted in your report it 6 was really too dark to continue your investigation; 7 is that right? 8 I wanted to come back in daylight to see Α. 9 better what the surroundings were. 10 Okay. And those photos that you took that Q. 11 we just looked at, B18 through B20, those show that 12 it is pretty dark. 13 Would you agree? 14 Α. The other ones, yes, it was pretty dark. 15 Okay. And at the time that you responded, Q. 16 the Espanola Police Department in terms of light 17 that they had provided, they had a small generator 18 with the floodlight and they had their headlights 19 shining; is that right? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. That was the extent of the lights that 2.2 were available? 23 Α. Yes.

those lights that the police had brought, you

And would you agree that without any of

24

wouldn't have been able to see anything, you 1 2 wouldn't have been able to see the body? 3 I would have used a larger flashlight. Α. 4 Q. Okay. In fact, in your report you noted 5 that visibility into and out of the backyard is limited? 6 7 Yes, it was dimly lighted. Α. 8 Okay. So you observed, I think, what you Q. 9 called earlier defects on the forehead of Maria 10 Gallegos; is that right? 11 Yes, I did. Α. 12 Okay. And your information at the time Q. 13 that you observed those injuries was that someone 14 had shot her; is that right? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Okay. And you assumed that those injuries Q. 17 that you saw on her forehead were gunshot wounds; is 18 that right? 19 I don't assume anything on a defect, that 20 is for the pathologists to determine. 21 So in your report you noted that the 2.2 shooter's story seems unlikely based on the defects 23 on her forehead. 24 Do you remember putting that in your 25 report?

A. I would like to see that report, if I may.

Q. Would it refresh your recollection if I showed you your report as to what you wrote?

A. Please.

2.2

MS. LAVIN: May I approach the witness?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

MS. LAVIN: This is Bates 2456.

- Q (By Ms. Lavin) So, Ms. Gudes, after you have reviewed your report let me know and then we can respond to the question.
- A. I'm sorry to look confused, but I need to let you know that the report that I write and what OMI ends up producing are not exactly the same all the time.
  - Q. Okay. No problem.
- A. I am not certain if this is mine or the pathologist's, so or even a note from the Central Office. So, yeah, this first part here that you gave me honestly does not look familiar to me. The latter part does and I am wondering if the -- oh, these are log comments. What that means is that the investigator at the Central Office that I spoke to, I have a rather casual conversation with an investigator at the Central Office to give them some initial information and they ask me questions, what

```
I think and I tell them, you know, what is going on.
 1
 2
               The fact that this says log comment, this
 3
    is something that the Central Office investigator
    wrote, not me.
 5
        Q.
               Okay. Thank you.
 6
               MS. LAVIN: I will approach now.
              (By Ms. Lavin) So these field investigator
 7
        Q.
 8
    notes that have your name on them, some of them are
    your statements, some of them come from the OMI
 9
10
    office.
11
               Is that fair to say?
12
        Α.
               I think log comment is what specifies that
13
    the Central Office investigator wrote that.
14
        Q.
               All right. Well --
15
               I noticed that she also says law
        Α.
16
    enforcement will attend the autopsy.
17
               THE COURT: The court reporter couldn't
18
    hear you. Could you repeat the last part.
19
               THE WITNESS: That she also noted that law
20
    enforcement would attend the autopsy. I'm sorry, I
21
    have a little bit of laryngitis thanks to my
2.2
    grandkids.
23
              (By Ms. Lavin) Ms. Gudes, the night that
24
    you took photos of Maria Gallegos, you didn't see
25
    the bullet hole that actually caused her death; is
```

that right? 1 She had very thick hair and I could feel a 2 3 lot of blood and things under her hair but I could not see it without shaving her head. 5 The field investigation notes that I am Q. 6 looking at don't indicate that her hair caused any 7 visibility problems. Would that surprise you to 8 know that that is not in your report? 9 Α. Actually I think my report does say that 10 she had very thick hair which was tied back. 11 Now Mr. Nayback asked you some questions Q. 12 about whether or not, what her belongings were that 13 she had on her. 14 Did Ms. Gallegos have a backpack? 15 No, she did not. Α. 16 Did she have a blanket? Q. 17 No. Α. 18 Did she have any luggage? Q. 19 Α. No. 20 Did she have an ID? Q. 21 Α. No, she didn't. 2.2 Did she have any credit cards? Q. 23 Α. No. 24 She had a flashlight, didn't she? Q. 25 It was a small glow flashlight. Α.

```
And the only money she had on her was a
 1
        Q.
 2
    dollar and some change; is that right?
 3
               I remember the pennies, I don't remember
 4
    the dollar.
 5
        Q.
               You took photos of the belongings that she
 6
    had in her pockets that night; is that right?
 7
        Α.
               Yes, I did.
 8
               If you saw a photo that you took or a
        Q.
    couple of photos, would that refresh your
 9
10
    recollection as to what she had on her?
               Yes, it would.
11
        Α.
12
               MS. LAVIN: Permission to approach?
13
               THE COURT:
                          You may.
14
               MS. LAVIN: Now --
15
               MR. NAYBACK: We are going to object to
16
    the photos.
17
               (Whereupon a Bench discussion was held
18
    outside the hearing of the jury.)
19
               THE COURT: What are the photos, the
20
    contents of the pockets?
21
               MR. NAYBACK: There is an orange drug cap
2.2
    that the Court has excluded from evidence,
23
    potentially holding drugs, potentially holding
24
    drugs. That is calling into question that the Court
25
    has expressly kept out of evidence.
```

MS. LAVIN: Your Honor, she opened the 1 2 They asked if she had questions. 3 MR. NAYBACK: I didn't reopen the door. 4 All we asked about, you remember you sustained a 5 leading question. I was trying to get just to 6 weapons and --7 THE COURT: So I sustained a leading 8 question, so you are not supposed to lead. 9 MR. NAYBACK: I don't think I opened the 10 door by asking of burglary tools to showing 11 paraphernalia that the Court specifically kept out. 12 THE COURT: The Court makes evidentiary 13 rulings, but if somebody opens the door, then the 14 evidentiary rulings kind of fall by the wayside. 15 don't know what is in somebody's pocket, so if you 16 ask what was on her, I am not really sure you asked 17 about what she had in her possession. You asked 18 about things that were in her possession. 19 MR. NAYBACK: They are suggesting that she 20 was a danger and breaking into a trailer, so I think 21 it is relevant that we ask about weapons. 2.2 THE COURT: Of course, yes. 23 MR. NAYBACK: I don't think it opens the 24 door to drug paraphernalia, something that the Court 25 said was improper character evidence.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE COURT: I think if she had drug paraphernalia on her person and so you're right, the Court ruled before that her drug history would not come in. I don't think it is relevant, but when it is in a way misleading the jury now they don't get to see what all was on her person, I don't have any -- I don't have the knowledge of all the evidence that you-all have, and so if this was something that was going to be an issue it would have been better between -- it would have been brought to the Court's attention specifically before this. You know, if somebody had told me, if these pictures would contain photos of drug paraphernalia we could have talked about it. now I am concerned that with the information that was elicited about what was in her possession, now the defendant should be then given the opportunity to fully answer the question. It is time for us to take a break. just, let's take an afternoon recess and let me just think about it for a minute because I do feel that the door was opened but I am going to think about it a little bit and see. Was there something else? MS. WILSON: I don't think those were on

the Defendant's Exhibit list at all. 1 2 MS. LAVIN: We are not trying to get these 3 in as exhibits, Your Honor. I think another issue, 4 the prosecution is trying to suggest that she was 5 there for some sort of legal purpose and regardless, 6 if the door was open --THE COURT: Let's just back up a second. 7 8 You were at the point where you wanted to show the 9 photos to the witness. You were not at the point 10 where you were offering them into evidence. 11 MS. LAVIN: Exactly. 12 THE COURT: And the objection was you 13 didn't want the witness to identify the photo and 14 talk about the contents. 15 MR. NAYBACK: That is right. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 17 (Whereupon the following proceedings were 18 held in Open Court.) 19 THE COURT: I normally take an afternoon 20 recess at 3:10, take about a 15-minute break, so by 21 the time we concluded this sidebar it is time for 2.2 our break. 23 So Yvonne will give you instructions and 24 let you know where you can go, if you need to. 25 it downstairs, so second floor, but we will be back

in the courtroom in about 15 minutes, all right. 1 2 (Whereupon the jury exited the courtroom.) 3 (A recess was taken.) 4 (Open court, outside the presence of the 5 jury.) 6 THE COURT: Before we bring the jury in, 7 let me just say that I did say that I believe the 8 Government opened the door on that particular issue. 9 And I did review the question on the 10 realtime and I am satisfied that the door was 11 The question was asked, "When you arrive at opened. 12 a scene what do you check on the decedent's person?" 13 The answer was, "I check pockets and if 14 carrying a purse, check that." 15 So I will allow the question about the 16 photograph that has not been offered into evidence, 17 that the defense does not intend to offer into evidence. 18 19 So I am not saying that the door has been 20 opened on all matters involving her drug history, I 21 am simply saying that this particular question 2.2 opened the door, the question about what do you 23 check on the decedent's person and the answer, "I 24 check pockets," allows the question about what was 25 in her pockets.

```
Are we ready for the jury?
 1
 2
               MR. NAYBACK: Real quick, Your Honor.
 3
               Judge, I just want to raise one issue.
 4
    You had us changing our exhibit and indicating we
 5
    could probably get it done overnight. The witness
 6
    we are calling, we have two photographer -- you see
 7
    we are moving along fairly quickly. We have two
 8
    photographers and then Byron Abeyta is the logical
    order and has been our order for a while.
 9
10
               We don't have the recording yet.
11
    wondering if the Court would entertain breaking
12
    after two photographers, it might be 4:30, it might
13
    be 4:45, 4:15, or do you require us to grab a
14
    witness out of order?
15
               THE COURT: We will see what time it is.
16
    If it is 4:15 I would like to get a little bit more
17
    done. If it is 4:30, 4:45, then we can break for
18
    the day.
19
               MR. NAYBACK:
                             Thank you.
20
               THE COURT: Just a reminder, this is being
21
    streamed and so your conversations are being picked
2.2
    up by the microphone.
23
               (Whereupon the jury entered the
24
    courtroom.)
25
               (Whereupon the following proceedings were
```

held in Open Court.) 1 THE COURT: Please be seated. 2 3 When we broke, Ms. Lavin was 4 cross-examining the witness, and so you may continue 5 your cross-examination. 6 MS. LAVIN: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 Q. (By Ms. Lavin) Ms. Gudes, I had asked you 8 if reviewing a couple of photos that you took of the 9 contents of Maria Gallegos' pockets would refresh 10 your recollection, and you indicated that they 11 would. 12 Α. Yes. 13 Permission to approach the MS. LAVIN: 14 witness, Your Honor? 15 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 16 Q. (By Ms. Lavin) Ms. Gudes, once you have had 17 the opportunity to look at those photos, let me 18 know. 19 Α. Yes, ma'am. 20 So after looking at those photos do you 21 now recall what the contents of Ms. Gallegos, or 2.2 what those things were in the photos that you 23 photographed that you found on her person? 24 Yes, but I didn't take this photo. Α. 25 photo was taken by OMI when they do the autopsy.

```
They probably found a few extra things in her
 1
 2
    pockets. Many times when I am looking at the scene
 3
    I may not get every single pocket and that is why I
 4
    didn't recall the dollar bill. Here is a dollar
 5
    bill, no dollar bill there (indicating).
 6
        0.
              Okay. So you took one of those photos,
 7
    right?
 8
        Α.
              Yes, ma'am.
 9
        Q.
              Okay. So what did you find?
10
              I found three pennies.
        Α.
11
               MR. NAYBACK: Objection, Your Honor. Can
12
    I object and ask for clarification as to which photo
13
    the witness took and I would also object to her
14
    commenting on a photo that she didn't take.
15
               MS. LAVIN: I can collect the photo that
16
    she didn't take.
17
               THE COURT: All right. That is fair
18
    enough.
             So --
19
              (By Ms. Lavin) So based on your review of
        Q.
20
    the photo that you took, Ms. Gudes, what do you
21
    remember finding on Ms. Gallegos?
2.2
               I remember the three pennies. I didn't
        Α.
23
    find the dollar bill. Looking at this thing, there
24
    also a ChapStick. It looks like a cap from a small
25
    syringe, and I am truly not sure what that item on
```

the left is. 1 2 (By Ms. Lavin) Okay. And in your report 3 you indicated that there were two caps from a small syringe; is that right? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Thank you. 7 MS. LAVIN: I will come and grab that 8 photo. 9 (By Ms. Lavin) So I want to go back when 10 you first arrived on the scene, Ms. Gudes. You said it took about 40 minutes to arrive or so since you 11 12 live some distance from Espanola? 13 It would have been more than 40 minutes. Α. 14 0. More than 40 minutes. Okay. So when you 15 arrived there were already a lot of law enforcement 16 officers on the scene; is that right? 17 There was at least two law enforcement Α. 18 officers on the scene, I wouldn't say a lot. 19 Q. Okay. They had been walking around the 20 property? 21 Α. Yes. 2.2 And you mentioned earlier that you weren't Q. 23 able to find any footprints? Not, not footprints. One of the law 24 Α. 25 enforcement officers and I looked, and I think they

actually think it was later that afternoon when I 1 2 came back in daylight that I believe it was 3 Officer Cody Martinez and I tried to figure out 4 where she came from. Neither one of us are good 5 trackers. 6 So it was too dark when you arrived on 7 scene to look for footprints; is that right? 8 Yes, that night it was. Α. 9 Okay. And so the reason you came back Q. 10 later that afternoon was because it was really too 11 dark to do any investigation on the property? 12 Α. Not that it was too dark to have an 13 investigation, but I was bothered by the fact that I 14 couldn't figure out what had happened. 15 What do you mean by that? 0. 16 There were a lot of -- there was that Α. 17 shed, there were plants growing on the fence, there 18 wasn't a good line of sight from where law 19 enforcement told me Mr. Smith had been standing. 20 But law enforcement had already moved the shells and 21 so I only had a vague idea of where things had been 2.2 and I was trying to understand in my mind just what

O. I understand.

had gone on.

23

24

25

MS. LAVIN: If I could just have a moment,

Your Honor? 1 2 THE COURT: You may. 3 MS. LAVIN: Those are all the questions I 4 have for Ms. Gudes. Thank you. 5 THE COURT: Redirect, Mr. Nayback? 6 MR. NAYBACK: Briefly, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 7 8 BY MR. NAYBACK: 9 Investigator Gudes, you were shown some 10 photographs by defense counsel of, it looks like a 11 shed on the hotel property, motel property. 12 Defendant's Exhibit B19, I believe, was 13 admitted. I just want to publish it. 14 You were testifying earlier that it was 15 very dark? 16 Yes, sir. Α. 17 Q. How did you go about obtaining this 18 well-lit photograph of a shed on the motel property? 19 Α. Flash of my camera. 20 Did you notice whether from were any patio Q. 21 lights or any other lighting from the perspective 2.2 where you were standing in this photo? 23 Α. I don't recall. I have a vague idea, the 24 officers may have helped with their flashlights, but 25 I am not certain.

Q. Thank you. But you are suggesting, in this photo anyway, you used a flashbulb to increase the lighting, correct?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. Then I want to point out a couple of other things in Defendant's Exhibit B19.

Do you see those lights there?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Are those from the other side, if you know, are those from the other side of the driveway where that red car was pictured?
- 12 A. I don't, I am not certain but I believe 13 they are.
  - Q. Did you open the door to the motel shed or was it already opened when you photographed it?
    - A. It was already opened.
  - Q. Was there a -- can you describe how this shed, how it was in the area such as a backyard, how is it demarcated? Were there any structures that created a backyard of sorts to your recollection?
  - A. Yes. There is a kind of a metal fence around the yard of the house. And that fence is, that shed is the yard side of the fence but there is definitely a kind of like a garden fence, maybe 4 feet high or so and wire.

```
And, Investigator, on this photograph can
 1
        Q.
 2
    you demarcate on your screen where you would
 3
    estimate Ms. Gallegos' body to be?
 4
               MS. LAVIN: Objection, speculation.
 5
               THE COURT: If you know, you can answer.
 6
        Α.
               I am a little vaque on it, but I think it
    is somewhere -- well, of course it would be ground
 7
 8
    level, but it is back behind the shed and the other
 9
    side of the fence that has a lot of plants growing
10
    on it.
11
              (By Mr. Nayback) You were asked some
        0
12
    questions by me and by the defense counsel about
13
    what you found on Ms. Gallegos.
14
        Α.
               Yes, sir.
15
               And you indicated you had taken one of the
        Q.
16
    photos but OMI must have taken the other one,
17
    correct?
18
        Α.
               Yes, sir.
19
        Q.
               You mentioned a syringe cap; is that
20
    right?
21
        Α.
               Yes, just a cap. And by the way, if I may
2.2
    add, the reason I am certain OMI took the other one
23
    is because they used a scale and I see the scale in
24
    their photo. I did not have a scale at the scene.
25
               Did you find a syringe?
        Q.
```

```
1
        Α.
               No, I did not.
 2
               Do you know whether Ms. Gallegos was
        Q.
 3
    diabetic?
              No idea.
 4
        Α.
 5
               MS. LAVIN: Objection, speculation.
 6
               THE COURT: She already answered the
 7
    question, but I was going to allow the question, if
 8
    she knew the answer, so thank you.
 9
               MR. NAYBACK: Pass the witness,
10
    Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: May this witness be
12
    permanently excused?
13
               MR. NAYBACK:
                             She may.
14
               THE COURT: Thank you for your testimony.
15
               (Whereupon the witness was excused from
16
    the courtroom.)
17
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: Your Honor, may we
18
    approach.
19
               THE COURT: Yes.
20
               (Whereupon a Bench discussion was held
21
    outside the hearing of the jury.)
2.2
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: At least my review of
23
    the medical records does not indicate anything that
24
    suggests that Ms. Gallegos had diabetes at all, and
25
    I think the Government has now introduced a
```

suggestion that she used the syringe for diabetes. 1 2 I think that opens the door to her history to the 3 fact that she had morphine in her system, at the 4 very least morphine in her system. There is also 5 alcohol in her system and I don't think that is 6 within the scope of what they have opened the door 7 of, but by suggesting that the syringes could be 8 from diabetes, when I don't think there is any 9 records that she has diabetes, at least that I can 10 see there is no testimony from Dr. Cain, that opens 11 the door to the morphine in her system. 12 THE COURT: So who is your next witness? 13 MR. NAYBACK: We are going to call Tammy 14 She is a FBI photographer. 15 THE COURT: Is this going to come up with the next witness, the diabetes? 16 17 MR. NAYBACK: No. 18 THE COURT: I would rather get to the 19 testimony. You have alerted us to that, but I don't 20 want to keep the jury waiting. So what I would like 21 to do is get through testimony. If we get to the 2.2 end of the day for, whatever, 4:30, whatever time, 23 we can take this issue up. 24 MR. ELSENHEIMER: Could we ask that 25 Dr. Cain be kept here so that he can be recalled to

```
address that?
 1
              THE COURT: He is the first witness that
 2
 3
    we heard from. Well, if it is not too late we can
 4
    try to do that.
 5
              MS. WILSON: He actually has to testify in
 6
    Oklahoma in another murder trial, that is why we
 7
    called him first so he can catch the plane. He is
 8
    likely at the airport now.
 9
              MR. NAYBACK: I think we alerted the
10
    defense counsel with that issue.
11
              MS. LAVIN: That is before they opened the
12
    door.
13
              THE COURT: We haven't even decided that
    they opened the door, but it sure sounds like you
14
15
    did.
16
              MR. NAYBACK: I didn't get a chance to
17
    respond.
              THE COURT: I said we are going to take
18
19
    this up later. I am giving you a hint of what I am
20
    thinking, so be prepared.
               (Whereupon the following proceedings were
21
2.2
    held in Open Court.)
23
              THE COURT: The Government may call its
24
    next witness.
25
              MR. NAYBACK: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

```
United States calls Tammy Peter to the witness
 1
 2
    stand.
 3
               (Whereupon, the witness was sworn.)
 4
               THE COURT: Before you begin, let me ask
 5
    you to give us your full name. Spell your first and
 6
    last names for the record, please.
 7
               THE WITNESS:
                             Tammy Peter, T-A-M-M-Y,
 8
    P-E-T-E-R.
 9
               THE COURT: Thank you. You may proceed,
10
    Mr. Nayback.
11
                         TAMMY PETER,
12
    after having been first duly sworn under oath,
13
          was questioned and testified as follows:
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
14
15
        BY MR. NAYBACK:
16
               Ms. Peter, where do you work?
        Q.
17
               I work for the Albuquerque division of the
        Α.
18
    FBI.
19
               How long have you been with the FBI?
        Q.
20
               Just shy of 25 years.
        Α.
21
        Q.
               And what do you do for them?
2.2
               I am the photographer for the division.
        Α.
23
        Q.
               And throughout your 25 years, have you
24
    always served in that capacity or have you served in
25
    other capacities?
```

- I have served in other capacities, and I 1 Α. 2 am also a member of the evidence response team. 3 Okay. How large is the evidence response Q. 4 team? 5 Α. We have 24 members throughout the State. 6 0. Are you familiar with an investigation 7 involving United States versus Douglas Smith? 8 Α. Yes. 9 And how did you get involved in that Q. 10 investigation, if you recall? 11 Α. Initially a request came from the case 12 agent, Travis Taylor. 13 This was sometime after May 5th of 2018, Q. 14 correct? 15 Α. Correct. 16 Okay. Do you remember going -- do you Q. 17 remember where you responded at Special 18 Agent Taylor's request? 19 825 North Riverside, which is the Western Α. 20 Winds Motel. 21 Okay. Was it just you, Ms. Peter, or were 2.2 there other members of the team that were
- A. Other members as well.

participating that day?

23

25

Q. Okay. And what was your role?

A. Photographer.

- Q. Okay. What kind of camera do you use? I assume it is fairly high tech, but can you describe to the jury the type of camera you use and the type of photos you took at this location?
- A. We use Nikon DSLR cameras, which are cameras that have interchangeable lenses. On that day I used what is called a fisheye lens as well as a normal zoom.
- Q. Okay. And how did you go about -- did you -- how would you describe the property at the Western Winds Motel?
- A. Well, it obviously had rooms, because it is a hotel, but there was also a residence on the back as well as some outer, outbuildings.
- Q. And how did you know where to, what agents wanted photographed, I guess is what I am getting after?
- A. Basically there was discussions through
  the case agent as well as my other counterparts that
  were there.
- MR. NAYBACK: May I approach the witness?

  THE COURT: You may.
- Q (By Mr. Nayback) Ms. Peter, I am showing what you has been marked for identification as

```
Government's Exhibits 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13,
 1
    14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26,
 2
 3
    27, 28, 29, 30, 31, and 32. Would you take your
 4
    time and look at these and let me know when you are
 5
    finished reviewing each photograph.
 6
        Α.
               (Witness complies.)
 7
               What are those photographs?
         Q.
 8
        Α.
               Those are photographs that I took at the
 9
    scene.
10
               Are they fair and accurate depictions of
        Q.
11
    the scene when you responded?
12
        Α.
               Yes.
13
               Do you recall which date you were there?
        Q.
14
        Α.
               I remember it was August of 2019.
15
               MR. NAYBACK: I move Government's
16
    Exhibit 5 through 32, Your Honor.
17
               MS. LAVIN: No objection.
18
               THE COURT: No objection, 5 through 32 are
19
    admitted.
20
               (Exhibits admitted, Government's 5 through
21
    32.)
2.2
               MR. NAYBACK: Permission to publish?
23
               THE COURT: You may.
24
               (Whereupon Government's Exhibits 5 through
25
    32 published to the jury.)
```

- Q (By Mr. Nayback) Ms. Peter, in Government's Exhibit 5 can you describe for the jury what direction you are looking in and maybe where your tripod was located on the motel property?
- A. So the tripod would be just outside of where the front door would be, and this view is to the southwest for the most part.
- Q. And just for clarification, you said front door, front door of which building?
- A. So what would be considered the residence to the west of the motel or on the west side of the motel.
- Q. In Government's Exhibit 6 is your tripod in the same location or in a slightly different position?
  - A. A slightly different position. It is now more to the south from the original position.
- Q. And looking straight out from the photo, what do you see -- first of all, can you describe, is there a fence in that photo?
  - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. And you can make demarcations on the screen in front of you. Can you point that out for me, please?
  - A. This is what I would consider the fence

```
(indicating).
 1
 2
               And then beyond the fence what do you see
 3
    in that photograph?
 4
         Α.
               A trailer.
 5
         Q.
               Is the trailer affixed or mobile, if you
 6
    know?
 7
               I believe it can be moved, yes.
         Α.
 8
         Q.
               And in Government's Exhibit 7, which
 9
    direction is the jury looking here?
10
               Now they are looking to the north.
         Α.
11
               Okay. And you described where your tripod
         Q.
12
    was earlier in those earlier photographs. If you
13
    recall, can you show the jury where your tripod was,
    at that point?
14
15
               So right in this area (indicating), but
         Α.
16
    obviously a little bit further back.
17
         Q.
               Okay. And then earlier you were talking
18
    about a front door. Can you point out which door
19
    you were referring to?
20
               (Indicating.)
         Α.
21
         0.
               And how did you describe that door, if you
2.2
    know?
23
         Α.
               I referred to it as the front door, yeah.
24
               To the hotel?
         Q.
25
         Α.
               To the residence.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Α.

door on to like the patio area.

- In Government's Exhibit 8 earlier I was Q. asking you if there was a fence and in this, can you describe for the jury the absence of the fence and what is in that location, if you recall, or can you describe where the fence line is and when it stops? Α. Like right in this area (indicating). 0. And then where you don't see the fence, what is there? Α. So initially you obviously walk out, but then further is like a carport. Q. Thank you. Ms. Peter, looking out the gate there, and you're referencing a carport. Was it clear to you where the motel property stopped and started? Α. No. Is this a different vantage point from Q. essentially the same view? Α. Yes. What is the jury seeing in Government's Q. Exhibit 11? Α. Again, this would be what I referred to as the front door of the residence. Q. In this photo what is the jury seeing?
  - PAUL BACA, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER (505)508-6694

This is the steps coming out from that

- Did you have any knowledge about, for 1 Q. 2 example, where shell casings were located? 3 Α. No. 4 Q. Were you asked to photograph the door and 5 the porch specifically? 6 Α. No. 7 Now what vantage is the jury looking Q. 8 toward in Government's Exhibit 13? 9 This is more to the south. Α. 10 And in 14 it is not clear to me what angle Q. 11 you are looking at. Can you describe what the jury 12 is seeing in this photograph? 13 So this is actually from the trailer and Α. 14 this is pointing to the residence, so now you would 15 be going to the northeast. 16 And is the trailer in Government's Q. 17 Exhibit 14 the same trailer you were pointing out in 18 earlier photos?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Are there any other travel trailers around there, to your knowledge?
  - A. No.

2.2

Q. In Government's Exhibit 15 if you can see it, can you circle where the door to the residence is.

- 1 A. (Witness complies.)
- Q. And, if you know, where is the motel
- 3 parking lot or driveway?
- 4 A. (Indicating.) You can actually drive in
- 5 here, too, but initially there is an area to park
- 6 beyond that.
- 7 Q. Okay. And in Government's 15 there is a
- 8 | red vehicle parked outside one of the rooms. While
- 9 | you were on location did you happen to see whether
- 10 there were any tenants at the motel?
- 11 A. There was one person, yes.
- 12 Q. Can you describe, if you recall, where is
- 13 your tripod in this photo and what direction is the
- 14 jury looking?
- A. So, again, I am just a little back from
- 16 that mark. You are now pointing to the south.
- 17 Q. And off, I am just going to make a mark
- 18 | here. And this area right here (indicating), is
- 19 this also part of the motel, if you know?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 O. In Government's Exhibit 17 this seems to
- 22 | be a different vantage point. Can you describe
- 23 maybe, if you know, where your tripod is at and the
- 24 direction the jury is looking?
- 25  $\mid$  A. So now the direction that you are looking

```
is to the west and again tripod will be in this area
 1
 2
    (indicating).
 3
              And is this the carport you were referring
        Q.
    to?
 4
 5
        Α.
            Yes.
 6
               Do you know whether that belonged to the
        Ο.
 7
    defendant, Mr. Smith, or who that belonged to?
 8
        Α.
              No.
 9
              And, again, could you tell while you were
        Q.
10
    out by this travel trailer and this carport where
11
    the motel property started and stopped?
12
        Α.
              No.
13
               What about these, it looks like some homes
        Q.
14
    down in this area (indicating). Were you intending
15
    to capture those in your photo?
16
              No. I mean you are going to, but that is
        Α.
17
    not my intention, no.
18
              And is that trailer that the jury can see,
        Q.
19
    is that to the southwest of the motel?
20
               Does that sound right to you or is that
21
    correct?
2.2
               So you are talking about this trailer
        Α.
23
    (indicating)?
24
               I'm sorry, no. I'm sorry, Ms. Peter, that
        Q.
```

25

is my mistake.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Α.

This trailer down that I demarcated in blue, is that south, southwest of the travel trailer in the motel property? Α. I would say it is more west. Q. Very well. In Government's 18 can you describe what the jury is looking at here? So now are you actually looking back to the direction of the residence from outside of the fence line. In Government's 19 from left to right can 0. you describe what the jury is seeing, from the left of the photo that you are looking at on the screen? So, again, you are seeing part of the residence and then the fenced area and then the void or the area between the trailer and the fence. And this (indicating), is part of the Q. motel here, correct? Α. Correct. Is that part of the motel, is that where you saw the tenant? Α. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibit 20, what were you photographing here?

So this is the opposite side of that

```
motel, so this would be to the east. This is more
 1
 2
    in, like, the parking area and then, you know,
 3
    entering in.
 4
        Ο.
              Okay. And what does that orange sign read
 5
    that you are taking a picture of right there?
 6
        Α.
               "Private property."
 7
               And do you know if that sign was there on
        Q.
 8
    May 5th of 2018?
 9
        Α.
               I do not.
10
               Government's 21, can you point out in that
        Q.
11
    red car, if you know, while you were present,
12
    Ms. Peter, and something that you saw with your own
13
    eyes where was the resident living, if you know?
               Basically perpendicular to that car. It
14
15
    would be more in this area (indicating).
16
              Very well. And this gate, do you know if
        Q.
17
    that was present on May 5th of 2018?
18
        Α.
               No.
19
        Q.
               You didn't respond to the scene on May 5th
20
    of 2018, correct?
21
        Α.
               I did not.
2.2
               What is the jury seeing here in
        Q.
23
    Government's 22?
24
               So you are seeing another part of the
        Α.
25
    hotel.
             This is the part that actually faces the
```

1 street. Is that 826 Riverside Drive? 2 Q. 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. And just pointing out in Government's 22, 5 this gate over here (indicating), do you know 6 whether that was present on May 5th of 2018? 7 Α. No. 8 And is this further, from Government's 22 Q. 9 is this just simply a vantage point further right in 10 Government's 23? 11 Α. Correct. 12 What can you see here in this photograph, Q. 13 Ms. Peter? 14 So another entry point into the motel. 15 The address is obviously different. It is now 826, 16 and a closed sign and again another possible private 17 property sign off to the right. 18 I take it your answer is the same, you Q. 19 don't know whether those signs were there on May 5th 20 of 2018? 21 I don't. Α. 2.2 Q. Thank you. 23 I know you were working with some, you 24 mentioned earlier in your testimony that you were 25 working with some colleagues. What were you

attempting to take a photograph in Government's 25? 1 So this would be a view of an impact. 2 3 And, if you know, can you make a little Q. 4 dot or circle the impact point with your finger on 5 the screen. 6 Α. (Witness complies.) 7 Is this a close-up? Do I have it facing, Ο. 8 is it upside down or right side up, can you tell me. 9 Sideways? 10 I can't for sure. More than likely it is Α. probably the other way. That way you can actually 11 12 read the label. 13 Is this a close-up of the impact point 14 that you were describing in Government's 25? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And Government's 27, what is the jury Q. 17 looking at here? 18 So another impact point but in a different Α. 19 location. 20 Can you describe the location in relation 0. 21 to like the travel trailer? 2.2 Α. So there was more than one shed, so this

Q. Okay. And if you were standing in the

would be the shed that would be the farthest to the

23

24

25

Southwest.

backyard where your tripod was, Ms. Peter, and you 1 2 just pointed out the impact point in 3 Government's 26, pointed that out to the jury, is 4 this impact point to the left or to the right of the travel trailer? 5 6 So if you are at the trailer it would be 7 to your left. 8 And is Government's Exhibit 28 a close-up Q. 9 of that same impact point? 10 Yes. Α. 11 Government's 29, where was your tripod? Q. 12 So in this photograph it was actually me, Α. 13 there is no tripod. But I would be standing, 14 obviously, again in this area (indicating), just a 15 little further back. 16 Q. What is the jury seeing, what are you 17 attempting to take a photograph of? So this will be what we consider a median 18 Α. 19 view of this area and then the things that are 20 around it. And, Ms. Peter, did you discover these on 21 Q. 2.2

Q. And, Ms. Peter, did you discover these on your own or did agents, did you have agents assisting on the evidence response team that pointed these impact points out for you?

A. Yes.

23

24

And do you know, do you have a colleague 1 Q. 2 Theodore Chavez? 3 Α. Yes. And what does he do? 4 0. 5 Α. So he works with the firearms and 6 toolmarks unit at the FBI laboratory. 7 And you were working in coordination with Q. 8 him, somewhat, to gather these photographs so he 9 could do his work; is that right? 10 Α. Yes. And Government's Exhibit 30, is this 11 Q. 12 simply a close-up of Government's 29? 13 Α. Yes. And it looks like the fenced-in area of 14 0. 15 the motel or the residence, is this simply a 16 different vantage point? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Finally, Government's 32. Can you point 0. 19 out, or let me ask it this way: If you know, do you 20 know where your tripod is at if you were using a 21 tripod? 2.2 Α. It is always just a little further back. 23 Q. Okay. And can you circle the impact point 24 on the travel trailer, if you see it in the

25

photograph?

```
I can't see it in the photograph, although
 1
        Α.
    I know it was in the general area of the end of the
 2
 3
    trailer from here.
 4
        Ο.
               And if it is in the photograph, Ms. Peter,
 5
    what about that second impact point? Can you see
 6
    the shed that you were describing?
 7
        Α.
               Yes.
 8
        Q.
               Can you circle it?
 9
               (Witness complies.)
        Α.
10
               Is that the shed that had the second
        Q.
11
    impact point?
12
        Α.
               Yes.
13
               MR. NAYBACK: The Court's indulgence.
14
               I pass the witness, Your Honor.
                                                  Thank you
15
    for your indulgence.
16
               THE COURT: Cross-examination, Ms. Lavin?
17
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
18
        BY MS. LAVIN:
19
               Good afternoon, Ms. Peter. My name is
        Q.
20
    Amanda Lavin, I represent the defendant, Douglas
21
    Smith.
2.2
               The photos that we just talked about that
23
    you took, those were taken in August of 2019,
24
    correct?
25
        Α.
               Yes.
```

```
You were investigating an incident that
 1
        Q.
    took place at 826 Riverside on May 5th, 2018?
 2
 3
               I don't know the exact date, but I know it
 4
    was May of 2018.
 5
               So by the time you went out to the scene
        Q.
 6
    to take all of these photographs it was about
 7
    15 months after the fact; is that right?
 8
        Α.
               Yes.
 9
        Q.
               Okay. So you have no idea what the
10
    property looked like in May of 2018?
11
               I don't.
        Α.
12
               So there is no way to know if the photos
        Q.
13
    you took in August of 2019 are an accurate
14
    representation of what the property looked like back
15
    in May of 2018; is that right?
16
               Not on my end.
        Α.
17
        Q.
               Okay. Thank you.
18
               And these impact points that we talked
19
    about, you have no idea when those impact points
20
    were made; is that right?
21
        Α.
               Not me, no.
2.2
               Okay. They could have been made before
        Q.
23
    May 5th, 2018; is that right?
24
               Sure, yes.
        Α.
25
        Q.
               Or after?
```

```
1
        Α.
               Well, yes.
               Okay. No idea when those were made.
 2
 3
    we talked about the trailer camper where you
 4
    identified an impact point. You said that that was
    a trailer that could be moved around?
 5
        Α.
 6
              Yes.
 7
               Okay. So you don't know if it was in that
        Q.
    position that you photographed it in back in May of
 8
    2018?
 9
10
        Α.
              I don't.
11
        Q.
               Okay.
12
               MS. LAVIN: These are all the questions I
13
    have.
           Thank you.
14
               THE COURT:
                          Do you have any redirect?
15
               MR. NAYBACK: I do not, Your Honor.
                                                     Thank
16
    you.
17
               THE COURT: May this witness be
18
    permanently excused?
19
               MR. NAYBACK: Permanently excused.
20
               MS. LAVIN: Your Honor, we would ask to
21
    reserve all of the Government's witnesses.
2.2
               THE COURT: You are subject to re-call, so
23
    thank you for your testimony this afternoon.
24
               (Whereupon the witness was excused from
25
    the courtroom, subject to re-call.)
```

```
THE COURT: The Government may call its
 1
 2
    next witness.
 3
               MR. NAYBACK: The United States calls
    Nathan Schwabedissen from the FBI.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: I'm sorry, we will have you
 6
    sworn in. Could you stand, please.
 7
               (Whereupon, the witness was sworn.)
 8
               THE COURT: And before we begin with your
 9
    testimony, I will ask you to give us your full name
10
    and please spell your first and last names for the
11
    record.
12
               THE WITNESS: Nathan Douglas
13
    Schwabedissen, N-A-T-H-A-N,
14
    S-C-H-W-A-B-E-D-I-S-S-E-N.
15
               THE COURT: And tell me one more time, how
16
    do you pronounce your last name.
17
               THE WITNESS: Schwabedissen.
18
               THE COURT: Thank you.
19
               You may proceed, Mr. Nayback.
20
               MR. NAYBACK: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

NATHAN SCHWABEDISSEN, 1 after having been first duly sworn under oath, 2 3 was questioned and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. NAYBACK: 5 Mr. Schwabedissen, where do you work? 6 0. 7 Federal Bureau of Investigation. Α. 8 Q. How long have you been with the FBI? 9 Α. 14 years. 10 What do you currently do for the FBI? Q. 11 I am an investigative specialist. Α. 12 What does an investigative specialist do? Q. 13 I collect information for the agents on Α. 14 their investigations, I type up reports on those, 15 and I also assist by taking photos. 16 Are you familiar with an investigation Q. 17 involving Douglas Smith? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. If you recall, how were you asked to be 20 involved in that case? 21 A request was put in by the investigative 2.2 agent to our aviation coordinator to get some aerial 23 photos of a location, and the coordinator got me as 24 the photographer and took me up in the airplane to 25 take some photos.

```
Okay. What location did you report to, if
 1
        Q.
 2
    you recall?
 3
               We reported to the Western Winds Motel in
        Α.
 4
    Espanola.
 5
        Q.
               Okay. Is that on 826 Riverside Drive?
 6
        Α.
               Yes.
 7
               Now, I assume that you're not both flying
        Q.
 8
    the plane and taking photographs.
 9
               Do I have that right?
10
               That is absolutely correct.
        Α.
11
        Q.
               How many other people in the plane with
12
    you?
13
               Just the pilot.
        Α.
14
               Okay. That is an FBI pilot?
        Q.
15
               Correct.
        Α.
16
               MR. NAYBACK: Can I approach the witness,
17
    Your Honor?
18
               THE COURT: Yes, you may.
19
                             Thank you.
               MR. NAYBACK:
20
              (By Mr. Nayback) Mr. Schwabedissen, I am
        Q.
21
    showing you what has been marked for identification
2.2
    as Government's 66 through 74, consecutively.
23
               Will you take a minute to look at each of
24
    those photos take your time and just tell me when
25
    you are done?
```

```
Okay. (Witness complies.)
 1
        Α.
                                           Okay.
 2
        Q.
               Do you recognize those photos?
 3
               I do.
        Α.
 4
        Q.
               What are they?
 5
        Α.
               They are stills taken from the video of
 6
    our aerial photography.
 7
               Are they fair and accurate depictions of
        Q.
    the location that you saw with your own eyes?
 8
 9
        Α.
               Yes.
10
               At 826 Riverside Drive?
        Q.
11
        Α.
               Yes, they are.
12
               MR. NAYBACK: Your Honor, I would move at
13
    this time Government's 66 through 74 inclusive and
14
    consecutive.
15
               THE COURT: Is there objection?
16
                          No objection.
               MS. LAVIN:
17
               THE COURT: All right. 66 through 74 are
    admitted.
18
19
               (Exhibits admitted, Government's 66
20
    through 74.)
21
               MR. NAYBACK: Thank you.
2.2
               Permission to publish?
23
               THE COURT: You may.
24
               (Whereupon Government's Exhibits 66
25
    through 74 were published to the jury.
```

Q (By Mr. Nayback) Mr. Schwabedissen, when you were flying over Riverside Drive in Espanola, did you notice anything about the traffic going through the area?

2.2

- A. Yes. Riverside Drive is one of the main throughfares through Espanola and there is a lot of traffic right there in the vicinity.
- Q. If you know the boundaries of the Western Winds Motel, you can actually demarcate with your finger on the screen in front of you. Can you at least show the front side at this point, the front side of the property on the left-hand side of Riverside Drive as you go north? Can you make a line there?
  - A. Yes, right there (indicating).
- Q. Okay. What is to the east of your line, if you know, that building across the street from the Western Winds Motel, do you know what that establishment is?
- A. Yes, that is a Pizza 9, I believe. It is a restaurant.
  - Q. Okay. And then only if you know where the property stops and starts, can you make a line heading west that demarcates the Western Winds Motel?

```
I don't know exactly where the property
 1
        Α.
 2
    stops on the west.
 3
               Okay. If you know, can you start the line
        Q.
    as to where the property line is?
 5
        Α.
               My understanding, is that just to the west
    side?
 6
 7
               Yes, please, heading west.
        Q.
 8
        Α.
               Heading west would be around here
 9
    (indicating).
10
               Okay. I think you were just letting me
        Q.
11
    know that you don't really know where the property
12
    stops and starts; is that right?
13
               Right. To the west side I am not sure
        Α.
14
    where the line is there.
15
               On the north side could you tell where the
        0.
16
    property of the Western Winds Motel starts and
17
    stops?
18
               I know there is a gate to the north. I am
19
    not sure if that gate is part of the Western Winds
20
    property or it is not.
21
        Q.
              Okay. Can you circle the gate that you
2.2
    saw.
23
        Α.
               Yeah, the gate is right here (indicating.)
```

Do you know if that gate was there on

24

25

Q.

May 5th of 2018?

A. I do not know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. I am showing you Government's 67. What vantage point is the jury looking at here? In other words, what direction are they looking if they went straight forward to the top of the photograph?
- A. They would be looking west. We are on the east side of the property.
- Q. Again, the red and white building, do you know what that is?
  - A. That is a KFC.
- Q. As you were flying over Riverside Drive,
  did you notice other restaurants in and around that
  area?
  - A. Yes, there are a couple more restaurants to the left of the KFC, to the south. There is also some on the opposite side of the street on the east side of Riverside.
    - Q. Thank you.
  - Mr. Schwabedissen, I am showing you

    Government's 68. Can you explain to the jury what
    they are seeing in this photo and which way they may
    be looking?
  - A. So we are towards the north end of the property looking south. The top of the photo where the car is, the parking lot, that is the KFC.

On the left side you have the Western 1 2 Winds Motel sign along Riverside Drive, and to the 3 right is the western edge of the motel. 4 Q. And Government's 69, this looks like a 5 completely different vantage point. 6 Can you tell me about where the plane is 7 at and what we are looking at in this photograph? 8 We are to the northwest of the property. Α. 9 So, again, the top right corner is the KFC parking 10 lot. 11 The street in the top left, that is 12 Riverside to the east of the motel. 13 And the arrows are pointing to, the center 14 arrow is pointing towards the back of that 15 north/south running building of the motel. 16 I don't know if you were given any detail. Q. 17 If you weren't, don't answer my question. Did you 18 happen or were you trying to get a shot of either a 19 motel or a residence or did you know the difference? 20 When I was given the mission it was the Α. 21 Western Winds Motel. I knew it was a motel, but I 2.2 did not know the exact purpose of the mission. 23 didn't know what photos I was looking for exactly. 24 Q. Very well, thanks for being candid.

In Government's Exhibit 70 can you

describe what the jury is seeing and maybe relating it to other photographs they have already seen?

A. So here we are on the south end of the motel. So top right is the lot on the Riverside Drive, area of the motel.

To the left we have the vehicles that were parked in the back.

And there at the bottom is the KFC parking lot once again.

Q. Thank you.

2.2

And in Government's 71, Mr. Schwabedissen, this looks like a slightly, maybe a higher or the lens is kind of pulled back a little bit. Can you describe the direction and the items that you saw, that you see in this photo?

A. Yeah, it is zoomed out from the other photos, to get a little more area. So on the right side of the photo you have Riverside Drive running north/south. We are on the south side of the motel once again.

And got the KFC at the bottom and then you have at least one, it looks like two residences on the western side, at least two buildings on the western end.

Q. Can you circle those, Mr. Schwabedissen,

```
so the jury knows exactly what you are referencing?
 1
 2
        Α.
               (Witness complies.)
 3
               Those look like private residences to you?
         Q.
 4
        Α.
               Yeah, they look like houses to me, yeah.
 5
               Okay. So again could you tell from the
        Q.
 6
    airplane from your own eyes where the motel
 7
    property, where it stopped and started, as it
 8
    relates to these private homes behind there?
 9
               My guess would be somewhere in this area,
10
     (indicating).
               You don't know whether the road was a
11
        0.
12
    demarcation of the motel lot or not?
13
               I do not know.
        Α.
14
        0.
               Okay. Can I ask you about while you were
15
    up in the air was there any foot traffic in and
16
    around that area that you happened to notice?
17
               Towards the end of our video time there
        Α.
18
    was someone that was walking east through the motel
19
    towards Riverside.
20
               In Government's 72 do you see that person,
        0.
21
    if it is in the photograph, do you see the person
2.2
    that you are referencing?
23
        Α.
               Yes, I do.
24
               Can you circle it on the screen?
        Q.
25
               (Indicating.)
        Α.
```

I assume, Mr. Schwabedissen, you don't 1 Q. 2 know who that person was? 3 I do not. Α. 4 Q. Do you know if that person was confronted 5 by any of the motel employees for being on the 6 property? 7 I don't know. Α. 8 Q. Other than this individual did you observe foot traffic on Riverside Drive that day? 9 10 As I recall, yes. Α. 11 And in 73, it is not the clearest photo, Q. 12 but can you describe what the jury is looking at in 13 this photo? 14 So we are on the south end of the motel. 15 The building on the right side of the photo is the 16 north/south building of the motel. 17 The building on the bottom of the photo is the one that runs east/west. 18 19 And then this is the tree that is directly behind the north/south building and the vehicle, so 20 21 to the west of it. 2.2 Finally Government's 74, Q. 23 Mr. Schwabedissen, can you describe for the jury the 24 vantage point that you are taking a photograph here?

25

Is this northwest?

1 Α. Yeah, we are to the southeast of the 2 property. 3 Q. Okay. 4 Α. This photo of Riverside Drive. There in 5 the bottom right corner the north/south building 6 running up to the north corner, to the upper right 7 corner of the photo and the KFC lot in the bottom 8 left. 9 If you know, Mr. Schwabedissen, is this 10 parking lot, is that motel parking lot or do you 11 know whose parking lot that belongs to? Do you know whether it is KFC or the motel parking lot? 12 13 I don't know for sure but it appeared to Α. 14 be part of the KFC. 15 MR. NAYBACK: I will pass the witness, 16 Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Cross-examination, Ms. Lavin? CROSS-EXAMINATION 18 19 BY MS. LAVIN: 20 Good afternoon, Mr. Schwabedissen. Ο. 21 were you assigned to this case? 2.2 I do not know the exact day. The agent Α. 23 contacted the aviation coordinator and when weather 24 permitted we flew, which was out towards the end of 25 August.

```
So the agent contacted the aviation
 1
        Q.
 2
    coordinator at the end of August of 2019?
 3
               I don't know exactly which day, but, yes.
         Α.
 4
        Q.
               Okay. So it wasn't until August of 2019
 5
    that you were asked to do anything in this case?
 6
        Α.
               To my knowledge, correct.
 7
               Okay. So you were flying around in a
        Q.
 8
    plane for about ten minutes in this area; is that
 9
    right?
10
               The recording was on for about ten
        Α.
    minutes. We were overhead for a few minutes prior
11
12
    to that making sure we were on the right property
13
    before we started the video.
14
        0.
               Okay. And so you were just surveying
15
    826 Riverside Drive, correct?
16
        Α.
               Correct.
17
         Q.
               And the photo that we just saw showed that
18
    that motel as having a totally empty parking lot.
19
               Would you agree?
20
               I would say there was the one car but --
        Α.
21
        Q.
               The one red car?
2.2
               Right.
        Α.
23
        Q.
               During the time that you surveilled the
24
    area did you see any cars coming and going?
25
        Α.
               No.
```

```
Any activity at the front of the motel?
 1
        Q.
 2
        Α.
               No.
 3
               So the motel looked closed to you?
        Q.
 4
        Α.
              It did.
 5
        Q.
               Okay. There is a wall between the KFC and
 6
    the Western Winds Motel.
 7
               Could you see that from the plane?
 8
        Α.
               Not that I recall, no.
 9
               Okay. Had you ever visited 826 Riverside
        Q.
10
    Drive in Espanola prior to August 30th, 2019?
11
              No, I had not.
        Α.
12
               MS. LAVIN: No other questions, thank you.
13
                          Any you have any redirect?
               THE COURT:
               MR. NAYBACK: I don't, Your Honor.
14
15
    you.
16
               THE COURT: May this witness be excused?
17
               MR. NAYBACK: On behalf of the
18
    United States, yes.
19
                           That is fine, Your Honor, he
               MS. LAVIN:
20
    may be excused.
                      Thank you.
21
               THE COURT: All right. So thank you for
2.2
    your testimony and you are excused.
23
               (Whereupon the witness was excused from
24
    the courtroom.)
25
               THE COURT: So it is about 4:36. Who is
```

1 your next witness? 2 MR. NAYBACK: Byron Abeyta. We discussed 3 earlier an exhibit that we were going to use with 4 him. 5 THE COURT: You are not going to finish 6 his testimony by 5:00, correct? 7 MR. NAYBACK: That's correct, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. So we will break at this time for the day. But before I let the jury 9 10 go, let me -- I am not trying to pin you down, but 11 are we on schedule would you say? 12 MR. NAYBACK: I think we are ahead of 13 schedule. I am happy to provide more detail but 14 maybe, you know, a couple of witnesses that are 15 longer, maybe five more witnesses, four more 16 witnesses. 17 THE COURT: All right. So ladies and 18 gentlemen of the jury, we are going to break for the 19 day. And I am going to give you some instructions every time we break, so you are going to probably 20 21 memorize these and get tired of hearing them before 2.2 too long. 23 But it is important that I remind you that 24 you should not discuss this case with anyone, not 25 with family members. You are certainly free to let

people know, your family know that you are on jury duty, but if they ask you any questions about the details of the case or what the case is about you should not discuss anything with anyone.

2.2

You should not, should there be any media reports about this case, you should ignore them.

You should not pay attention to anything you might hear, see, read in the media about this case. You should not do any independent research. If you are curious at all about the location where this event happened, your curiosity must be satisfied by what you see in this courtroom and not any independent research.

Every now and then there may be people who might be curious about a term, a word, a term that was used. Don't look it up in the dictionary. I am trying hard to cover anything that might be out there that you might want to consult in order to learn more about the case.

You should not report on social media anything about this case. And other than that, I hope you are able to have a nice restful evening, enjoy your evening.

My plan is to begin again tomorrow morning at 9:00. So Yvonne, as usual, will let you know

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
what time you should report. And because this case
is moving pretty quickly, there are times that I
need to meet with the attorneys to talk about some
of the legal issues, jury instructions, for example.
          So my hope is we are able to have these
discussions during our breaks or the lunch break or
at the end of the day. But we may be getting,
because it is moving so quickly, I am going to ask
in advance for your patience. I try not to keep the
jury waiting, but sometimes in trials it is just
part of the typical court day. So bear with us.
Have a restful evening. We will see you tomorrow
morning.
          Please rise while our jury leaves the
courtroom.
          (Whereupon the jury exited the courtroom.)
          (Open court, outside the presence of the
jury.)
          THE COURT:
                     Let me ask you-all to be
seated for a moment. I want to revisit our sidebar
here, the reference to the syringe cap and then the
question about the syringe and then the question
about whether or not the decedent had diabetes, I
believe it was.
          The defense has argued that the door has
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
been opened to elicit testimony about whether or not
the defendant, excuse me, the decedent was suffering
from diabetes. I don't know where you-all plan to
go, but I did want to take it up before I get
Mr. Nayback's response.
          I don't know what you have in mind.
                                               The
medical examiner that we heard testimony from
earlier today is probably gone. He had been
discharged and he had other obligations, so what are
you thinking?
          MS. LAVIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
          I guess just as a practical matter we have
been in touch with Dr. Cain. He is going to be on
his way back to Colorado, could come back tomorrow
morning. He is available if the Court would allow
us to call him.
          THE COURT: He is available when?
          MS. LAVIN:
                     Thursday.
                      I don't know whether or not we
          THE COURT:
will be hearing from him, but all right. And what
do you expect to go with his testimony?
          MS. LAVIN: Well, I mean, I think we, we
hadn't intended to elicit testimony about the drugs
that were in Ms. Gallegos' system, but now the
Government has put the idea out there that maybe
```

these syringe caps were for a needle that was to inject, by saying, you know, do you know if she had diabetes, the question is out there.

2.2

There is absolutely nothing in the discovery that we have been provided that she was diabetic. That is just evidence that is not true. So now I think it is highly prejudicial for that to be in the jury's mind because it is an incorrect assessment of the facts of the case.

In addition, I think as far as the relevance as far as where we are going to go, at this point it is mostly critical just to clarify that, you know, she had syringes because she was an IV drug user and the Government in the various pleadings in the case indicates she was there for some sort of innocuous purpose. She was looking for a room, what have you.

So I think that rebuts that evidence. She was under the influence of morphine, and so there was morphine in her system. And, you know, we are not -- it is rebuttal evidence to the fact that they put out there that she is a diabetic and that is what the syringes are for.

THE COURT: When I asked where you were going, I meant what was the evidence that you were

```
looking for. I guess it is what you just discussed,
 1
 2
    the OMI, The toxicology report that discussed
 3
    morphine.
 4
              MS. LAVIN: Discussed there was morphine,
 5
    codeine, hydrocodone, various substances that -- no,
 6
    excuse me, just morphine, I apologize and alcohol,
 7
    but I think Mr. Elsenheimer earlier stated that
 8
    morphine is really the only relevant substance.
 9
              THE COURT: All right.
10
              MS. LAVIN: That is what they opened the
    door to.
11
12
              THE COURT: All right. Your response,
13
    Mr. Nayback?
14
              MR. NAYBACK: I asked a question about
15
    what they found on her person. The Court ruled that
16
    it opened the door to the needle caps. And what I
17
    was intending to elicit from Investigator Gudes was
    that she didn't know what the needle caps were for.
18
19
               I asked her if she knew she was diabetic
20
    simply as an example. And I think this is simply an
21
    attempt by the defense to dirty up our victim as a
2.2
    homeless IV drug user.
23
               I thought when the Court was going to ask
24
    them where they were going with it, like what is the
25
    relevance.
                I mean, she was standing outside of the
```

property and I don't know what codeine in her system 1 2 has to do with it. I don't know how they are going 3 to argue that is what I am suggesting. 4 So I mean if the Court allows it in, we 5 will deal with it. I know the victim's family was 6 very concerned about her getting dirtied up like 7 It is kind of a classic defense tactic and we 8 know how to argue it. I am not pushing back so 9 hard, but I don't think that the defense should be 10 allowed to get into her having morphine in her 11 They haven't tied that orange cap to the system. 12 morphine in her system. I was simply suggesting to 13 the investigator, do you know what this cap is for, and she didn't. 14 15 THE COURT: And that would have been fine 16 if you had stopped there, but then suggesting 17 something I do think opened the door for the defense 18 to rebut the suggestion that it was possibly to 19 treat her diabetes. 20 So I am not saying that that means that 21 the defense gets to go into the specifics of her 2.2 history, but I do think that it would be fair to the 23 defense to be able to rebut that point. 24 So I am not, I am not sure, I don't want 25 to, I don't want this to turn into something bigger

than it is. I mean, I know that the relevance, if 1 2 these questions hadn't been asked we wouldn't be 3 talking about this in front of a jury. 4 So it is not, my ruling is not to be taken 5 as an invitation to completely canvass her history 6 and her use of drugs, it is simply because these 7 specific questions were asked and these specific 8 answers were given, and that is really all I want 9 you-all to focus on. 10 MR. NAYBACK: The only thing I might add, 11 Your Honor, is it might lengthen the trial. 12 wouldn't be by long. We have got some family 13 members that could talk about, who have been interviewed and have talked about the victim's very 14 15 hard life as being homeless and we would be calling 16 them. So it may lengthen the trial. I know that 17 doesn't concern the Court, but I think that their 18 going into the morphine use allows us in our 19 rebuttal to have family talk about the victim's hard 20 life. 21 THE COURT: We will see where it goes. Ι 2.2 certainly didn't expect that we were going to be 23 having any of these conversations and so we will 24 just see where it goes. 25 MR. NAYBACK: Okay. Thanks.

```
1
               THE COURT: Anything else before we break
 2
    for the evening?
 3
               MS. LAVIN: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: No, Your Honor.
 4
                                                    Thank
 5
    you.
               MS. WILSON: No, Your Honor.
 6
7
               THE COURT: 9:00 tomorrow morning. We'll
8
    be in recess.
 9
               (Proceedings concluded at 4:48 p.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. I further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of the United States. Date: June 15, 2021 PAUL BACA, RPR, CCR Certified Court Reporter #112 License Expires: 12-31-2023 2.2